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Willa Cather (1873-1947) has been seen by many critics as a regional novelist of marginal importance. Attention has largely been confined to her portrayal of the American Midwest during the pioneer age and it has been assumed that she was primarily an elegist for the passing of the frontier. This study attempts to view her work in the larger context of European culture and to demonstrate that she is not, as has so often been suggested, an old-fashioned local colourist but a major writer of international significance.

The thesis makes extensive reference to Cather's unpublished letters which are housed in over sixty separate collections. Due to the stipulations in Cather's will, several libraries do not permit her letters to be reproduced in any form but I was able to read them in person.

This unpublished material confirms that Cather's main themes are the interaction of the Old World with the New and the power of art to sanction life. The thesis begins with a short biography, showing particularly the influence of Cather's immigrant neighbours on her early life, and an account of how her preoccupation with the European heritage informed her perspective of America. It also outlines briefly the contrast between Cather and most of her contemporaries and the special difficulties faced by American women writers.

This study analyses Cather's novels, in loose chronological order, in the light of her absorption in Wagnerian music drama, Virgilian epic and nineteenth
century French and Russian literature, in order to reveal how her particular cultural awareness influenced her experiments with narrative form and the development of her distinctive style. I hope to show how, through this means, Cather's work acts not only as a corrective to the aggressively masculine tendencies in the literature of the American West, but how she endowed local concerns with universal significance and appeal.
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INTRODUCTION

When Cather was asked to comment on Nebraska as 'a storehouse of literary material' her answer, as the interviewer remarked, was 'less than conciliatory': "If a true artist was born in a pig pen and raised in a sty, he would still find plenty of inspiration for his work." \(^1\)

Cather happened to grow up in the Midwest and she wrote most convincingly about the things she knew well. Later, she wrote about other places too but critics have concentrated almost exclusively on her portrayal of the frontier. Being classified as a regional novelist has seriously damaged Cather's reputation and prevented her work from receiving the recognition it deserves.\(^2\)

This limiting categorisation is the result of the preoccupation, on both sides of the Atlantic, with what it means to be American. Cather has been one of the casualties in what the poet, Randall Jarrell, described as 'the long, dreary imaginary war in which America and the Present are fighting against Europe and the Past.' \(^3\) As she was not engaged in the crusade to go one 'hundred per cent American',\(^4\), her work has been seen as tangential or irrelevant to the main current of American fiction.\(^5\) It was particularly amongst her male compatriots that the call for an authentic American literature was most vociferous and perhaps as a consequence, the dominant tradition in America has been not simply male but aggressively masculine. It is not surprising,
then, that Cather - a woman, and a writer concerned with the European heritage - should have been pushed aside.

Virginia Woolf, in her essay on American Fiction in 1925, commented on the uneasy relation of American writers to the English literary tradition and made a revealing parallel: 'One is reminded constantly of the attitude of another race, till lately subject and still galled by the memory of its chains. Women writers have to meet many of the same problems that beset Americans.' But what of the American woman writer? Sherwood Anderson's attempt to shape a distinctive, indigenous art by repeating hypnotically, 'I am the American man,' was evidently unavailable to her. Cather at first found the consciousness of race and sex an almost insuperable barrier: the writers she admired were not only male, they were not American; women, she felt, were only capable of writing about love and marriage and, in any case, what could possibly be said about Nebraska?

Her mature achievement rests on her ability to transcend the limitations of gender and nationality; her capacity to make the local universal and to speak of men and women. She developed a unique voice; neither insistently American nor specifically female. Ironically, her unpromising Midwestern origins gave her a way forward; the lives of her immigrant neighbours provided her with a link between the Old World and the New and this continuity of culture became one of her central themes. She also refused to be limited to traditionally female subjects; although she created some
of the most compelling female characters in twentieth century literature, she employed male narrators and protagonists when it suited her purpose; she ventured into the previously male preserve of war and the almost exclusively male territory of the West.

Unlike so many of her compatriots, who rejected European forms in their drive to forge an authentic American literature, Cather was interested in the combination of European forms and American experience. Until recently, only the latter has received much attention. This study attempts to show the particular contribution Cather made as a woman writing about the American scene; to analyse her profound and enriching response to European literature, music and painting; and to show how her particular cultural awareness influenced her experiments with narrative form and the development of her distinctive style. The pervasive tendency to marginalise women's writing, by applying limiting classifications, is nowhere more apparent than in Cather's relegation to the rank of old-fashioned local colourist. I intend to show how her work acts as a corrective to the aggressively masculine tendencies in American literature and, by placing her work in its proper cultural context, I hope that she will emerge, clearly, as a major writer of international significance.
For some reason Cather lied about her age. She was adamant, even with old friends who knew it wasn't true, that she was born in 1876. It is a harmless, almost pathetically small lie, and yet a curious one for someone who was not apparently in the least vain. Nor was her name Willa. She was christened Wilella, but understandably disliked it. In adolescence she called herself William and in her sixties she still sometimes signed letters, Willie. The public image of Cather as a straightforward, self-assured woman - all rosy cheeks and sturdy walking shoes - is even more misleading than public images usually are.

Despite five biographies and the memoirs of several contemporaries, her life remains an enigma. The initial difficulty results from Cather's extreme reticence about her personal life and has been compounded by the willingness of her friends to enter into this conspiracy of silence. She held the awkward, albeit justified, view that the artist and
the woman are discrete entities and that her private life was her exclusive concern. In sharp contrast to many modern, and in particular male, American authors she adhered to Flaubert's dictum: 'L'homme n'est rien, l'oeuvre est tout.' She gave few interviews (and those are mostly early); shunned all forms of public appearance, and did her best to make sure that this rigorous integrity was maintained after her death by destroying her manuscripts, all personal letters in her control and prohibiting the publication of those which remained. Her friends, in deference to her wishes, largely confined themselves to discussions of her career. Edith Lewis, for example, a companion for nearly forty years, maintains a resolutely impersonal tone throughout her memoir and guarded Cather's posthumous reputation like a dragon breathing fire over buried treasure.

Secrecy is bound to provoke speculation, often of an unwholesome kind. Cather's childhood, though, was unexceptional. She herself said that her memories of that time were the safest ones she had. She was the eldest of seven children in a middle-class, Virginian family who were permanently short of money. Her father, Charles Cather, was a gentle, humorous and handsome man, her mother, Mary Virginia (née Boak), an imperious and energetic Southern belle who disciplined her children with a rawhide whip but also allowed them considerable freedom. Although Cather and her mother sometimes clashed they remained close.
When she was nine the family went West. They left the fluted columns of Willow Shade in the Shenandoah Valley in order to join Charles Cather's father and brother who had already moved to Nebraska. The sudden transplantation from an established and conventional society to raw prairie was a traumatic event and Cather, at first, found herself alone and weeping amid the alien corn. In 1884 they left the ranch in Webster County and settled into the white frame house in Red Cloud where Cather spent the rest of her childhood and often returned to visit her parents.

The bustling little town of Red Cloud (only three years older than Cather) and the surrounding country, appear frequently in her work. Nebraskan friends and neighbours too provided her with prototypes for many of her characters. James Shively refers to the 'curious picture of the Willa Cather of that time': 'a sort of wild girl of the West, riding across the prairies and listening openmouthed to the tales of the immigrant settlers.' But this is not, as Shively suggests, just a bookseller's blurb; she certainly was attracted to the European pioneers and, because of her own experience of being uprooted, she identified strongly with their sense of exile. Through the French, German and Bohemian families, she first became attached to Europe and its art. She borrowed French novels, German poetry and listened to operatic arias played on her neighbour's piano. She developed a deep love for the wild, open country and for the people who had come to settle it
but her feelings about it were ambivalent. Because she grew up in a crude frontier society, she suffered for many years from a sense of cultural inferiority, describing herself disparagingly as a roughneck and envying those who came from older and superior civilizations.7

The years Cather spent at Lincoln State University (1890-1895) were tremendously active and turbulent. Lincoln was a thriving city and railroad centre which, though it had only sprung into existence in 1867, already had schools, hotels and two large theatres by the time Cather enrolled at college. She arrived with the intention of becoming a doctor but an essay she wrote on Thomas Carlyle was printed in the Nebraska State Journal and, she claimed later, she was so affected by the sight of herself in print, she decided to become an author.8 Apart from her course work, which included not only classes in English literature but the Classics, she edited the University literary magazine and began writing fiction. In 1893 she became the Journal's drama critic and Sunday columnist and rapidly developed a distinctive journalistic style. The paper's editor recalled that her reviews were of 'such biting frankness that ... many an actor of national reputation wondered on coming to Lincoln what would appear the next morning from the pen of that meatax young girl of whom all of them had heard.'9 Later, by working for the Lincoln Courier as well, she was able to be largely self-supporting. Her prodigious output of book and play reviews provides a fascinating record of
her early artistic development and reveals how she was particularly drawn to late nineteenth century European literature: the works of Carlyle, Ruskin, Maupassant, Alphonse Daudet, Pierre Loti, Flaubert, Tolstoy and Turgenev.

Cather's success in journalism whilst still a student was a considerable achievement but, despite the excitements of city and university life, this was not an altogether happy time. She wrote later that there are young people who can be themselves, simply and unaffectedly, but she had not been one of them. She was eccentric in appearance and behaviour: she wore masculine clothing and closely cropped hair and was tactless and outspoken. Although she made a few good friends in Lincoln, as in Red Cloud, who remained loyal to her throughout her life, she was disliked and ridiculed by many. Again, it was among the European families that Cather was most at home but Lincoln society in general found William Cather, Jr. as she called herself, hard to take. She soon found herself in the middle of a furore. It is difficult to piece together exactly what happened but from her letters it would seem that she fell in love with a student called Louise Pound. She sent Louise poems, rhapsodized over her dresses, railed at her other friends (male and female), and begged for photographs. She despised that feminine friendship should be considered unnatural and was troubled that Louise might be disgusted by a good-night kiss. The letters are passionate, pleading, jealous and possessive.
What happened in the end is not clear. It has been suggested that they fell out because Cather printed a satirical portrait of Louise's brother in the University magazine. Whatever the truth may have been, the episode was an unpleasant one. After Cather had graduated and moved to Pittsburgh (in 1895), to become editor of the Home Monthly, she wrote to her old friend Mariel Gere how relieved she was to be beginning a new life in broad daylight away from the old mistakes. Without Mariel's help, she confessed, she never would have got through the Pound scrape but in Pittsburgh, without short hair or any other thing to queer her she was delighted to find herself popular for the first time. She was invited to join all the women's clubs and was full of the parties and picnics to which she had been. The magazine was trash but she earned enough to go to the opera and do as she liked. She was writing stories and had devoted herself to the worship of art, she wrote to Mariel, and if she became a success it would do her wicked heart good to pay off the old scores and make people take back the bitter things they had said.¹²

Cather's outward conformity and her obsession with privacy probably stem from a determination never to be exposed and hurt in the same way again. In later life her appearance was always impeccably correct and she never allowed her personal life to be commented on in public. But her brief adoption of a masculine identity raises interesting questions. Biographers have usually assumed,
tacitly, that this stemmed from her homosexuality; that she wanted to assume a male role because of her attraction to other women. Although her earliest biographers skirt around the issue, the implication of lesbianism is always there and has led to some damaging and confused assumptions about her work. It has been inferred by several male critics, for example, that she could not write reliably about heterosexual relations because of her personal eccentricity.¹³

More recent, feminist criticism has at least dispensed with euphemism and innuendo and tried to tackle the question openly.¹⁴ However, it is difficult to be conclusive. Collections of her letters show that her enduring friendships were almost exclusively with women but as there is hardly any surviving correspondence with Isabelle McClung and Edith Lewis, the two most important women in her life, it is not clear whether her feeling for either of them was sexual or not.¹⁵ The very fact that she had her letters to them destroyed, and that Lewis never once went home to Red Cloud with her, are probable indications. On the other hand, Cather received two proposals of marriage during her twenties, which she apparently seriously considered, and in 1912, while on holiday in the Southwest, she met a Mexican named Julio about whose masculine charms she wrote several pages of fulsome appreciation to her friend Elizabeth Sergeant.¹⁶

Although Cather never mentions the subject in print,
there are very scathing references to homosexuals in her private letters. She was, for example, absolutely furious when approached for her memories of A. E. Housman by some young men—willie boys, as she called them—who had sent her their manuscripts and intended, according to Cather, to impute their own effeminacy to Housman, a scholar and gentleman. Were they hypnotised by the word, 'lad'? She defended Housman's "heterosexuality" as if her life depended on it. But whether Cather was, in fact, a lesbian or not is unlikely to be conclusively established one way or the other. More fruitfully, feminist critics have turned from speculation about sexual preference and begun to examine the social implications of Cather's male identification. Sharon O'Brien, for example, explores the problems Cather faced as a young woman wanting to become a writer; her attempt 'to fashion a female self that could be compatible with the artist's role' when there were 'no acceptable models for identity and vocation in the late-Victorian culture.'

This was an issue which certainly did preoccupy Cather. In her vast output of journalism, between 1893 and 1902, there are several discussions of the rival claims of marriage and a career and assessments of women artists. These articles, the product of youth and haste, full of rhetoric, high spirits and bombast, give a vivid impression of Cather's mental climate during this period. They make it abundantly clear that she was no feminist: if it was
difficult for women to achieve anything outside the domestic sphere, she was inclined to believe it was their own fault. 'I have not much faith in women in fiction,' she confessed in 1895: 'They have a sort of sex consciousness that is abominable. They are so limited to one string and they so lie about that.' She could admire only Miss Bronte (she does not say which), Jane Austen, George Eliot and George Sand. Moreover, in her eyes the 'two great Georges' were 'anything but women.' Her disgust with romantic fiction is understandable, if a little excessive; she felt it had become difficult to take women writers seriously because of the plethora of what George Eliot called, 'silly novels by lady novelists.' Full of lordly disdain, Cather shook her head at their feeble efforts: 'Women are so horribly subjective and they have such scorn for the healthy commonplace. When a woman writes a story of adventure, a stout sea tale, a manly battle yarn, anything without wine, women and love, then I will begin to hope for something great for them, not before.'

Born in the late nineteenth century, in a society which accepted the secondary status of women, Cather swallowed the notion of male supremacy whole. Later she outgrew the simplistic equation of maleness with greatness, but in her youth the culture she admired was dominated by men – Wagner, Tolstoy, Flaubert – and she was loath to identify herself with the weaker vessels. Mercifully, Cather spared us the stout sea tale and the manly battle yarn, realising that her
way forward did not lie in pretending to be a man, but in rejecting sexual stereotypes altogether. She did not perpetrate a masculine imitation but developed an individual voice that refused to be confined to the conventional, feminine sphere. She grew less afraid of the 'abominable' sex consciousness but throughout her life she remained acutely aware of the disadvantages of being classified as a Lady Author.  

Sometime in 1899, while working for the Pittsburgh Leader, Cather met Isabelle McClung. She was wealthy and beautiful, shared Cather's interest in theatre and music, and encouraged her to write. In 1901, after working as a freelance journalist in Washington, Cather moved in with Isabelle and her parents and for the next five years taught English at the Central and Allegheny High Schools. With long holidays and no domestic worries Cather had more time for her own work. It seems to have been a settled and happy period: she enjoyed teaching, she visited Europe for the first time, and published a slim volume of verse, April Twilights (1903), and a collection of short stories, The Troll Garden (1905). Although she had poked fun at stuffy Presbyterians when she first moved to Pittsburgh, she seems to have been at ease in Judge McClung's solidly bourgeois household and, even after she moved to New York, often returned to the study Isabelle had arranged for her in the attic, in order to write.

Working for McClure's Magazine was a mixed blessing.
It enabled her to move (in 1905) to New York, America's literary centre, and the job was exciting. The magazine published stories by Kipling, Stevenson, Hardy, Arnold Bennet, Stephen Crane, London, Conan Doyle and Twain. S. S. McClure reckoned he lost more money publishing Conrad than anyone else alive. But McClure's was also famous for its muckraking and Cather, who had no interest in social reform, came to resent devoting so much of her energy to editing poorly written articles on government swindles and slum housing. At first she was delighted to be visiting the Metropolitan opera, meeting artists and musicians; there were trips to Europe and she was devoted to McClure, but after five years she was dismayed at how little she had managed to achieve as a writer.

It was on one of her muckraking expeditions for McClure that Cather met the New England writer, Sarah Orne Jewett. She, and her friend Mrs. Fields, were part of Boston's intellectual élite and Cather was flattered to be admitted into their circle. Jewett became an important influence on her; advising her to give up journalism and concentrate on her own work. Cather had never, even in her youth, considered the possibility of running off and writing a novel, trusting to talent and good luck, and even in her mature years her insecurity was acute. The letters she wrote to Jewett show Cather almost on her knees to the Dear Lady, as she called her, pleading for her approval.21 In the author of The Country of the Pointed Firs, Cather found
a contemporary woman writer whom she could admire. But despite Jewett's encouragement, Cather was nearly forty years old before she finally left the magazine.

With the critical acclaim of Alexander's Bridge (1912), Cather grew more confident and from then on was able to give up journalism and maintain herself, in comfort, on the proceeds of her writing. She followed Jewett's suggestion that she should write about her own country and in 1913 published O Pioneers!, the first of her Western novels. For as long as the family home remained, Cather kept returning to Red Cloud. Her attachment to the place was so strong that she was even tempted at one time to 'settle down on a quarter section of land and let [her] writing go.' But when she was actually there, the immensity and emptiness of the prairie made her terrified of 'dying in a cornfield' and she longed to return to the Atlantic coast, where she was 'surrounded by the great masters and teachers with all their tradition and learning and culture.' Cather never found an ideal home but she remained, somewhat uneasily, in New York.

It was here that she met Edith Lewis, with whom she shared an apartment until she died. Although Phyllis Robinson states that their 'life together was undoubtedly a marriage in every sense,' there is no concrete evidence to support this. Biographers have tended to rather ignore Lewis, prompted, perhaps, by a desire for tidiness: McClung is invariably portrayed as the big romance in Cather's life.
with Lewis as the loyal but less than scintillating companion. This is very probably a gross simplification. Judging from the book she wrote about Cather, Lewis must have been an intelligent and cultured woman but so little given to self-advertisement that it is difficult to gain a picture of her. The one letter from Cather to Lewis, which somehow escaped destruction, is intimate and tender. Nonetheless, Isabelle McClung's marriage in 1916 came as a terrible blow, despite the fact that Cather and Lewis had already been living together for about eight years. When Isabelle died in 1938, Cather wrote to a friend that she thought most novelists wrote for one person and for her that person had been Isabelle.24

With the appearance of The Song of the Lark (1915), which is dedicated to Isabelle, the period of Cather's greatest creativity begins. Novels such as My Ántonia (1918) and the Pulitzer Prize winning One of Ours (1922), were so successful that she could afford to turn down munificent offers from Hollywood and never had to compromise her integrity by writing potboilers, or having her work anthologized in cheap 'omnibus' editions. Once she had overcome her initial hesitancy she wrote to please herself, ignoring critical and literary fashions and relying on her own instincts as an artist. This made her a somewhat isolated figure. She was particularly out of sympathy with the novel of social criticism and voiced her objections uncompromisingly on several occasions. From her youth to her death she never
wavered in her conviction that 'economics and art are strangers.' She could not believe that society would ever be changed by a novel: reformers should confine themselves to pamphleteering and artists should devote themselves to the enduring human values and emotions. American writers, from Hamlin Garland to Theodore Dreiser and Dos Passos, who espoused theories or amassed documentary fact, were of no interest to her.

The American avant-garde did not impress her greatly. She had no time for Ezra Pound and Amy Lowell: poems about 'wet oyster shell[s]' against 'wet beach[es]' left her cold. And according to Sergeant she found the self-styled mother of American literature, Gertrude Stein, too absurd to even discuss. But, although she inveighed against waywardness and assaults upon punctuation, this was not due to any reverence for convention - she had, after all, published free verse herself in *April Twilights* (1903). She believed in experimentation; that writers cannot simply adhere 'to the old patterns' but must search 'for something new and untried.' But what she found in the majority of her compatriots was at best merely lively reportage and at worst superficial novelty. She particularly admired Thornton Wilder and Scott Fitzgerald and had ambivalent feelings about Sinclair Lewis. Her favourite contemporary American poet was Robert Frost. The relative silence on the subject of Cather's work suggests that the contempt she felt for the majority of her fellows was mutual. Nonetheless, when Sinclair Lewis received the Nobel Prize in
1930, he was reported to have said that Miss Cather should have had it; Faulkner paid her the backhanded compliment of remarking that not even Willa Cather could convince him a woman could write; Fitzgerald was worried that he had unconsciously plagiarised from her, and Wallace Stevens considered that 'we have nothing better than she is.'

In the larger context of literature written in English she is often seen as something of an anachronism in the age which saw the proliferation of such experimental novelists as James Joyce, Virginia Woolf and D. H. Lawrence. Cather apparently considered *Ulysses* to be a 'landmark' and respected Virginia Woolf, but one can only assume that her interest in both of them was of a fairly desultry kind, as there is not a mention of any of their books in her critical writings or letters. As for Lawrence, though she liked him as a man, he is summarily dismissed in 'The Novel Demeublé': 'Can anyone imagine anything more terrible than the story of Romeo and Juliet rewritten in prose by D. H. Lawrence?' Characteristically, her evaluation of *The Rainbow* depends on a humanist and artistic disapproval of Lawrence's tendency to treat emotions as mere sensory reactions. Whatever technical innovations he may have introduced in the novel, to Cather his 'laboratory study of bodily organs under sensory stimuli' harked back to nineteenth century Naturalism. But she admired Katherine Mansfield, Thomas Mann and Joseph Conrad. Clearly she was not, as has been suggested, completely estranged from the Modern Movement.
Cather is invariably portrayed as having no coterie and leaving no disciples. Nonetheless, she was not such an isolated figure as she appears today. She had friends who were writers, with whom she discussed work, but they were all women and have been largely forgotten. With the three most important of these she maintained a lifelong correspondence: the novelist, Dorothy Canfield, whom she met at University; the playwright, Zoë Akins, and the novelist and essayist, Elizabeth Sergeant, both of whom were friends from the McClure days. She was fond of the poet, Sara Teasdale, and in later life met Sigrid Undset and became a great admirer of Undset's Norwegian epics. There was no question of a shared literary manifesto. Indeed, there was considerable disagreement at times: Cather felt that Sergeant wasted time on politics that should have been spent on her work, and was upset by Akins's play Starvation on Red River, which she found forced and improbable. But between Cather and each of these writers there was plenty of lively debate and, above all, tremendous loyalty and affection.

Most of Cather's maturity was devoted to writing. She lived for the most part in New York but often escaped from it. She spent summers in Jaffrey, New Hampshire (where she was buried) and on Grand Manan Island, New Brunswick, which she loved for the peace and beauty of its woods and streams and cliff walks. She made trips to Europe, and also spent long vacations in the Southwest and
later in Quebec. Her outward life was largely uneventful: she wrote, travelled, listened to music and saw her friends. She was not a recluse, as has so often been stated, she simply refused to become a personage. Once she became famous she found she had to barricade herself against the incessant demands to lecture, give readings and interviews, join literary clubs and attend literary luncheons. For her, all this had nothing to do with literature. Ironically, by trying to avoid public attention, she became a myth and she lived to grow heartily sick of the legend of herself as a pale creature who had sacrificed everything to her art.34

Her last years, though, grew increasingly bleak. She suffered from minor illnesses and injuries to her hands which made writing difficult. It seemed to her that it simply rained death: both her parents, her brothers Douglas and Roscoe to whom she had been closest, Isabelle McClung and other old friends, all died. She grew disenchanted with many aspects of contemporary life and despaired at the increasing tide of commercialization and mass production. She lived through a time of considerable upheaval: the First World War, the advent of the automobile and moving pictures, the rise of America's vast, sprawling cities and tremendous changes in social attitudes and manners. The outbreak of the Second World War heralded to her the end of everything she had cherished and with the fall of Paris she wrote in her line-a-day diary: 'There seems to be no hope at all for people of my generation.'35
Cather never became a bitter old woman but the occasional flashes of anger and despair which escaped her have earned her that reputation. The most notorious of these outbursts occurs in the preface to her collection of essays, _Not Under Forty_ (1936), in which she informs her readers that if they have not attained the required age they need read no further: the book 'is for the backward and by one of their number.' Cather's truculence was, in part, provoked: she herself had been attacked by Marxist critics and, as a private letter makes plain, certain graduates of New York University had been delving into Sarah Orne Jewett's private life and discussing 'sex starvation' amongst provincial ladies. Cather was outraged and her essay on Jewett, although it never addresses the issue of Jewett's sexuality directly, was clearly prompted by a passionate desire to defend her early mentor's reputation. Later she changed the title and omitted the preface but the damage was done: she stood convicted of nostalgia and conservatism.

When Cather moved into her Park Avenue apartment in 1932 it signalled to many that she had become an establishment figure. Elizabeth Sergeant, for example, wondered whether Neighbour Rosicky or Grandma Harris could have got past the porter, with the implication that Cather had somehow betrayed her allegiance to the immigrants and unpretentious country people. But she chose her address for its seclusion not its social caché. Sergeant also shows Cather as having
all the brutal indifference of the self-made woman: ‘Nobody, young people especially, should be helped; no artist or writer either. Endowments, frescoes for public buildings, travelling fellowships be damned.’ But all through the Depression, Cather sent a succession of cheques to farming families in Nebraska, to help them buy seed and meet mortgage payments, and serialized Lucy Gayheart in order to be able to do so. She also contributed in secret to a fund for the impoverished S. S. McClure. \textsuperscript{37}

Cather’s feeling for life was intense and, in unguarded moments, she expressed her views in an extreme form. She admitted to a friend that she always wanted to hang garlands on people or put them to torture. \textsuperscript{38} Neither her political opinions nor her attitude to literature stemmed from a systematized philosophy: she responded to an event individually, often emotionally. It was because of this that she was so reluctant to expound her views in print: she did not want to be committed to opinions she had held ten years before. However, it is not as a critic or thinker that she is important and in her novels, her opinions and prejudices of the moment fall away and become irrelevant. She never began a creative work from an immediate impression, or in the heat of reaction, but followed Sarah Orne Jewett’s advice: ‘find your own quiet centre of life and write from that.’ \textsuperscript{39} Her greatest books are the fruit of long and calm reflection, and of profound sympathy, which perhaps because it cost her so much, is all the more convincing.
Many reminiscences of the elderly Cather show an austere, almost patrician figure. Robert Frost's verdict is fairly typical: 'With Willa Cather, it was "the people, no."' Even Yehudi Menuhin, to whom Cather was like an aunt, remembers her as all 'country tweediness' with more than a hint of Christian Temperance about her. But there was another side to her character. In a recently discovered collection of her letters to Stephen Tennant she is candid, witty and generous. She encourages him in his work, laughs at his youthful posturings and tells how she hid his, outrageous but delightful, Leaves from a Missionary's Notebook in a locked drawer. Indeed, it is particularly unfortunate that Cather forbade the publication of her letters because with her relaxed, playful style with friends, her honesty and concern, they are not only revealing, but very attractive.

Truman Capote's highly perceptive reminiscence also shows a very different figure from the stereotype of the elderly Cather as a withdrawn and forbidding spinster. In his account she appears elegant, outgoing and amused. Their unlikely friendship sprang from an encounter outside the New York Society Library in 1942 when Capote was nineteen, and Cather, though she would probably never have admitted it, nearly seventy:
Occasionally, I saw a woman there whose appearance rather mesmerized me - her eyes especially: blue, the pale brilliant cloudless blue of prairie skies. But even without this singular feature, her face was interesting - firm-jawed, handsome, a bit androgynous. Pepper-salt hair parted in the middle. Sixty-five, thereabouts....

One January day I emerged from the library into the twilight to find a heavy snowfall in progress. The lady with the blue eyes, wearing a nicely cut black coat with a sable collar, was waiting at the curb. A gloved, taxi-summoning hand was poised in the air, but there were no taxis. She looked at me and smiled and said: 'Do you think a cup of hot chocolate would help? There's a Longchamps around the corner.'

She ordered hot chocolate; I asked for a 'very' dry martini. Half seriously, she said, 'Are you old enough?'

In the course of conversation he discovered, with a 'frisson,' that she was the author of *My Ántonia*, *A Lost Lady*, *The Professor's House*. They became friends; she read his work and was always 'a fair and helpful judge'; 'a good listener' and a 'crisply pointed' conversationalist. Capote may not always be the most reliable witness but this portrait seems to capture the spirit of Cather as it is manifest in her books - warm, generous, with a quiet humour.
"What a difference Wagner made in the world, after all."
Charlotte Waterford in 'Uncle Valentine.'

Picture a young woman in the early 1890's sitting in a cornfield howling because she cannot hear any music dramas. Give her all the music she wants, take her about the world a little until her mind finds what it is hunting for, and she will come out all right with the corn. This is Cather's explanation of her early hostility to Nebraska and of her subsequent change of heart. All her dissatisfaction with the narrowness and philistinism of the American small town, and her impatience to be part of the great intellectual and artistic circles of her day, found expression in her passion for the music of Richard Wagner. From her vantage point in Red Cloud, Wagner represented the pinnacle of European culture and everything that America lacked. Paradoxically, it was the example of his work which led her home again, to the source of her creativity, by showing her the value of symbol and archetype. On the simplest level, as America had no cycle of legends of its own, she could always borrow his and write about Siegfrieds and Parsifals of the prairie, rainbow bridges over Chicago and Rhinegold in Pittsburgh. More profoundly, she learned from Wagner as the mythologist of national origins, discovering that America too had an
ancient past and, if not a mythology, the stuff out of which myths are made.

Towards the end of her life Cather said that she had spent more time listening to music than most people spent on their careers: her enthusiasm began early and never diminished. Even before Cather had been to a performance of Wagner, the cosmopolitan critic of the Journal did not let this inhibit her from referring to the Master as if she were a fully fledged aficionado. By March 1894 he was included in her pantheon of artistic heroes when she castigated the 'guild of drawing room critics' who have 'declared Ruskin and Wagner and Turner and Modjeska blase.' The 'parlour critics' found Wagner 'overdrawn, coarse, stagey, unnatural' but Cather, revelling in Romantic rebellion, threw down her gauntlet: 'Make your own world as moderate and proper and conventional as you wish, but behind the footlights let people love with kisses and suffer with tears.'

Her apparently gratuitous idolization is not as surprising as it seems at first. Bryan Magee in Aspects of Wagner concluded that 'Wagner has had a greater influence than any other single artist on the culture of our age.' Many writers felt his impact before they ever heard the music: Raymond Furness in Wagner and Literature recounts how C. S. Lewis 'experienced the very shape of his name as a magic symbol' and how Lord Berners, merely glimpsing the score of Das Rheingold in a shop window, felt like Dante when he first saw Beatrice on the bridge in Florence.
There have been several accounts of Wagner's profound and varied influence on English and European literature but American authors seem to have been considerably less impressed. The journey from Germany through Symboliste France, to Edwardian England and Ireland, has been well documented but somehow Wagner also made his way to Cather perched, as Ford Maddox Ford liked to think of her, on her slag heap in Pittsburgh.6

Cather was not only unique amongst Americans in her debt to Wagner but decidedly original in her response. Wagnerism reached epidemic proportions in Europe and Cather was not entirely in accord with all its manifestations. The quasi-religious element in his work, or rather the attempt of some of his acolytes to extrapolate from this a Wagnerian metaphysic, struck her as being invariably 'pretentious' and 'stupid'. She was not a Perfect Wagnerite either. Although she did not demur from Shaw's social and political analysis of the Ring, she was not very interested in it. In her review of The Perfect Wagnerite she concluded that Shaw would 'naturally' regard Wotan as a symbol of obsolescent government but that 'Mr. Shaw's volume is scarcely satisfactory on the strong love theme in the Ring, which after all concerned Wagner much more nearly than the perplexities of his gods.'7

Wagner's appeal for Cather was not as a philosopher but as an artist. The music dramas were first and foremost Romances; stories of human emotion in a heightened form.
She delighted in the legendary atmosphere, in Lohengrin as 'a radiant incarnation of youth and chivalry' but above all she gloried in the portrayal of passion. She "read" the operas as if they were works of literature. In 1899 she had never heard a performance of Tristan and Isolde but she was alive to what she later called Wagner's 'rough' poetry; 'the sting of the potion' on Isolde's lips and 'the waves that lash so madly on the Irish coast'. Her reviews all focus on drama and characterisation. In the Ring Cather found essential and universal truths of human experience, 'the inexplicable law that tires out even the hearts of the gods, that binds and fetters in Valhalla just as it does in Pittsburgh or in Lincoln.'

In her preface to Gertrude Hall's Wagnerian Romances (1925) Cather offers her most explicit statement of Wagner's attraction:

But opera is a hybrid art, - part literary to begin with. It happens that in Wagnerian music drama the literary part of the work is not trivial, as it so often is in operas, but is truly the mate of the music, done by the same hand. The music is throughout concerned with words, and with things that can be presented in language; with human beings and their passions and sorrows ... 

Like many writers before her, Cather was dismayed at 'entrancing arias' being sung to 'insincere and grotesque plots.' Wagner's doctrine of the Gesamtkunstwerk, of every element contributing to the sense of dramatic coherency, made opera a potent force in literature for the first time.
In her review of *Lohengrin* in 1899 Cather discusses one of the aspects of Wagnerism which most influenced her own work. She cites the knight's appeal to Elsa in the third act not to seek his identity: it is a lesson to 'every analytical student' in the futility of 'killing a flower to find its name'. Although she began as a staunch advocate of scientific investigation - her High School Commencement Speech pleaded the cause of vivisection - she rapidly lost faith in it. Perhaps Wagner, a passionate anti-vivisectionist, was instrumental in her conversion. Certainly his belief that, as she phrased it, 'too much analysis destroys,' had a profound and lasting effect. Orthodox interpretations of *Lohengrin* stress the conflict between Christian and pagan values but to Cather the dramatic tension lay in the opposition of the rational and the Romantic. It is the compulsion to 'construct systems' which brings about 'poor practical' Elsa's downfall.\(^{11}\)

The antithesis between poetic truth and empirical truth became a dominant theme in her work. Moreover, it is related to the structure of the novels themselves. She rejected the plot-dominated novels which had been the staple of the nineteenth century and allowed her material to find its own form: the novelistic process, as is evident if one compares *Alexander's Bridge* (1912) with *O Pioneers!* (1913), became not a question of intellectual arrangements of interesting subject matter but a natural development whereby characters and events settled into their inevitable places.
The former, she said, followed a 'conventional pattern' but in the latter there was no '"inventing"; everything was spontaneous and took its own place, right or wrong.\(^\text{12}\) Here one can see a strong parallel with Wagner, who ignored the prevailing operatic trappings of mistaken identities, sub-plots and contrived denouements, and opted for a principle of organic growth.

At first sight it might seem mistaken to relate the author of 'The Novel Demeublé' to Wagner and his large-scale, even grandiose compositions but at this stage Cather had not yet formulated her theory of the art of suggestion and was more excited by the big effect than by delicacy or precision. She was eager to impress: in her juvenilia she had attempted to write about the Midwest but as the material seemed unpromising she turned her attention to what she considered to be more acceptable literary subjects. Her first volume of stories, The Troll Garden (1905), is the fruit of this.\(^\text{13}\) It deals exclusively with artists: creative and performing artists, the failed and successful, spurious and genuine. The major themes are the interaction of life and art and the hostility of Philistia (relocated in America) to real endeavour. She focuses particularly on the woman artist; the tensions involved in pursuing a career and having a family or the stark option of choosing between the two.

One of the most bleak yet profound of these explorations is 'A Wagner Matinee' - which is also the
clearest expression of the opposition in Cather's mind between Wagner, the apogee of civilization, and the cultural wasteland of Nebraska. In it the elderly Georgina Carpenter returns to Boston to visit her nephew after spending most of her adult life on a Midwestern farm. She had studied the piano in her youth, in Boston and Paris, but had given up the possibility of a career when she married and moved West. Her nephew Clark, the narrator, takes her to an afternoon concert and as she hears Wagner's music again, for the first time in thirty years, she is filled with a sickening sense of loss.

Georgina Carpenter is too downtrodden to rebel against her position or articulate her despair; it is only guessed at by the narrator as he watches her listen. The first half of the programme comprises the overtures to Tannhauser and The Flying Dutchman, the prelude to Tristan and Isolde and the 'Prize Song' from The Mastersingers. In the second half there are some unspecified numbers from the Ring, culminating with Siegfried's funeral March. The tragedy of Aunt Georgina's life is revealed through her response to the music: 'Soon after the tenor began the 'Prize Song,' I heard a quick drawn breath and turned to my aunt. Her eyes were closed, but the tears were glistening on her cheeks.' And the musical themes underline her tragedy: the conflict between the Pilgrim and Venusberg motifs in Tannhauser and the Senta and Curse motifs in The Flying Dutchmen both emphasise the conflict in Georgina Carpenter between music and marriage.
Cather's use of Wagner is impressionistic and there is no need, as Richard Giannone does, to enforce any strict parallels. According to Giannone, for example, the 'Pilgrims' Chorus' 'represents the higher impulses of Georgina Carpenter' whilst the Venusberg motif represents the tempting world created of man's profane desires' - in her case 'the arid plains of Red Willow county.' Indeed, nearly all the items on the programme are about 'the war between man's higher and lower instincts' and serve as 'ironic comments on Aunt Georgina's defeat.' However, the inclusion of the 'Prize Song', which celebrates the 'triumph of art and life', surely answers the contending themes in Tannhauser and The Flying Dutchman and suggests that it is possible to be a married artist. In any case Aunt Georgina hardly deserves such a relentless ironic commentary.

The allusions to Wagner evoke the world that she has lost but they are not intended as moral comments. Tannhauser is anything but a musical sermon and, as Cather said in her review, it is precisely because the claims of sacred and profane love are of equal weight 'that the opera derives [its] meaning and power.' Georgina Carpenter's tragedy is not so much that she fell in love - that there was, in Giannone's terms, 'no victory for the higher yearnings' - but that she ended up in Nebraska. By no stretch of the imagination could Cather have expected the farm to function as a parallel to the 'sensuous splendour of Venusberg.' Here it is in Clark's memory:
I saw again the tall, naked house on the prairie, black and grim as a wooden fortress; the black pond where I had learned to swim, its margin pitted with sun-dried cattle tracks; the rain gullied clay banks about the naked house ... Only a masochist would have been 'tempted' by that.

Aunt Georgina's deprivation is evoked with dramatic immediacy at the end of the concert: 'The harpist slipped its green felt cover over his instrument; the flute-players shook the water from their mouthpieces; the men of the orchestra went out one by one, leaving the stage to the chairs and the music stands, empty as a winter cornfield.' Cather deftly fuses her descriptions so that one world comments on the other. The flat, monotony of the plains, 'where, as in a treadmill, one might walk from daybreak to dusk without perceiving a shadow of change' contrasts with the landscape of the orchestra: 'the beloved shapes of the instruments, the patches of yellow light thrown by the green shaded lamps on the smooth, varnished bellies of the 'cellos and the bass viols in the rear, the restless, wind-tossed forest of fiddle necks and bows.'

Contemplating his aunt, Clark wonders 'Had this music any message for her?' As she sits silently weeping he imagines that it takes her on a sea journey, which in this context inevitably summons Tristan and Isolde to mind.
The deluge of sound poured on and on; I never knew what she found in the shining current of it;... From the trembling of her face I could well believe that before the last numbers she had been carried out where the myriad graves are, into the grey, nameless burying grounds of the sea; or into some world of death vaster yet, where, from the beginning of the world, hope has lain down with hope and dream with dream and, renouncing, slept.

The melody which Isolde hears takes her too on a voyage towards death. As Clark imagines Aunt Georgina drowning in the deluge of sound the passage, with its surging rhythm, becomes her 'Liebestod.' Like Isolde she is defeated by social and physical reality but both transcend the limitations of this world, and through renunciation, sail towards a more complete fulfilment. Before she can be transported to this 'world of death' she has to face again the barren landscape of Nebraska:

For her, just outside the door of the concert hall, lay the black pond with the cattle-tracked bluffs; the tall, unpainted house, the weather-curled boards, naked as a tower, the crook-backed ash seedlings where the dishcloths hung to dry; the gaunt, moulting turkeys picking up refuse about the kitchen door.

The ash seedlings are perhaps intended to recall the great ash tree in Die Walküre, from which Nothung springs, but in 'A Wagner Matinee' they sprout only dishcloths in a devastating image of domestic dreariness.

Other stories in The Troll Garden draw on Wagnerian music drama but none so successfully as 'A Wagner Matinee.' 'The Garden Lodge,' for example, which also concerns a
failed woman artist, is a contemporary reworking of Act 1 of Die Walküre. Caroline Noble, who comes from a penniless family of artists, was not forced to give up her career; she refused the struggle to become a concert pianist, took refuge in a companionable marriage to Howard Noble, a wealthy Wall Street broker, and exchanged squalor for security. Prompted by her husband's suggestion that they should pull down the old garden lodge, which had been the scene of her flirtation with the Wagnerian tenor, d'Esquerre, she begins to have regrets.

In Cather's version, Caroline plays Sieglinde, Howard a civilized Hunding, d'Esquérre is Siegmund and the lodge is Hunding's hut. Most of the story is a flashback to the time when d'Esquerre, weary from his battles in the opera world, arrived at the Nobles' to find the beautiful Caroline ready to restore him. She recalls how they had been playing over the first act of Die Walküre, d'Esquérre had led her from the keyboard, and as he sang 'Thou art the Spring for which I sighed in Winter's cold embraces' she had felt 'the whisper of a question from the hand under her heart.' (In fact this is Sieglinde's line but it is obviously more convenient to have the tenor do the singing!) In the transposition of the Volsung legend into a modern, bourgeois setting, the story gains considerable ironic thrust. The Wagnerian duo's passionate declaration of mutual love is here only a hesitant possibility: she retained 'her self-control' and 'he had seemed to take for granted' that
she would. Caroline becomes Sieglinde only in her dream. In the morning she is humiliated by her erotic fantasy, and announces her decision to have the lodge destroyed, but not without a sense of loss and the awareness that 'this happy, useful, well-ordered life was not enough.'

Cather also introduces a counter theme from Parsifal through a network of allusions to Klingsor's garden. For her it is not just the place where the voluptuous flower maidens play but another metaphor for the Kingdom of Art. D'Esquerre comes to stay with the Nobles because 'he felt occasionally the need of getting out of Klingsor's garden.' In Wagner's work, Klingsor creates a magic garden but he can take no pleasure in it and in d'Esquerre's lonely personal life, Caroline recognises 'the helplessness of the enchanter to at all enchant himself.' But, when she considers her own compromise, she reflects that 'the people in Klingsor's garden were more fortunate, however barren the sands from which they conjured their paradise.' In the end Cather remains ambivalent: love is dangerous and art cannot wholly satisfy but without either, life is hardly worth living.

'The Garden Lodge' is skilful but somewhat self-conscious. In this it is representative of the faults of The Troll Garden as a whole which, as the fruit of Cather's friendships with opera singers and artists, her excitement at becoming part of the world of culture and ideas, rarely manages to rise above the level of extremely
lively reportage. The best of the collection, 'A Sculptor's Funeral,' 'Paul's Case' and 'A Wagner Matinee,' explore the same themes but because they contain a measure of felt experience, they are not so circumscribed by artificiality and contrivance, 'Paul's Case,' for example, concerns a young boy of artistic temperament but no talent, overwhelmed by the ugliness and mundanity of Pittsburgh's suburbia, who steals from his employer and spends a few glorious days of splendour and luxury in New York before committing suicide. Although the story was based on a newspaper report, Paul's case was similar to Cather's own, with the important exception that hers was redeemed by a dedication to writing.

Her next book was Alexander's Bridge (1912), an account of an engineer's infatuation with an actress, followed by O Pioneers! (1913) in which she returned to the immigrant farmers of the Midwest in an attempt, perhaps, to escape from the artificiality which the subject matter of The Troll Garden and Alexander's Bridge had seemed to necessitate. The number of commentaries on the ordering of the stories within the collection testifies to that element of 'arrangement' which she came to think of as the hallmark of the second-rate and she thought so poorly of the novel that she did not want it republished. But the story of an opera singer growing up in the Midwest promised the best of both worlds and, with Wagner's example of organic design, it could unfold with a naturalness that accounts of artistic
development had often failed to achieve. Significantly, it was not until Cather accepted her native land as a valid subject for art that she portrayed a successful artist. The Song of the Lark (1915) is the first of Cather's works to use Wagner, not as a way of rubbing America's nose in its philistinism, but as a means to creating its own art forms and appreciating those it already had.

In what sense is The Song of the Lark a Wagnerian novel? It is certainly not 'a symphony in full stops and commas,' as Moore described Dujardin's Les Lauriers sont Coupés (1888). Cather never attempted a full-scale application of Wagnerian technique to prose as Dujardin, and Moore in The Lake (1905), had done. She was eager to learn from music but was well aware of the special limitations and possibilities of each form. Moore's experiments with the 'unending melody' of narrative prompted Wilde to ask whether his latest novel was to be played on the piano! Cather never tried to stretch the boundaries of prose narrative in this way. The Song of the Lark is a Bildungsroman, giving the growing awareness of the central character and the influence of the environment. She took from Wagner only those features most readily adaptable to literature. For example, Thea Kronburg's growth of consciousness is charted by leitmotif, as memories of the past surface at each stage of her development. Less directly musical is Cather's debt to Wagner as the mythologist of national origins: Thea's artistic coming of age occurs at Panther Cañon with the
discovery that the Ancient People had lengthened her past
and given her 'older and higher obligations.' Cather
also found in Wagner a rich mine of symbol and analogue.

A comparison with George Moore's *Evelyn Innes* (1898) -
the European novel of a Wagnerian soprano - reveals much
about Cather's process and highlights her distinctive use of
Wagner. Apart from Henry James, she found that most writers
digressed interminably when they attempted to portray the
life of an artist: George Sand in *Consuelo* (1842) and Mrs
Humphrey Ward in *Miss Bretherton* (1884) were among the worst
offenders. But she spoke glowingly of Moore's novel on
several occasions: it is a 'searching and sympathetic study
of the artistic temperament'; 'an admirably constructed'
book, 'in which nothing superfluous is tolerated, and in
which all the incidental philosophising and moralising is
made to support and strengthen the story proper.'

Moore achieves this sense of unity by making Evelyn's
life parallel those of the Wagnerian heroines she plays on
stage. This not only justifies the fairly lengthy
discussion of Wagner's work but provides Moore with a
fertile source of comparison. Indeed, art and life become
almost indistinguishable at times. When Evelyn returns to
London to beg forgiveness from her estranged father (for
having run away with her lover, Owen Asher) she is conscious
of playing Brünnhilde to his Wotan. She can barely
distinguish between the third act of *Die Walküre* and
reality: Brünnhilde's words 'broke from her lips' and she
'heard the swelling harmony, every chord.' It must be admitted that the scene has a comic element but Moore is not, as has been suggested, being ironic at Evelyn's expense. She may be playing a part but it is with complete conviction: 'every time before was but a rehearsal.' The Wagnerian parallel establishes the archetypal nature of their relationship: 'She was the daughter at the father's feet.' Cather never makes so close an identification and such parallels as do exist are only suggested obliquely.

In Evelyn Innes art comments on life and life on art. Evelyn's personal experience informs her interpretations; her compelling account of Elisabeth's renunciation in Tannhäuser, for example, is drawn from memories of her cloistered youth. Evelyn is a lapsed Catholic who has led an irregular life but on stage she is again 'a virgin whose sole reality has been her father and her chatelaine' (p.188). Thea, too identifies with and illuminates the roles she plays. Her Elisabeth, of course, has a different provenance - Moonstone rather than Dulwich - and gains a different interpretation. Her accompanist, Landry, observes that she was working on the part during her mother's illness: '"[It] might be any lonely woman getting ready to die"' (p.540). Both Moore and Cather emphasise the essential truth of Wagner's vision but Moore concentrates on, and to some extent is confined by, the 'truth' of sexuality. Characteristically, Evelyn's Elisabeth is a virgin, Thea's is 'any lonely woman.'
The nineteenth century assumption that women who appeared on stage, exposing themselves to the public, must therefore be immodest if not immoral, hovers in the background of Evelyn's career. Although Moore does not condemn his heroine, he evidently still harbours a suspicion that as she is a performer she is unlikely to be a prude. Cather brushes the whole misconception aside. Thea, fairly uniquely for actresses in literature, is not even conventionally beautiful. And, whereas Moore's novel opens with Evelyn about to begin her career, Cather spends over a third of hers on Thea's childhood. Her main interest is not in the problems facing an actress but the making of an artist.

Thea sings several roles in the course of the novel: Elisabeth, Elsa, Fricka and Sieglinde but she is never consistently identified with one part. Evelyn, on the contrary, though she sings all the major soprano roles is a reincarnation of Isolde. This is central to the novel's preoccupation with sensuality, as Tristan and Isolde provides Moore with the perfect vehicle to explore its 'perilous fascination.' Evelyn's celibate youth in Dulwich and her life as Owen Asher's lover, is repeatedly described in terms of Isolde before and after drinking the love potion.

Evelyn's interpretations are animated by a sensuality which is yet further inflamed by the passion of Wagner's music. After a performance of Tristan she 'loosed the hand
of her stage lover and threw herself into the arms of Ulick Dean murmuring, much to the reader's embarrassment, "That music maddens me" (p.291-292). Towards the end of the novel it is suggested that she should play Kundry - the guilt-ridden temptress who finds redemption in drying Parsifal's feet with her hair - but significantly she retires to a nunnery instead. To be fair to Moore, he never posits renunciation as a satisfactory solution to the vexed question of female sexuality. In contrast, though Thea is the daughter of a Methodist minister, she is singularly untroubled by religious doubts or strictures - she leaves the latter to her pious sister, Anna. The full force of her energy is channelled into becoming a singer and it is through art that she finds fulfilment without any need of religion.

Although there are superficial similarities, Cather's treatment of sexuality is also very different. Fred Ottenburg is the counterpart to Owen Asher: both are rich men of the world and musical amateurs who promote the careers of their chosen divas. The contrast in attitude between the two authors emerges most clearly in the courtship scenes. Wagner provides the catalyst for Moore's pair when Owen plays 'the love music out of "Tristan" on the harpsichord' (p.73). Within minutes of this bizarre serenade they are planning to elope to Paris. Fred, too, releases his lover from the bondage of music lessons with a
kiss but their background music is Grieg's 'Tak for dit Rad,' which Thea translates as, "Thanks for your advice! But I prefer to steer my boat into the din of roaring breakers" (p.338). She goes off to New Mexico alone leaving Fred with the resolution to 'attack her when his lance was brighter' (p.363). Thea is not seduced by her lover; they meet on equal terms and she feels no moral or religious qualms. It is her dignity which is outraged, not her conscience, when she discovers that Fred is already married.

The fact that Cather provides Fred with a mad wife is seen by many critics as proof of her 'peculiarity.' The argument runs that Cather never married because she was wedded to her art and, as she thought art and matrimony incompatible, she rigged the plot. But the terms in which this argument is invariably couched betray, not only bias, but what one might call the 'biographical fallacy.' Woodress, for example, states that she 'had no need of heterosexual relationships; she was married to her art' and, he concludes, it is not 'surprising that in her fiction artists never have happy marriages.' Now, she either had no need of heterosexual relationships because she was homosexual, or had no need of any relationships because she was an artist. The fallacy operates by assuming that she was 'eccentric' or 'peculiar' (rather loaded euphemisms) and then finding corroboration of this in the novels. But it is
not there. Although Randall 'deduces' from his reading, 'not only a deep fear of emotional entanglements on Willa Cather's part but also a belief that art could and should be used as a substitute for a continued physical relationship,' this is not a deduction but an imposition.20

The Song of the Lark is a portrait of an artist, not a study of married life. In the epilogue the reader learns that Fred and Thea marry and are happy - but that has not been Cather's primary concern. Moreover, although Woodress and Randall instance every unhappy marriage in her fiction, it should be remembered that she portrays many happy ones, among them that of the composer, Harsanyi, and his wife. The notion of the Catheran artist as celibate is further undermined by the novel's treatment of sensuality. It is not, as in Evelyn Innes, a perilous fascination but a positive good. Both Evelyn and Thea are changed by their love affairs but Cather not only dispenses with the morass of guilt and sin, she attributes Thea's artistic maturity to her awakened sexuality. To convey this she draws on the heroic model of the Ring rather than the Romantic Tristan and Isolde. Thea's transformation occurs while she and Fred are at Panther Canon in the American Southwest. They clamber up cliff walks, sleep in caves and bathe in fresh water streams. They inhabit an elemental world, free from the artificial restraints of society. Full of physical exuberance and energy, it is one of the most open-air portrayals of passion in literature.
In Chicago, Thea had been grinding at music lessons, accompanying, and singing at funerals but here she is revitalized. Later, Fred finds justification for his 'crooked' behaviour in her metamorphosis. Harsanyi, Thea's first teacher in Chicago, maintained that musical training and talent are not everything: to become an artist one had to be born again. In his analysis emotional and sexual maturity play an important part. Before she meets Fred, Harsanyi tells her that she has not yet come into her gift and the woman she was meant to be: 'When you find your way to that gift and to that woman, you will be at peace'' (p.265). Her rebirth is effected to a very large extent by Fred who helps her to find herself at Panther Canon. She becomes a true artist. Indeed, she is almost a Wagnerian heroine; emanating a mythic aura, a wealth of Jugendzeit, with the same radiance and power as Sieglinde and Brünnhilde.

Cather had long been attracted to Wagner's heroines. In an early review she had disparaged, with a sweeping generalization, the women of Rossini, Donizetti and Verdi: 'When Wagner called his goddess women down out of Valhalla, they relegated the fragile heroines of the old Italian operas to the oblivion of antiquated dolls on the shelves of a toy shop.' To Cather, one of Wagner's greatest innovations had been in making his women active, animating forces in the drama. This clearly engaged her sympathy and
provided a stimulus to the creation of Thea's character. The night before she makes her debut as Sieglinde, she exhibits the catalepsy of the true Wagnerian heroine: 'she slept ten hours without turning over' and awoke 'in shining armour' (p.565). The love duet from Act 1 of Die Walküre provides Cather with the perfect, though unstated, analogue for Fred and Thea's relationship. Her description of it emphasizes the physicality of Thea's performance so that the part and the person playing it become indistinguishable: 'Into one lovely attitude after another the music swept her, love impelled her' (p.568). Drawing on her own experience, Sieglinde's story becomes hers:

And the voice gave out all that was best in it. Like the Spring indeed, it blossomed into memories and prophecies, it recounted and it foretold, and she sang the story of her friendless life, and of how the thing that was truly herself, 'bright as the day, rose to the surface' when in the hostile world she for the first time beheld her Friend. [P.568]

Sieglinde's discovery of a true or second self, her transformation through love, parallel the events at Panther Canon. Indeed, the comparison is implicit in the novel as a whole: Thea, too, has been living in a hostile world; as Cather wrote in the preface, the book is largely concerned with her 'shifts to evade an idle, gaping world which is determined that no artist shall ever do his best.' She has
to counter hostility in Moonstone, even in her family, and jealousy and resentment in the musical society of Chicago; in short, the whole panorama of 'Stupid Faces.'

In the attempt to convey the emotional effect of Wagner's scene, the passion of the music, Cather employs an explicit sexual image. The sword, with which Siegmund is to defeat Hunding, is made into a symbol of love: 'Laughing, singing, exulting - with their passion and their sword - the Volsungs ran out into the spring night' (p.569). Cather heightens Sieglinde's role; one almost imagines that it is she who tears Notung from the tree and at one point she appears like a sword herself, 'tall and shining like a Victory' (p.568). The emphasis on the mutuality of passion recalls the scenes of Thea and Fred's affair. The phrasing too echoes earlier descriptions: when Thea kissed Fred she rose 'straight and free,' he felt her 'flash' and 'expan[d]'(p.405). They too 'kept laughing' and 'were delighted with each other' (p.407). The scene is crucial to the novel because it demonstrates Cather's belief in the importance of love in the creation of an artist and refutes the ubiquitous accusation that she refused to allow her female characters to be 'truly lovers.' Thea does not find her gift and 'the woman she was meant to be' until in the hostile world she first beholds her Friend.

The performance of Die Walküre occurs at the end of the book and is Thea's crowning achievement. Although the
performance is portrayed from Harsanyi's point of view - a discerning and informed judge - Cather pans to the gallery where she seats Thea's old friend, Spanish Johnny. The Mexican guitarist's 'smile' is the supreme accolade: 'If the singer, going home exhausted in her car, was wondering what was the good of it all, that smile, could she have seen it, would have answered her' (p.573). Thea's family had been shocked and annoyed that she preferred the dances at the Mexican settlement to the Methodist ice-cream socials but Spanish Johnny was one of the only true musicians in Moonstone. In The Song of the Lark music is a universal language that cuts through social, religious and national barriers and speaks directly to the human heart: 'It was to music, more than to anything else, that [the] hidden things in people responded' (p.273).

The clamorous applause at the end of the performance signals an illustrious career for the heroine. But the final image is of the spent prima donna making her lonely way home. Cather clearly intended to illicit the reader's sympathy but this has not always been forthcoming. It is a convention in literature for an actress or singer to rise to fame, not just on the merit of her talent but through charm, good looks and invariably, male patronage. Thea, however, fights her own way with inflexible determination and male critics in particular have found this unprepossessing. The terms in which Randall, for example, discusses her character
betray a masculine bias against the assertive and successful woman: she is 'a rough and aggressive woman' with 'despicable qualities', 'an aggressive, domineering, and infuriatingly self-absorbed woman'; so 'irritatingly aggressive' and 'unattractive,' indeed, she seems to offer a personal insult to his ideas of femininity. But if she is not 'amiable,' not 'a charmer,' if Cather 'nowhere softens the rough contours of Thea's character,' it is because she was not portraying a society hostess but an individual striving to achieve artistic eminence.\textsuperscript{23}

In the creation of Thea's character it has been suggested that Cather drew on her own experience (for the early sections) and that of her friend, the Wagnerian soprano, Olive Fremstad.\textsuperscript{24} This I'm sure is right but the influence of Wagner's Mein Leben is also apparent. To Cather, as to many, Wagner was the archetypal artist not for his music alone but because he was a man of action who, despite indifference and hostility, coerced the society in which he lived to take art seriously. Thea fights the same battle. From the philistine to the dilettante, she exclaims, '"nobody on God's earth wants it, really!"' (p.557). The qualities Cather reverenced in Wagner she gave to Thea. Elizabeth Sergeant recalls how Cather had admired Mein Leben: 'he didn't stop to plead his own cause, or pause to meditate - just recorded action.' Cather never justifies Thea's actions. Fred tells her, '"You've no time to sit round and analyse your conduct or your feelings."'.\textsuperscript{25}
Another aspect of Thea's characterisation, the emphasis on personality, has often been misunderstood. In analysing Thea's particular genius, for example, Landry says: "It's personality, that's as near as you can come to it." This is not, as Randall suggests, 'Willa Cather at her crudest and blindest,' offering a conception of art as 'mere self-expression.' Reading on, one discovers Landry attempting a closer definition: "It's unconscious memory, maybe, inherited memory, like folk music. I call it personality." Thea's distinctive and original interpretations of the great Wagnerian roles are informed by indigenous folk memory: a process which parallels Cather's novelistic strategy of adapting European forms to accommodate American experience. The process itself seems to originate in the importance Wagner assigned to the retrospective vision and the reinterpretation of experience. Reminiscing with Dr. Archie, Thea remarks, "Wagner says ... art is only a way of remembering youth." Thea is sustained by the past and memories surface at each stage of her development; she also draws on the 'unconscious' or 'inherited' memory of the 'longer' past she discovers at Panther Cañon. Thus Cather employs two central features of Wagnerism: the recapture of the past by leitmotif, and the exploitation of national myth.

One example of Cather's use of motivic recall is a musical allusion which is introduced at the beginning of the novel and which is last heard in Part V. Thea's childhood piano teacher is a German named Wunsch; a man of genuine
musical ability whom sadness and exile have driven to alcoholism. When he is forced to leave Moonstone (after a drinking bout) he presents Thea with an old score of Gluck's *Orfeo ed Euridice*, inscribed, 'Einst, O Wunder' (p.120). He tells her that in ten years time she will either know what the inscription means or have not the least idea, in which case it will hardly matter. The primary significance of the Orpheus legend, here, is not that of the musician imposing order on the natural world but the condition imposed upon him, not to look back. Wunsch, the only major character who does not reappear to share in Thea's success, departs for even bleaker regions than Moonstone.

When Thea leaves home she knows that the parting is final but, like Orpheus, she cannot turn back. The *Orfeo* score acts as her passport to the Kingdom of Art. After completing her studies in Chicago, she sails for Europe and is forced this time to turn her back on Fred. Once again she packs 'the clumsily bound' score which she takes with her into each new phase of her life (p.462). Unlike Orpheus, Thea never weakens, but the myth heightens the reader's awareness of her renunciation.

The aria which Wunsch teaches Thea is, 'Ach, ich habe sie verloren'. (Presumably, Cather uses a translation of the Italian text because the characters with which the opera is associated are German.) Wunsch arrived in Moonstone with nothing but the vestiges of a musical talent and his memories. Thea walks home in the snow after the class, leaving him at the piano:
Wunsch always came back to the same thing:

'Ach, ich habe sie verloren.

Euridice, Euridice!' [Pp.92-93]

As Fritz Kohler and his wife, the old German couple with whom he lives, listen to him play, they recall their homeland:

'From time to time Fritz sighed softly. He, too, had lost a Euridice' (p.93). On the eve of her embarkation for Europe, terrified at the possibility of failure, Thea recalls Wunsch: 'she could still hear the old man playing in the snowstorm. "Ach, ich habe sie verloren!"' (p.466). It is the motif of the exiles; those who have lost their country, their love or their art.

Having been disappointed so often by youthful talent, Wunsch had hardly dared to hope that Thea might develop into an artist. His inscription, 'Einst, O Wunder" reflects his longing that the failure of his own life might be redeemed by Thea. It comes from Beethoven's 'Adelaide':

One day, O miracle, upon my grave shall bloom
A flower from the ashes of my heart.

His last view of Thea is a beautiful evocation of these lines:
Yes, she was like a flower full of sun, but not the soft German flowers of his childhood.... she was like the yellow prickly-pear blossoms that open there in the desert; thornier and sturdier than the maiden flowers he remembered; not so sweet, but wonderful. [P.122]

Subtly, Cather fuses the Old World with the New: the flower of Beethoven's song is transformed into the American prickly pear as Thea becomes the 'wonder' who rises from the ashes of Wunsch's ruined life.

The Gluck/Beethoven combination is one of several motifs used by Cather to structure the novel. (Some recurrences are restricted to the function of what Debussy termed, 'calling cards'; Spanish Johnny enters to the sound of Mexican ballads, Dr. Archie is associated with Scottish airs and Thea's other childhood friend, the brakeman Ray Kennedy, with railway ditties.) Although there is not space to deal with them all here, these motifs of recollection act as linking devices, joining past to present and creating a web of connections between characters. The same kind of associations are made between Thea's present and the ancient past discovered at Panther Cañon, through which she comes into contact with the mythic origins of America.

Cather's understanding of the operation of myth parallels Wagner's. Having abandoned the imitative modes of his earliest operas, he discovered the mainspring of his new art works in the resuscitation of Nordic mythology and Cather, having abandoned the Jamesian mode of Alexander's
Bridge, found hers in the excavation of Indian history. The value of myth to both artists is well illuminated by Nietzsche:

Without myth, however, every culture loses its healthy creative natural power... The mythical figures have to be the invisibly omnipresent genii, under the care of which the young soul grows to maturity, by the signs of which the man gives a meaning to his life and struggles.  

The invisible genii in *A Song of the Lark* are the Navaho women. Walking on the paths their feet had worn smooth, examining their beautifully decorated water jars, Thea 'began to have intuitions about the women' and gains a new meaning in her life (p.376).

In Nietzschean terms America would be 'doomed to exhaust all its possibilities' because it had no roots from which to feed its culture. Cather dramatizes the dilemma of the 'mythless condition' - 'eternally hungering' for knowledge - through Thea; 'Her mind was like a rag bag into which she had been frantically thrusting whatever she could grab' (p.380). She makes the same discovery, of a 'primitive seat,' that Nietzsche ascribed to Wagner: 'beneath this restlessly palpitating civilised life and educational convulsion there is concealed a glorious, intrinsically healthy, primeval power.' She is filled with 'vitality; a lightness in the body and a driving power in the blood' (p.381). She throws away 'the lumber' she had acquired in Chicago: 'Her ideas were simplified, became sharper and clearer. She felt united and strong' (p.380).
Thea's apprehension of a mythic past and its relation to her as an artist is effected by the pottery jars of the Indian women. The 'beautiful decoration' reveals to her the origin of art: 'They had not only expressed their desire, but they had expressed it as beautifully as they could. Food, fire, water, and something else ... the seed of sorrow, and of so much delight' (p.379). The moment in which she makes this connection needs to be quoted in some length:

When Thea took her bath at the bottom of the cañon, in the sunny pool behind the screen of cottonwoods, she sometimes felt as if the water must have sovereign qualities, from having been the object of so much service and desire. That stream was the only living thing left of the drama that had been played out in the cañon centuries ago. In the rapid, restless heart of it, flowing swifter than the rest, there was a continuity of life that reached back into the old time. The glittering thread of current had a kind of lightly worn, loosely knit personality, graceful and laughing....

One morning, ... something flashed through her mind that made her draw herself up and stand still .... The stream and the broken pottery: what was any art but an effort to make a sheath, a mould in which to imprison for a moment the shining, elusive element which is life itself - life hurrying past us and running away, too strong to stop, too sweet to lose? The Indian women had held it in their jars. In the sculpture she had seen in the Art Institute, it had been caught in a flash of arrested motion. [P.378]

The central image of running water is concerned with life as ceaseless change and motion which can only be 'arrested' by art. As the ancient women made jars, Thea must make a vessel of her throat to capture the beauty of evanescence. Art is the only source of permanent value. The stream shows her the continuity of life, 'reaching back into the past,'
and her desire to sheathe it for a moment binds her to 'a long chain of human endeavour' (p.380).

Cather creates a legendary environment in which Thea's recognition can take place: the bath has 'a ceremonial gravity'; 'the atmosphere of the canon was ritualistic' (p.378). In this context the 'drama that had been played out in the canon centuries ago' has resonances of another drama, played in the deep gorges of the banks of the Rhine, in Das Rheingold. Thea bathes in her pool like the Rhinemaidens circling the bed of the river. Their laughter and gaiety and constant movement is reflected in the description of the water as 'rapid and restless,' 'graceful and laughing.' The bright gleam of the Rhinegold, which shines and flashes as it breaks through the water, is like the 'glittering thread of current' in Thea's stream which she feels has 'sovereign qualities'. The shining and elusive element of the Rhinegold becomes life itself which must be captured, not like Alberich for the exercise of power, but for the creation of art.

Although Thea is an interpretative artist, her epiphany is related to the process of creation. Her realization that 'art was but an attempt ... to imprison for a moment the shining, elusive element which is life itself' could hardly be closer to Cather's prescription for the novel: 'out of the teeming, gleaming stream of the present it must select the eternal material of art.' Thea's growth as an artist is a mirror of Cather's development and the insights Thea gains at the canon are very much Cather's own: the importance of
myth and memory, of art as simplification, of allowing material to find its natural and inevitable form; above all in the connection between European art forms and American experience.

In 1932 Cather revised The Song of the Lark. She reduced the original version by about one tenth and most of the cuts occur in the final section. For the most part the deleted passages are discussions of art, artists and further allusions to Wagner. Indeed, one might attribute this novel's unprecedented length in her canon, to the influence of Wagner's grand scale and, given his ideal of complete expression, perhaps it is not surprising that the most overtly Wagnerian of all her works should be somewhat ponderous and overblown. In a letter to Dorothy Canfield in 1930 she wrote that she had once tried to tell everything about a young person (in The Song of the Lark) and that she thought it a mistake. As one can't tell everything, she had come to think one must tell very little.\textsuperscript{30}

One of Ours (1922) marks another stage in Cather's involvement with Wagner; more sophisticated and less direct. Indeed at first sight it does not appear to have anything to do with music drama: a Western story without a frontier, it is concerned with one intelligent but untalented boy's inability to give his life any meaning until he discovers art, civilization and finally death, in France. The critical outcry with which it was received centred largely on the novel's final section, 'Bidding the Eagles of the West Fly
On. Some, like Hemingway, objected to a woman writing a war novel at all, whilst others were dismayed by what they considered to be Cather's flag-waving celebration of 'our boys' in France. A careful reading, of course, precludes any such interpretation. Further, her letters reveal that she was not primarily interested in the war but in the life of the protagonist.

In a series of letters to Dorothy Canfield, Cather gives an unusually full account of the novel's genesis. She protests that she never wanted to write a war story and that the book was in fact based on the life of her cousin, Grosvenor. She says that they were very much alike and very different; that he could never escape from the misery of being himself except in action and that whatever he put his hand to turned out either ugly or ridiculous. When he was killed at Cantigny in 1918 she was moved that anything so glorious could have happened to anyone so disinherited of hope. She recounts how he was continually on her mind; how it was not affection but recognition so acute she could not get away from it. She regrets that anything so subjective should have been mixed up with public events but she protests she has not been sentimental in her treatment of him. The glorious event alluded to here is not a hero's death but Grosvenor's discovery that France, at least, had a civilization that was worth fighting for. She was devastated by the criticisms that were levelled at her which she blamed on people's inability to read the book as an
imaginative work. She wrote to Elizabeth Moorhead of how disconcerting it was to have Claude regarded as a sentimental glorification of war, when he was clearly a farmer boy; neither very old nor very wise. She explains how she had tried to treat the war without any attempt at literalness, but as if it had been some war way back in history, as she was only interested in its impact on one boy. She denies that it was ever intended as a representation of the American soldier and regrets that she had not persevered with the original title, Claude.  

Claude may not have been an inspired appellation but One of Ours is disastrous; inviting precisely the reading she was so dismayed to receive. The final choice indicates, in fact, how perilously close she was to glorifying the war. Although she protests that Claude was never intended as a representation of the American soldier, prior to publication she had rhapsodized about the dough boys, declaring to Dorothy Canfield that there was never anything like them in the world before. But despite her personal enthusiasm, the novel itself is neither sentimental nor chauvinistic.  

Although Cather found it disconcerting, she was not unprepared for the critical onslaught. Indeed, she had even tried to preempt the possibility by sending an advance copy to H.L. Mencken with a letter pleading his indulgence and another, almost identical, to Carl Van Doren. So far as I am aware this was an unprecedented move and one which she never repeated. In the letter to Mencken she begins humbly
by conceding that *One of Ours* is very different from her previous novels and may be a mistake. She suggests that he may be a little prejudiced against the subject matter and the sentiment on which the latter part is built. If he thinks she has done a sickly, sentimental, old-maid job, she exhorts him to tell her so loudly, like a man, to rub it in and pound it down.

Mencken duly obliged and accused her of writing like *The Ladies' Home Journal*. The sparring tone of her letter to him reflects her uneasiness at having ventured into the exclusively male preserve of war. But despite her bravado, she confessed to Dorothy Canfield that the final section was not so successful as the earlier parts which dealt with Claude's life on the farm. She rewrote it several times but was still unhappy with the style. In the letter to Mencken she laments that presentation is always a gamble and that the road is so rutted with old tracks, we can't go on as we would. One of the paths she had explored was Wagner's *Parsifal*. It appears that 'Bidding the Eagles of the West Fly On' was at one stage entitled, 'The Blameless Fool by Pity Enlightened.' In a letter to a Mr. Johns she congratulates him on being the first sleuth to dig the *Parsifal* theme out of Claude Wheeler - which she thought she had buried so deep! She relates how all through the first part of the book she had kept promising herself that she would put Wagner's subtitle where she eventually put a line from Vachel Lindsay. She concludes that either he or she did
pretty well, when the theme got through to him out of absolute and consistent reticence.34

Unfortunately, she is equally reticent about why she changed her mind. Perhaps she felt that in its final form the comparison between Claude and Parsifal was too tenuous, or as she phrased it, too deep. Clearly she felt no compunction about drawing on a German model but she may have deemed it impolitic to advertise the fact that she had done so, especially as by the time Wagner wrote Parsifal he had become quite chauvinistic. A letter to Elizabeth Moorhead suggests that this may have influenced her decision: she complains that if a story happens to touch upon people's political opinions they can't see it as an imaginative thing at all - it's as if a pianist in the middle of a sonata began to play 'The Watch on the Rhine' in wartime.35 This passage occurs in an account of the abusive letters she had received from pacifists. Ironically, if she had put 'The Simple Fool by Pity Enlightened' it might have appeased those readers who assumed, on the contrary, that she was pounding out 'The Yanks are Coming.'

The question remains as to why, in a story of an American farm boy, she should have looked at Wagner at all. Possibly, this was to put a distance between herself and the character of Claude and, as she was so nervous about the war episodes, she may have hoped that the Wagnerian parallel would provide a supporting structure. Hemingway scornfully accused her of Catherizing A Birth of a Nation but far from seeking a
realistic model, she was anxious to avoid the specific connotations of The Great War. By infusing a legendary element she wanted to escape the political opinions and prejudices which would otherwise distract from her real theme. The attempt was a failure and it was perhaps naive of her to imagine that Claude's story could be disengaged from its social and political context. However, if the Parsifal analogy had been more in evidence, then the events in France might have been seen as belonging uniquely to Claude's personal salvation, as she had intended. Just as Parsifal is initiated into the mysteries of the Holy Grail, Claude is introduced to the Kingdom of Art (France): both are enlightened through pity and become agents of redemption; Parsifal defeats Klingsor and Claude the mysterious German officer.

Cather's letters during this period reflect her preoccupation with Wagner. She tells Dorothy Canfield how she was compelled to write the book because of her blood identity with Grosvenor and the bond between them like Siegmund and Sieglinde - the woes of the Volsungs. But they also reveal that she was juggling with two very different aims and procedures. Firstly, she was concerned to restrict the authorial voice to the scope of a first person narration so that the reader apprehends Claude's experience through his eyes and with his understanding. Cather uses a kind of reported speech, employing only language appropriate to him: for example, 'When Claude joined his company at the station,
they had the laugh on him' (p.343). She told Canfield that she had tried to make a narrative that is always Claude and not her writing about France or doughboys. However, while Claude provides the novel's point of view, Cather wanted at the same time to frame this within the Parsifal analogy.

In one sense the work suited her purpose exactly: both Parsifal and Claude are ignorant simpletons, wanderers seeking adventure, aimless and without identity; the Grail brotherhood's holy war finds its counterpart in the American army's fight, as it was believed, to save freedom and democracy; and Parsifal's initiation into the mystery of the Grail is like Claude's induction to the mysteries of music and art. The latter point of comparison is particularly highlighted. David Gerhardt, Claude's fellow officer, takes him to the Fleury household, where "music ha[d] always been like a religion" (p.418), and which might be seen as a kind of Grail Castle. Lucien, the younger son of the family, fetches the Amati and presents it as if he were performing a holy rite: 'He opened [the case] carefully and took off the velvet cloth, as if this was his particular office.' The violin has the same function as the Grail. The association of the two is highlighted by Gerhardt's account of how his Stradivarius had been smashed to pieces in a car wreck and the destruction had presaged the war which was to ensue. Since that time he has found it almost too painful to play. In Parsifal the wounded Amfortas refuses to perform the Grail rites and so the survival of the brotherhood is threatened.
In *One of Ours* the holy flame of the Grail is rekindled for a moment as Gerhard plays and Claude watches Lucien: 'in each of his black eyes a candle flame was reflected, as if some steady fire were actually burning there' (p. 416). The episode at the Fleury house is one of the decisive moments in Claude's growth of awareness, just as *Parsifal's* attendance at the Grail ceremony is in his. Their respective experiences are only partial revelations: *Parsifal* is moved but uncomprehending while Claude, who had never had the opportunity to study or travel, remains locked in a bitter and resentful sense of his own ignorance.

The ability to incorporate a mythic element into a narration of ordinary events, which Cather attempted in earlier stories, comes to full maturity here. The comparison is neither precious nor contrived; there are not even any direct references to Wagner to act as signals. However, despite the success with which the Grail motif is introduced, Cather's two aims are almost mutually exclusive, for a narrative that is always Claude cannot at the same time be *Parsifal*, particularly as Wagnerian music drama could not be more remote from Claude's cultural awareness. It is from this contradiction, perhaps, that much of her uneasiness stemmed and which explains why the *Parsifal* theme was buried so deep.

Nonetheless, some of the bones of the skeleton still peak out. For example, at Beaufort - the counterpart to Klingsor's magic garden. As the men are all in the army, only the flower maidens remain: 'The sun was shining, for a change,
- everything was looking cheerful. The village seemed to be swarming with girls; some of them were pretty, and all were friendly' (p.428). Suddenly a shot rings out, for although the occupying force had decamped, a few German soldiers had been left behind. The shot comes from an officer hidden in the top floor room of one of the houses. Claude rushes up and kills him with his bayonet, just as Parsifal defeats Klingsor with a spear.

The officer is an extremely mysterious figure:

... a tall man, covered with medals and orders; must have been very handsome. His linen and his hands were white as if he were going to a ball. On the dresser were the files and pastes and buffers with which he had kept his nails so pink and smooth. [Pp.430-431]

Hanging round his neck 'was a miniature case, and in it was a painting, - not, as Bert romantically hoped when he opened it, of a beautiful woman, but of a young man, pale as snow, with blurred forget-me-not eyes' (p.431). Although the incident is taken from one of the many war experiences related to Gather by wounded soldiers whom she visited in hospital in New York, it is cleverly worked into the Parsifal framework. The decadent German officer becomes Klingsor. The magician's downfall is a victory for the Grail brotherhood and the officer's death signals the defeat of the German army.

Despite the general influence, it would be impossible to impose a Wagnerian scheme on the sequence of scenes which makes up the novel's final section. The parallel cannot be said to extend very far which has no Kundry, no Amfortas and
only a rather implausible Gurnemanz in the character of Gerhardt. Nor can one isolate the revelatory moment of pity that Parsifal experiences through Kundry's kiss. Further, although Parsifal provided a means of conveying a legendary atmosphere, its triumphant denouement is wholly inappropriate. Unlike Parsifal, Claude dies and there is no restitution of divine order, only a very ambiguous victory for good intentions. The breathtaking beauty of the 'Miracle of Supreme Salvation' has no place in One of Ours which ends with Mrs. Wheeler's (Herzeleide?) poignant realization that her son's belief in a 'glorious cause' was a delusion (p.458).

Any imputation of fatuous or belligerent patriotism is surely negated by the novel's verdict that Claude 'died believing his own country better than it is, and France better than any country can ever be.' The one unequivocal belief granted to him is in the value of art. As a codicil one might add that Wagner named Parsifal a 'Buhenweihfestspiel' ('Sacred stage festival play'), on the premise that since art had become religion, religion could become art. Both Wagner and Cather provoked a similar critical disgust: he was accused of writing a sickly parody of the Mass and she, a sentimental glorification of war. What, on the contrary, both works manifest is their creators' belief, as Cather said elsewhere, that "Art and religion (they are the same thing, in the end, of course) have given man the only happiness he has ever had." 37

Wagner and the redemptive power of art is also the theme
of 'Uncle Valentine' (1925). The eponymous character is an American song composer, who dies in a car accident when he is barely thirty, and the story is narrated by a woman who had known him in her youth, when they were neighbours in the rural outskirts of Pittsburgh. Majorie, the narrator, and her sister, come to live with their cousins and their Aunt Charlotte and Uncle Harry at Fox Hill. The bulk of the story, divided into thirteen sections or scenes, is concerned with Valentine Ramsay's return to his family home, Bonnie Brae, where he spends a year rambling in the hills with Charlotte and the little girls, composing songs for them to sing. The idyll is shattered when his ex-wife buys one of the neighbouring estates and takes possession of the countryside.

This long short story is an American Götterdämmerung. Bonnie Brae, built on a hill top, - a household of lonely men once wealthy but now declined into neurosis, alcoholism and redundancy - is Valhalla. Pittsburgh, with its steel mills belching smoke is Nibelheim; its inhabitants, typified by Valentine's ex-wife, Janet Oglethorpe, are concerned only with the accumulation of wealth. The forges of Alberich's underworld kingdom are paralleled by Pittsburgh's heavy industry and by the end of the story, Bonnie Brae and Fox Hill have been pulled down to make way for the factories and mills. The life of the easy-going 'old' families is gone for good.

The central Wagnerian image occurs during one of Valentine's walks in the countryside with Charlotte and the Rhinemaidens:
Suddenly, in the low cut between the hills across the river, we saw a luminousness, throbbing and phosphorescent, a ghostly brightness with mists streaming about it and enfold ing it, struggling to quench it. We knew it was the moon, but we could see no form, no solid image; it was a flowing, surging, liquid gleaming; now stronger, now softer.

'The Rhinegold!' murmured Valentine and Aunt Charlotte in one breath.

Valentine never imagines that the land belongs to anyone but when the Oglethorpes buy it the place loses its innocence, just as the Rhinegold becomes corrupt once Alberich gets hold of it. The Ramsays, living on the remains of ancestral wealth and producing nothing themselves, cannot outbid the Oglethorpes who have solid, industrial money behind them. In the same way, Wotan and the gods decline into weakness and cannot pay for Valhalla.

When they return from their walk to Charlotte's house, Valentine plays excerpts from the Ring cycle on the piano. Recalling the days when Walter Damrosch produced Wagner's operas in America, he asks, "Why can't people stay young forever?" Wagner's gods are kept alive by Freia but at Bonnie Brae there is no goddess of eternal youth, only the rather sinister housekeeper, Molla Carsen, who exercises a mysterious control over her employers. The crumbling of the Ramsay's way of life is inevitable; they belong to a vanishing order which they are powerless to uphold. Although Cather clearly dislikes the new era, the story is not indulgently nostalgic; with the exception of Valentine; the Ramsays are weak, shabby, useless. In the Ring, Wotan begets Siegmund and
Sieglinde in the hope that they will produce the hero, Siegfried, to save Valhalla but Valentine, the only one in his family with a child, sees himself as the end of the line: "My son Dickie, he'll be an Oglethorpe! He'll get on and won't carry this damned business any further."

'Uncle Valentine' is not only about the decline of the 'old' American families and the rise of industrialism, it is also an exploration of the creative process; how it is fostered and how crushed. Valentine composes his best songs at Bonnie Brae: 'the place was vocal to him.' In the prologue, a young singer asks what prevented Ramsay from composing. Louise Ireland, once his lover in Paris, replies: "The things that always prevent one: marriage, money, friends, the general social order." These had not prevented Wagner, of course, who abandoned his first wife, took whatever money he could get his hands on, abused his friends and bent the social order to accommodate his will. Valentine is very much influenced by the Master: he rushes to Bayreuth to escape his wife and composes a song cycle based on the legend of Tristan and Iseult but he has neither Wagner's monomania nor his stature as an artist. Valentine produces about thirty songs, Wagner a dozen or more operas.

By the time Cather came to write 'Uncle Valentine' her admiration for Wagner's personality seems to have softened. She had once relished the spectacle of Wagner trampling on everyone and extolled him as the archetypal artist. One can see a little of this fervour permeating her conception of
Thea; indeed, The Song of the Lark is infused with the ethos of success and of the end justifying the means. Cather was enamoured by the prospect of someone 'fighting her way,' battling against society, but in time she grew more concerned with the creative faculty itself; its imperfections, its fragility and the fugitive nature of the artist's gift. She became less exigent in her demands; an unassuming man composing a few beautiful songs was then something to be treasured. 'Uncle Valentine' is a mature and sensitive presentation of the artist, a gentle and moving account of defeat, one of her finest stories, to be read 'adagio non troppo'.

This marks the end of Cather's excursions into music drama: she raided Wagner's work for twenty-five years, bringing back plots, ideas and imagery and she found, both in the man and his music, a powerful artistic catalyst. She was unique amongst Americans to explore the territory that had been opened up by him. In 1935 she returned once more to the subject of the artist's life, in Lucy Gayheart, but the young pianist's trials, and death by drowning, are portrayed without Wagnerian reverberations. On the contrary, as Richard Giannone shows, the story is greatly indebted to Die Winterreise. But though it sometimes catches Schubert's poignancy, it never achieves his profundity or grace. Interestingly, Edith Lewis relates how Cather went to the opera far less in the nineteen thirties and forties and that she listened more often to chamber music; abandoning Wagner
for Beethoven's late quartets. The element of grandiloquence, perhaps, had begun to pall and her own work had long since taken a new direction. She had tried 'the full-blooded' method in *The Song of the Lark* and found it did not wholly suit her. There were still aspects of his genius which she admired and his music remained for her a constant source of affirmation and an enduring testimony to the value of art. As Charlotte Waterford says, "What a difference Wagner made in the world, after all."

In a speech given to the 'Friends of the Princeton University Library' in 1933, it is evident that Cather still associated Wagner with the craft of fiction and its future:

The novel, if it can be called a form of art, is a new arrival among the arts and its most interesting developments are still to come. All the other arts are centuries older. Looking back over its short history, perhaps the most interesting thing one notices about the novel is its amazing elasticity and variety. Like the Tarnhelm which the Nibelungs made for Alberich, this lightly woven net of words has the power of transformation, can present a giant, a dragon, a mouse or a worm.

Her own oeuvre alone is a testament to the novel's protean possibilities, with each work an attempt 'to arrest the stream of life' and to transform the lives of men and women into art.
FROM HORSE OPERA TO HOMESTEADS: O PIONEERS!

Lift up a song,
My sweaty men,
Lift up a song.

Sherwood Anderson, 'Song of the Sap',

Cather saw herself as a literary sod-buster, breaking new ground despite indifference and even hostility. She put Nebraska on the map at a time when America's literary establishment was determined not to recognise its existence. On the publication of O Pioneers! (1913) one New York critic said, 'I simply don't give a damn what happens in Nebraska, no matter who writes about it.' Perhaps nobody ever would, had it not been for Cather. Whereas Hardy's Wessex, say, with its distinctive geography, history, native inhabitants and customs, seemed intrinsically worth being written about, Nebraska was featureless, raw, populated only by immigrants and drifters; part of the vast, undifferentiated Midwest. As Cather ruefully remarked, it was 'distinctly déclassé as a literary background.'

Out of this recalcitrant material she created a country, as distinctive as Hardy's and with the same universal appeal. Through O Pioneers!, The Song of the Lark, My Ántonia, One of
Ours, Obscure Destinies and Lucy Gayheart one can trace the landmarks of Cather country: the Divide, the prairies and creeks, the Republican Valley, the small frontier town which, whether it is called Black Hawk, Hanover or Moonstone is always Red Cloud, - and become familiar with the inhabitants; characters who are not only uniquely her own but inseparable from the country she created, much as Tess Durbeyfield could only belong to Hardy and his Wessex. In Cather's fictional territory the land was given a cultural identity; a unique achievement in the literature of the American Midwest.

Nonetheless, literary criticism until recently has insisted on categorising Cather as an old-fashioned local colourist. Held to be regional and agrarian and therefore peripheral to modern, urban America, she became increasingly neglected in the years following her death. The appellation 'local colourist' is the kiss of death for an American writer, suggesting either the polite works of lady authors or the mildly humorous dialect stories of the 'father' of Western local colour, Bret Harte. Moreover, 'sectionalism,' as it is also called, is invariably subsumed under the two broad divisions of Eastern and Western literature, which are inevitably seen in opposition to each other, with the latter being decidedly the poor relation. To be classified as a Western local colourist guarantees one a place on the bottom rung of the literary ladder. Acutely aware of this in her youth, Cather attempted to become Eastern; busied herself with
the romance of drawing rooms and literary salons and made a series of false starts. When she began to write in her own voice it was not, as was the case with so many of her compatriots, by spurning the East and becoming aggressively Western but by transcending the opposition between the two.

Cather's early stories - including those she did not collect for republication - may be divided into two main categories: those set in the Midwest and those dealing with artists and Eastern society; some are about the frustrations of being an artist in the Midwest and appeared in her first published volume, The Troll Garden (1905). Her first novel, the Jamesian Alexander's Bridge, was published in 1912, a year before O Pioneers!, which is generally held to be the first of her mature works, written in her own style and dealing with what has come to be regarded as authentic Cather material.

R. E. Lee has an interesting theory of Cather's early development. According to him, her career exemplifies the chief limitation of Western literature which he diagnoses as a disastrous capitulation to the values and 'point of view' of the East. With remarkable exactitude he identifies the date at which the rot set in as 1901, when Cather moved into the 'McClung mansion.' His main objection to the McClungs appears to be that they were rich, cultivated and offered Cather a refuge from grubby Pittsburgh boarding houses. The result of this patronage was the feeble April Twilights (1903); a slim volume of Housmanesque verse of which Cather was later heartily ashamed. But, Lee asks, 'In such company ... from such a house, what else could she have written?'. 
Lee regards each move East as a step in the wrong direction, resulting finally in Cather's rejection of realism in favour of romance; her substitution of artifice for truth. Lee argues that in the place of the crude but powerful, 'Lou, The Prophet' (1892) - predicting for the young Cather 'a boundless future' - and 'On the Divide' (1896) - an example of 'Naturalism at its best' - Cather ended up merely painting pretty pictures with words. Lee likens the symbolic power and harsh realism of Cather's juvenilia to the best work of Stephen Crane. He suggests that her downward trend was exacerbated by her removal to New York to work for McClure's Magazine and confirmed by her sojourn in Boston where she met Mrs. Fields (the publisher's widow) and the 'local colour' writer, Sarah Orne Jewett. In the restricted atmosphere of Mrs. Fields' drawing room - which Cather described as 'a sanctuary from the noisy push of the present'⁴ - Lee, for one, cannot see what else she could have produced but Alexander's Bridge. The sad result of trading Crane for Jewett, according to Lee, is a drawing room novel about a discontented engineer and an Irish actress. Lee insists upon this even though it was Jewett who advised Cather to write about what she knew best and return to Nebraska for her subjects. However, because Jewett was 'a lady in the high old sense' Lee maintains that Cather never returned to the 'real Nebraska' at all; concocting instead polite versions of it.

It is interesting to compare Lee's East/West analysis of Cather's development with Tillie Olsen's in Silences⁵; a
book which explores the various conditions which have inhibited women writers. Olsen sees Jewett as a kind of guardian angel and it is Henry James who is cast in the role of false prophet. She points out that by the time of *The Troll Garden* Cather was thirty-two and had been working for years: 'A future of steady, ever more distinguished productivity seemed assured.' However, for the next six years she wrote very little and later rejected what she had done (particularly *Alexander's Bridge*) as being too 'genteel' in treatment and milieu and written in imitation of Henry James.

Olsen attributes Cather's six year silence, in part, to James's remarks on, 'lady novelists who victimise the supine public,' and to his dispiriting response to *The Troll Garden*, which Cather had sent to him. Witter Bynner enquired about its reception on her behalf and passed on to her the letter in which James claimed he found it, 'the hardest thing in the world to read almost ANY new novel. Any is hard enough but the hardest from the innocent hands of young females, young American females above all.' However, he promised to 'do his best' for Cather but in fact never replied. Cather was so nervous about deviating from accepted literary subjects that she told Elizabeth Sergeant that 'she could never write stories of Nebraska - Swedes and Bohemians were just a joke in New York - everybody would laugh.' Olsen suggests that James's attitude was the last straw and that, had it not been for Jewett's encouragement, Cather might never have found herself as a writer. Cather's admission of 'gentility' and
her fear of New York's laughter seem to confirm Lee's view. But, because Olsen is not trapped in the East/West dichotomy, she can make a more impartial judgement of Cather's development and allow that Jewett was helpful even if James was not.

Lee rests his case with a critique of *O Pioneers!*, to Olsen the 'first flowering' of Cather's 'reality' and to him Cather's first complete sacrifice of truth to artifice. In it he detects not only the stranglehold of the East but the blueprint for the limitations of subsequent works. His real complaint is that Cather 'chose not' to write about failure: for him the success of Alexandra and Ántonia is an evasion of reality. He believes that Cather turned her back on the truth of her earliest, bleak stories and turned the West into a 'pastoral or an idyll' which would be acceptable to her Eastern friends: 'she has polished and tamed a land and its people out of all recognition.' But Cather was not writing chronicles of prairie life in the late nineteenth century; indeed in a letter to a Mr. Leonard she says that if readers want documentary realism they had better look elsewhere.\(^6\) Cather was not interested in Nebraska for its own sake, but because she happened to grow up there, and some of her deepest emotional responses belonged to her childhood. However, if one must defend Cather on historical grounds it seems fairly evident that there must have been a few successful settlers; indeed modern research has confirmed much of the 'truth' of her account of the settling of the Midwest.\(^7\)
Cather revolted from the village a good fifteen years before Sinclair Lewis made it fashionable to do so. Unfortunately by the time Main Street was published in 1920, Cather was already, characteristically swimming against the tide. She had returned to the village and had begun to write of its positive value; not because she had gone East and grown polite but because she had stopped kicking against the cornfields and had developed a mature vision of the Midwest which could accommodate the harshness and monotony of the landscape with a sense of its 'wild beauty'; the waste and loneliness of the pioneer's life with the pleasures and achievements. The faults of O Pioneers! are not the 'failings' of all her Western novels, as Lee suggests, but transitional flaws resulting from her temporary infatuation with the East.

I have considered Lee's arguments in some detail because they represent a dominant critical preoccupation. The seemingly interminable interest in the polarization of the East and West of America has afflicted not only critics and historians but American writers too. Initially, Cather herself was drawn into the East/West debate and vacillated between the two; loathing the philistine West and looking to the East as the seat of civilization, from there looking back to the West with nostalgia and regret. But at this point it might be helpful to place Cather in the historical context of the East/West debate in order to show its effect on her and how she escaped this crippling duality.
The issue is the legacy of colonial times. The attempt to create an authentic, indigenous American literature, distinct particularly from the English, began to dominate in the early nineteenth century. Emerson, though not perhaps the first, was one of the most influential figures in this movement. In his essay, 'The American Scholar' (1837) he complained, 'we have listened too long to the courtly muses of Europe,' and his call to 'speak our own minds' was echoed with increasing vociferation. It was not just post-colonial resentment but a feeling that the New World needed new forms to accommodate and express a new experience of life. During the latter half of the nineteenth century the Western writers joined in and complained not only of England but of the East (still to some extent England's representative) which had become the dominant literary centre in America. Western writers betrayed a similar mixture of resentment and envy, coupled with a sense of the uniqueness of the Western American experience which had yet to be portrayed.

Hamlin Garland's *Crumbling Idols* (1894), for example, is an extended diatribe against England, the East of America and the West's eagerness to conform to their standards. This dependence, he argued, meant that the Middle States had no literature of their own except 'dime novels.' Writers had been blind to the 'special picturesqueness of the West' which had been ignored by the East and denigrated by the West itself. The field was wide open for the Western writer who had the courage to stay at home. At the time Garland
published *Crumbling Idols*, Cather was twenty-one and already writing but she was not ready to accept his challenge. Nebraska seemed barren, Lincoln a backwater and she longed, to use Garland's phrase, 'to discuss dead issues in English literature' in Boston. 9

The Midwest was too wide open; there was nothing for a young writer to seize hold of, only an empty horizon and a geological past. Both the literal and the literary landscapes were without form. The Far West had a glamour of its own and could claim Mark Twain (1835-1910), Jack London (1876-1916) and, to some extent, Frank Norris (1870-1902). But the middle states could boast of little better than Edward Eggleston's *The Hoosier Schoolmaster* (1871) or Edgar W. Howe's *The Story of a Country Town* (1883), neither of which displays to any remarkable degree 'the special picturesqueness of the West.' Nor for that matter do Garland's own books. For the most part they are chronicles of poverty, monotony and deprivation, written largely in reaction to the Easterner's idea of the West as a land of opportunity for rugged individualists. A story such as 'The Lion's Paw,' for example, in *Main Travelled Roads* (1891) has as its sole purpose the exposure of an economic system in which struggling farmers, powerless to pay off exorbitant mortgage rates, went to the wall.

In all of this there was nothing to attract Cather who found Garland's writing utterly contemptible:
No man ever tried his hand at fiction and persisted in the vain attempt who so utterly lacked these essential things [imagination and style] as Mr. Garland. Art is temperament and Hamlin Garland has no more temperament than a prairie dog.

So far as she was concerned, Garland was not an artist but a journalist - and a depressing one - offering only 'stern, ugly lessons in political economy.' Cather made these comments in her early twenties when she was very impressed by Henry James and not at all by Nebraska. While she was working for the Lincoln Journal, for example, she affected polite surprise on learning that a play called Nebraska had actually been written:

Just what there is in this particular part of the universe to make a play of it is difficult to say. Probably the drama will deal with, 'barren, wind-swept prairies, fields of stunted corn, whose parched leaves rattle like skeletons in the burning south wind,' and all that sort of rot which Mr. Hamlin Garland and his school have seen fit to write about our peaceable and inoffensive country.10

She does not mention, of course, that she herself was at that very moment churning out pages on the scorched prairie theme. Woodress, for example, points out that Cather's early stories are clearly influenced by Main Travelled Roads.11 Indeed, when 'A Wagner Matinee' was published it was her turn to be denounced by the Journal for casting a slur on the State. It is ironic that the stories which Lee considers unspoiled by the 'point of view' of the East were written at a time when Cather more or less despised everything west of the Alleghenies.
Cather rejected Garland because he failed to capture the picturesqueness of the West. In her youth she herself could not see it had any. She had been blind to the West, as she told H. L. Mencken in 1922, because she had been preoccupied with making an entrance in good society, in the company of Mrs. Wharton and Henry James. Considering it to be peripheral and feminine, Cather had been determined not to write 'local colour.' In an article on the Scottish novelists of the Kaleyard School, Ian McClaren and Samuel Crocket, she voices her objections to the limited landscape of this genre:

[The tales] are simple and they are direct. They are full of the quaint pathos of a sad people and the dim landscapes of a bleak country. But pathos in itself is not greatness. There are some facts and conditions that are in themselves pathetic, such as poverty, loneliness and death. A mere newspaper account of them is pathetic... I doubt if local color alone ever gave real greatness to any man... Local color, as Kipling once remarked, is a dangerous weapon. It is the element of women, they seldom write about anything else.

Despite her fears, Cather's mature achievement was to be triumphantly local and female, to make the local not only national but universal, to transform characteristics which had always been considered marginal and make them transcendent.

In Crumbling Idols Garland argued that the vast size and staggering physical and cultural differences in America must
inevitably give rise to sectionalism. Moreover, he maintained that without localism there never would be a national literature. Before Garland, local colour had been so constrained by the demand to be picturesque and romantic that, written largely by outsiders, it had amounted to little more than literary tourism. Cather did not want to join the company of Constance Fenimore Woolson and Mary Hartwell Catherwood. As Marcus Cunliffe explains, local colour, although an attempt to be authentically American, could not deal with the ordinary. The hero and heroine, for example, are often poor but they are always educated and genteel and formally the novels are dominated by plot. It was thought that 'naturalism would open up the West' and Garland's work in particular was an attempt to write about the West as it really was. Through Garland, Stephen Crane, Jack London, Frank Norris and Dreiser the West emerged, as Cunliffe says, as a bleak land, envious of the city, where women grew old before their time.\(^\text{14}\)

However, Naturalism was not a possibility for Cather; a moral universe in which there was no good or evil but only strong or weak and in which environment and heredity took precedence over character and will, was completely alien to her vision of humanity. Cather, then, had rejected local colour and could not identify herself with the Naturalists and yet she wanted to write about what she knew and loved best, which happened to be Nebraska. With some trepidation she published *O Pioneers!* The unresolved conflict between the East and West
is evident in the title, taken from Walt Whitman, and
the dedication to Sarah Orne Jewett and her 'beautiful and
delicate work.'

Cather's need to be sanctioned by the literary
establishment in the East is central to the novel's failure.
In 'My First Novels' Cather claims the book was not only
original in subject but that it departed radically from
literary convention: 'O Pioneers! was set in Nebraska, of all
places ... [it] was not only about Nebraska farmers, the
farmers were Swedes! ... Since I wrote O Pioneers! for myself,
I ignored all the situations and accents that were then
thought to be necessary.' As she says, the 'novel of the
soil' had not then come into fashion in America and it took
some daring to write about a Midwestern farming community.
Cather's letters before the novel's publication express a
mixture of defiance and fear: a novel all about crops seemed
the most hopeless proposition on earth but it was what she
wanted to do. With James and Wharton still ruling the
drawing room, while the younger generation were attacking the
sprawling new cities, Cather was on her own.

But perhaps the major reason for the novel's
'lonesomeness' was because the central characters are
immigrants. Before Cather, Swedes, Bohemians, French and
Germans had figured, if at all, as minor characters (often
broadly humorous); they had never been at the centre of
Western literature. There is an episode in Sherwood
Anderson's Tar: A Midwest Childhood (1926) which epitomizes
the position of the European pioneer in Western literature at that time. The young narrator is out walking one Sunday when he comes across some farmers threshing in the fields and thinks to himself: 'They must be some foreigners, like Germans or something. They can't be very civilized.' Tar stares at the country boys in 'surprise' and he is even more startled when women bring baskets of food into the fields. They offer him some but he is shocked and refuses. Tar is not hostile, indeed he is curious, but the Germans in the fields on Sunday 'seemed strange'; 'it was funny though.' The whole episode has a quizzical air; the immigrants are odd but fascinating aliens viewed from outside. They provide only an afternoon's spectacle in Tar's Midwest childhood and they live in a world which remains unknown to the narrator. Before Cather, the immigrant in Western literature had the status of a literary untouchable.

To us now, perhaps the most extraordinary thing is not that Cather wrote about the immigrant but that other Western writers did not. Immigration was one of the most important factors in the settling of the Midwest and yet it seems as if Cather were almost the only one to recognise and respond to this at the time. From the 1870's onward there had been a massive influx of Europeans, so much so that when Henry James visited America in 1904 he felt shocked, dispossessed by 'the alien,' and he longed for 'the luxury of some such close and sweet and whole national consciousness as that of the Switzer and the Scot.' The immigrants were not for the most part
Russian counts and Italian princesses but artisans, tailors and musicians, who contributed their crafts to their adopted country. It is somewhat ironic that it should have been Cather, so often accused of being conservative and anti-democratic, who embraced with open arms Whitman's 'race of races'; who saw the importance of the immigrant to America's future and celebrated their contribution to its culture.

Modern American literature is often traced in a line of descent from Sherwood Anderson and Sinclair Lewis with Cather invariably being regarded as somehow marginal to the whole enterprise:

Her art is not a big art. It does not respond to the troubled sense of American might and magnitude realized but undirected, and felt so strongly by such men as Sinclair Lewis in the same decades. It is national in significance, but not in scope. Her colleagues among the men 'sweated sore' over that job, whereas her books rose free and far more creative than critical. She is preservative, almost antiquarian, content with much space in little room - feminine in this, and in her passionate revelation of the values which conserve the life of the emotions.19

This, fairly typical, assessment of Cather's national importance boils down to an assertion that she is little, feminine and preservative whereas the U.S.A. is big, masculine and chaotic and anyway to be truly American one must sweat a lot. And yet there is nothing small and ladylike about Cather's work and surely a concern with values and emotions is hardly exclusively feminine.
The issue would not in itself be of paramount importance except that the obsession, on both sides of the Atlantic, with the special characteristics of American literature, has meant that Cather's writing has been pushed to the sidelines. In The Literature of the United States, for example, Cunliffe remarks on the limitations of the dominant, masculine tradition and yet he still does not discuss Cather — as a possible corrective — in the chapter on Western literature, nor in 'Fiction since World War 1,' but throws her in with Harriet Beecher Stowe, Sarah Orne Jewett and Emily Dickinson among others, under the heading of 'Minor Key':

... women writers have made a quite special contribution to American literature. At its best, as in Willa Cather and Ellen Glasgow (1874-1945), with its attachment to place, to heritage and to family ties, it has provided (like the piano in the shanty) a necessary counter-mood to the grandiose, outdoor, masculine tendencies of American prose. 20

Cunliffe is one of the most perceptive and sympathetic of Cather's critics but he does not go far enough here. Her contribution was more profound than a little tinkling of the ivories on the lone prairie; an image which is too readily associated with the idea that the pioneers were men who forged ahead into the wilderness while the women came up behind carrying tablecloths; the kind of machismo, indeed, which informs The Virginian (1902), where the West is 'a great playground' until the advent of the school ma'am when the cowpunchers feared, 'it would not long be a country for
men.' One might be very glad it would not, but Cather knew it never had been.

That the dominant tradition in modern American literature is male will surprise no-one. Anderson, Lewis, Hemingway, Fitzgerald, Dos Passos, Steinbeck, Faulkner and Woolfe, for example, are usually held to be the writers who defined the character of their time. That they should, for the most part, have been so aggressively masculine can perhaps be attributed to the gradual emergence of Western literature as a serious and influential phenomenon during the first half of the century. Anderson, for example, although his reputation has since waned, was both popular and highly esteemed in the nineteen twenties and *Winesburg Ohio* (1919) is still regarded as an American classic. Dubbed 'the father' of Hemingway and Faulkner, he was enormously influential and the man-to-man poetry of much modern American prose can perhaps be traced back to him.

An exaggerated concern with manliness has been a distinguishing feature of Western literature since the dime novels about Jesse James, Buffalo Bill and that 'half hoss, half alligator,' Davy Crockett. It was cherished because it was considered to be craggy and distinctive; far removed from the effete civilization of the East Coast. It appears in a muted though persistent form in Sherwood Anderson. His *Tar: A Midwest Childhood*, for example, establishes its exclusively masculine point of view in the foreword: 'I, Sherwood Anderson, an American man, in my youth did so and so' (p.
ix). In the first chapter he candidly asks the reader, 'How are you, being a man, going to understand women?' (p.5).

And Western manliness is always opposed to European culture:

When he grew to be a man Tar saw Europe and liked it, but all the time he was there he had an American hunger ... for waste places, roominess, ... waste spaces for boys to play in - A man, if he is any good, never gets over being a boy. [P.166]

We are back once again with the sweaty philistine (who never grows up) as the authentic American.

Since Anderson and Cather were exact contemporaries, both Southerners who were transplanted West during childhood and later wrote from their early memories of small towns and farms in the Middle States, it is surprising that they are never compared. This is particularly unfortunate as Cather's portrayal of the West - influenced by the immigrant and European culture - provides an interesting perspective on Anderson's insistence on the sanctity of the Western American man, preserving his authorial integrity by rejecting European literature (particularly English) and harping on, not only about his Americanness but his masculinity; indeed, apparently incapable of distinguishing between the two. The presence of Anderson the man, in both the autobiographies and the more purely fictional works, also belongs to the modern American tendency for the author to be more important than his books and is in direct contrast with Cather's discipline, learned from Flaubert, of keeping oneself invisible.
Cather was not impressed by the macho West or its legacy. The former was a great distortion, as she intimated in a letter to a Mr. Boynton, who had given *O Pioneers!* a favourable review. In it she thanks him for acknowledging that the cowpuncher's experience of the West was not the only possible one and for seeing that one might give a more truthful account of life in a new country without resorting to a jovial brutality which cannot, in any case, be successfully affected by a woman. As for the latter, she was dismayed at the direction of contemporary American fiction. In an undated letter which, however, does mention Steinbeck and Saroyan, she refers to the prevailing fashion for writing in words of one syllable. She continues by saying that it is rather alarming to see the magnificent reach of the language silent, except for one octave, on which little boys seem to be pounding the same keys over and over with one finger.

Cather's novels reveal a different West and they offered an alternative direction for American literature. They spoke for the Middlewestern immigrant and the woman, who had hitherto been silent, and they spoke in the language of an old culture taking root in a new land. Her radical contribution was to show that one could be an authentically American writer without resorting, to what she termed, cub-reporter slang; or abandoning the full scale of a language made resonant, at least to her, by Chaucer, the King James Bible and Shakespeare; that one could learn from the Muse of Europe without becoming imitative and second-rate. She also created
an alternative to the male mythology of the West. She showed that the pioneers were men and women and that the vast fields of wheat and corn which stretch across Middle America were foreseen and planted by characters such as Alexandra, a Swedish immigrant and a woman: 'The history of every country begins in the heart of a man or a woman' (p.65).

Cather's 'history' of the West begins with *O Pioneers!*. In 'The Wild Land' she describes the Bergson family, their neighbours and their battle to survive on the windy Nebraska tableland. While life is still hard and the crops uncertain, Mr. Bergson dies and 'entrust[s] the future of his family and the possibilities of his hard-won land' to his daughter. He would much rather have been able to appoint his sons, Lou and Oscar, but he 'had to accept the situation as it was' (p.24). The remainder of Part 1 is mainly concerned with Alexandra's struggle to make the farm a success despite opposition from her brothers and the land itself.

The structure is episodic: Alexandra's visit to Hanover, Bergson's death, the trip to Crazy Ivar's, Carl Linstrum's departure after three years of drought and failed crops and finally Alexandra and Emil's survey of the more prosperous farms in the Republican Valley. It is not the plot-dominated novel of hero and heroine that characterises local colour. Indeed in a letter to Zoë Akins she wrote that the country itself is the hero - or heroine - though she hoped the people were interesting too. Her fear that crops and Swedes would bore everyone but herself is unfounded and the novel's
first part is compelling and convincing. Without resorting to the sensationalism of her earliest stories Cather evokes the homesteaders' fear and insecurity, inspired by the bleak and inhospitable land they had come to settle.

The homesteads were few and far apart; here and there a windmill gaunt against the sky, a sod house crouching in a hollow. But the great fact was the land itself, which seemed to overwhelm the little beginnings of human society that struggled in its sombre wastes. [P.15]

She evokes the absence of human history, the sense of isolation and the pioneers' tenuous hold on the alien land:

Of all the bewildering things about a new country, the absence of human landmarks is one of the most depressing and disheartening... The record of the plow was insignificant, like the feeble scratches on stone left by prehistoric races, so indeterminate that they may, after all, be only the markings of glaciers, and not a record of human strivings. [Pp. 19-20]

As Cather intended, the land has a character of its own:

'It was still a wild thing that had its ugly moods' (p.20).

As the novel progresses its character develops and so does Alexandra's relation to it.

After three years of drought and failed crops Alexandra's brothers want to sell the farm and move to the more fertile valley. Many of their neighbours are discouraged and leave while 'the smart men' in town buy the land very cheaply. With the pioneer's uncanny blend of mysticism and materialism Alexandra persuades her brothers to mortgage the farm and buy more of the apparently useless high land. Lou and Oscar are almost as afraid of their neighbours' laughter as the repayments but Alexandra insists: "Down there they have a
little certainty, but up with us there is a big chance'' (p.64). She unites the 'Old World belief in land' with New World opportunism; the pioneer's 'big chance' being the acceptable face of the American dream.

Part I ends with Alexandra's discovery of her love for the land and the first intimations of success: 'Under the long shaggy ridges, she felt the future stirring' (p.71). But Part II opens sixteen years later with the struggle over. Alexandra is one of the biggest farmers and the prairies have transformed themselves into the land of milk and honey. Cather's leap into success represents a failure of nerve, not because of the fact of success itself, but because she does not work towards it. It is as if she thought that seventy pages of crops and pigs was as much as most readers could bear. Yet the novel's first part, and the retrospective glimpses into that time, make one regret that she did not have the courage to carry on. Instead, the book's final four fifths are not only a falling off but a different kind of novel.

This decline cannot simply be attributed to what is known as the pioneer paradox in which success is failure, as the future vision subsides on attainment into banality. Cather's favourite quotation from Michelet, 'The road is everything, the goal is nothing' is particularly appropriate to the pioneers: the adventure was in the westward movement and settlement was inevitably an anti-climax. Carl, for example, on his return to a landscape of gaily painted farmhouses, telephone wires and windmills, feels a nostalgia for the country when
it was a "wild old beast"' (p.118). Once the pioneer goal has been achieved - and the result he admits is "all very splendid in its way"' - it becomes a place like any other. (p.118).

But for Cather the West was not just a place in which to travel hopefully. *O Pioneers!* is a celebration of achievement and settlement; a kind of hymn to the homesteaders. Indeed, the narrator almost brags that, 'Anyone thereabouts would have told you that this was one of the richest farms on the Divide, and that the farmer was a woman, Alexandra Bergson' (p.83). Bernice Slote recounts how Cather had once said to her family that she could tell the writers of Western fiction things about the old West they had never heard of but that "because I wear skirts and don't shave they wouldn't believe me.'

When she came to write of Alexandra's battle, 'in which [she] was destined to succeed while so many men broke their hearts and died' (p.78), one can hear her vindicating all those pioneers who did not have long pants and razors.

Nonetheless, the novel's final parts are disappointing. Alexandra, for example, is not a wholly convincing character in the latter chapters. Originally she makes a very striking entrance, 'a tall, strong girl' walking 'rapidly and resolutely, as if she knew where she was going and what she was going to do next': 'She wore a man's long ulster (not as if it were an affliction, but as if it were very comfortable and belonged to her)' (p.6). She has a 'glance of Amazonian
fierceness' (p.8) and a dazzling head of 'reddish-yellow curls' (p.8). She is tender and protective towards her brothers, 'hard' through necessity not choice; a strong, independent, generous woman. In Part II there is a subtle difference. Alexandra becomes a corn goddess; statuesque and radiant. As she strides through the fields, showers her gleaming white body and presides over her household of farm hands an Swedish maids, she is an impressive combination of matriarch and myth. Lou remarks that '"Alexandra ain't much like other women-folks"' (p.173) and cannot imagine her marrying. Indeed, her most convincing relationship is with the land and when Cather gives her a lover - not in itself an incredible notion - the result is ludicrous. After a sixteen year absence, her childhood friend, Carl Linstrum, suddenly reappears. In Part I there is no suggestion that Alexandra is in love with him but, during the first week of his return, they discuss marriage as if it had all been settled long ago that they were made for each other.

Cather was trying to burgle the house by throwing some red meat to the dogs. A love story between a corn goddess and her fields was too unconventional so she dragged back Carl of the 'soft, lustrous black eyes' (p.301) to do the job properly. Alexandra's admission that '"Our lives are like the years, all made up of weather and crops and cows"' (p.131) exposes Cather's need to spice things up. At the same time she is clearly doubtful about the credibility of the relationship and, in a rather desperate bid to pre-empt
criticism, she even allows the lovers to comment on what a very dull affair theirs is: "Are you the least bit disappointed in our coming together again?" [Carl] asked abruptly" (p. 131).

It is hard to imagine what on earth could disturb the course of a love so placid, but Cather contrives to have Lou and Oscar bear down on Carl, in case any of Alexandra's property should escape them, and as the imputation of fortune hunting is too much for him, he slinks off remarking, "It is your fate to be always surrounded by little men" (p. 181). His hopes dashed, he goes off to be a 'dreamer' (p. 301) on the Klondike. By this ploy Cather can spin out the empty dramatic thread until the end of the novel: Carl pops up again, within minutes everything is "just as it used to be" (p. 303) and they marry. Their relationship is contrived; worked into the novel as a sop to the conventions, in deference to prevailing literary tastes and expectations.

Carl returns in Part II, not only for romantic reasons, but to enable Cather to debate the merits of life in the East with that of the West. When the Linstrum family decide to leave the farm, Alexandra is disappointed for herself but glad for Carl. She has the pioneer's love of the land and determination to succeed but Carl is an artist and must go East. When he comes to visit Alexandra sixteen years later she observes that, 'He had not become a trim, self-satisfied city man' (p. 115); a remark which betrays the Westerner's distrust of urban sophistication. Carl's arrival provokes a variety of responses. Lou and Oscar are suspicious and
Lou in particular is belligerently anti-Eastern and determined to assert Western superiority. He wants to dynamite Wall Street and insists that the West is going to make itself heard. Annie Lee, on the contrary, is anxious for the West not to seem backward and uncouth: 'She was greatly impressed by Carl's urban appearance' (p.110) and talks loudly about her new dining room furniture. Alexandra's family, then, express the range of Western envy and resentment of the East; a sense both of moral superiority and cultural inadequacy.

Between Alexandra and Carl the issue is debated at yet greater length. He has not become an artist; he touches up photographs and produces cheap engravings. Life in the city is synonomous to him with superficiality and pretence. Alexandra's prosperity is contrasted with his poverty: "measured by your standards here, I'm a failure. I couldn't buy even one of your cornfields" (p.122). But Alexandra still believes in his way of life: "I'd rather have had your freedom than my land" (p.122). Carl counters with a litany of the evils of city life; the anonymity and loneliness. For Alexandra though, the rootlessness and obscurity are outweighed by vivacity and breadth of vision. To her, life on the prairies has even greater limitations: "We grow hard and heavy here ... and our minds get stiff" (p.124). The West is monotonous and narrow: "If the world were no wider than my cornfields, if there were not something besides this, I wouldn't feel that it was worth much to work" (p.124).

Her hopes are centred on her younger brother, Emil.
Unlike Alexandra, who had to work to survive, and Carl who went East in poverty, Emil has a "whole chance" (p. 117). She can afford to send him to University and feels that all her hard years are vindicated in the opportunities they have afforded him. Alexandra is gratified that he has 'a personality apart from the soil' (p. 213) but in fact he is motiveless and confused. His only plan is to escape, what he considers to be, the dreary life of the West. Emil is a prototype of Claude Wheeler in One of Ours, one of Cather's 'Hamlets of the Plains'; discontented, introspective, lost. He suffers from a kind of cultural schizophrenia, having lost touch with his past and finding himself unable to engage in the present. Alexandra says of him "On the outside Emil is just like an American boy ... but underneath he is more Swedish than any of us" (p. 117).

When Emil is killed, Alexandra's hopes are utterly destroyed. Having had an affair with Marie Shabata, Emil and Marie are murdered by her husband, Frank. Alexandra visits Frank in prison and is filled with a sense of tragic waste, not only on her brother's account but on Frank's. She is bitter and disillusioned but, the catastrophe which precipitates her despair is so contrived, it is impossible to feel much sympathy. The element of melodrama in the double murder is emphasized by her quotation from Byron's histrionic poem, 'The Prisoner of Chillon':
Henceforth the world will only be
A wider prison-house to me, - [P.298]

It is surely completely out of character for Alexandra, so very sensible and straightforward, to adopt the pose of a disillusioned Romantic and walk the streets of Lincoln overcome with 'disgust of life.' (p.298)

The journey to the New World, then, results in disaster for Frank, Emil and Marie and terrible unhappiness for Alexandra. And even success brings family division and tension, with Lou and Oscar squabbling over money, and so ashamed of their immigrant origins that they never speak Swedish in public. The pioneer experience does not prove felicitous for any of them and Alexandra, remembering her father's dream for their future, hopes "that he is among the old people of his blood and country, and that tidings do not reach him from the New World" (p.183). And yet 0 Pioneers! ends triumphantly. After so much death and despair it is difficult to see how such ecstatic optimism can be justified:

Fortunate country, that is one day to receive hearts like Alexandria's into its bosom, to give them out again in the yellow wheat, in the rustling corn, in the shining eyes of youth! [P.309]

Emil's history certainly could not have led the narrator to this conclusion. Nor, as the pinnacle of Alexandra's pioneering hopes, would his life warrant a belief in the superiority of the West. Nonetheless, at the end of the book Cather comes down heavily and suddenly on the Western side. Although previously Alexandra had said to Carl of his life in New York, "I'd rather have had your freedom
than my land,' the evaluation is reversed here and it is decided that they will live on the land, where she 'belongs':

'There is great peace here, Carl, and freedom ...' Alexandra took a deep breath and looked off into the red West. [P. 307]

In the scenes I have been discussing, Carl and Alexandra are somewhat blatantly employed as mouthpieces for attitudes to the East and West. This was a contemporary issue and one in which Cather was personally involved. Later the division was healed, for her at least, but in *O Pioneers!* the unresolved conflict is evident and detrimental, resulting in a novel of polarities: East versus West, city versus country, culture versus corn! In *O Pioneers!* the West is land - it is significant that Cather congratulates the country on receiving hearts like Alexandra's into its bosom - and the people are secondary. Perhaps, as the letters suggest, Cather was embarrassed about her Swedes and Bohemians; she had not yet made the connection between what they had meant to her in childhood and how they could figure in literature. Her awareness of painting, music and literature had come to her largely through her European neighbours but in *O Pioneers!* there is no strong sense of Old World culture and such references as there are to old festivities and customs are not of vital significance; they are only scenes from local colour. In *My Antonia*, however, the people are of paramount importance and the West is not portrayed as a cultural wasteland largely because the immigrants brought their gods
with them to the New World. In *O Pioneers!* Cather was still groping toward this vision of the West but she was too intimidated to portray immigrants as the repositories of culture. The novel remains tentative and uncertain in its aims; caught between the East and West, novelistic machinery and innovation; too concerned with its reception in society to be wholly faithful to itself.

Cather's self-consciousness is evident in a conceit which runs from Part II onward and is first voiced by Carl. He and Alexandra are remembering the pioneer days when the graveyard was wild prairie and Carl remarks:

>'And now the old story has begun to write itself over there ... isn't it queer: there are only two or three human stories, and they go on repeating themselves as fiercely as if they had never happened before; ...' [P.119]

It is hardly a brilliant tactical move for a writer to admit that hers is an old story which has been told before and that the repetition, however passionate, may prove tedious. Part I is an original account of the pioneers and Cather was on firm if untrodden ground; what ensues is conventional novel material told in the conventional way. Of the two or three stories available, Cather opted for the rather thin one of how mature, sensible people get married and the racy one about star-crossed lovers - with a dash of adultery. The latter is perhaps intended to compensate for the blandness of the former. When Cather writes of Alexandra, 'Her mind was a white book, with clear writing about weather and beasts and growing things. Not many people would have cared to
read it, only a happy few' (p.205), anybody who finds Alexandra's love affair a little dull may consider themselves soundly snubbed and probably morally deficient. Yet Cather's superior tone here, is less complacent than defensive.

Alexandra's 'story' - clear writing in a white book - is rather clinically wholesome; Emil and Marie's is dark and stormy. Although their love affair and Frank's jealousy are very sensitively and competently treated there is no doubt that it has all been done before. Their affair and its consequences, eked out with descriptions of the surrounding country, walk off with most of the novel's final four fifths, taking precedence over Carl and Alexandra. Unlike the episodes in Part I, events such as the Catholic fair (II x) and the church supper (IV i) or Amédée's story, seem to have no vital connection with anything else and Amédée's death in particular is arbitrary and irrelevant. In themselves many of the scenes are effective; especially old Mrs Lee's visit and the descriptions of Lou and Oscar with their wives. Having become prosperous they are anxious to forget their immigrant origins; the 'Frithof Saga' is cast aside and the women hanker after American club culture. Annie Lee enthuses about her daughter's pyrography, no less: "You would n't believe what she can do with her poker!" (p.110). If Cather had allowed the novel to remain episodic and simply about Alexandra, her family, the land and her neighbours, it might have been more successful. Instead, having made Emil and Marie into the conventional hero and heroine, usurping Alexandra, the other
scenes become slightly beside the point, like side-shows at a fair.27

Emil and Marie's story is self-conscious and literary; like the conventional romance of local colour. At the end, Cather attempts to minimize the element of melodrama by narrating their deaths indirectly. The device of having Ivar discover their bodies and deduce the events is clever but highly artificial, and the ingenuity is so evident that it inhibits any sense of tragedy or even pathos. The reader is aware that Cather is spinning a yarn: 'The story of what happened was written plainly in the orchard grass, and on the white mulberries that had fallen in the night and were covered with dark stain' (p.268). The metaphor continues, 'For Emil the chapter had been short' (p.269), and apparently even develops, 'But the stained, slippery grass, the darkened mulberries, told only half the story' (p.270). The other half is told through a rather precious image:

Above Marie and Emil, two white butterflies from Frank's alfalfa-field were fluttering in and out among the interlacing shadows; diving and soaring, now close together, now far apart; and in the long grass by the fence the last wild roses of the year opened their pink hearts to die. [p.270]

The story conceit is finally laid to rest at the end of the novel when Carl and Alexandra are reunited and she has become reconciled to her brother's death: "You remember what you once said about ... the old story writing itself over? Only it is we who write it, with the best we have" (p.307). But these references to life as a fiction, only serve to underline the fictional quality of Cather's portrayal.
One striking feature of *O Pioneers!* is its Russian flavour. Although the subject is quintessentially American, the style owes something to Turgenev and, to a lesser extent, to Tolstoy. The descriptions of ordinary rural life, for example, often recall the tone and atmosphere of Turgenev's *Sketches from a Hunter's Album*. It is an aspect of style which is difficult to define but which belongs to writers' attitudes to their material. Turgenev's careful observation and deep affection for the Russian countryside, which emerge in the descriptions of 'Forest and Steppe,' 'Yermolay and the Miller's Wife' and 'Bezhin Lea,' find their counterpart in *O Pioneers!*.

When he speaks of, 'well-watered grassy meadowlands with a host of small pastures, miniature lakes, streams and large ponds at each end with willows, absolutely Russian, places dear to the heart of the Russian people, like the places to which the legendary warriors of our old folk sagas used to travel to shoot white swans and grey-hued ducks,' one can imagine how he might write about a new country:

> There is something frank and joyous and young in the open face of the country. It gives itself ungrudgingly to the moods of the season, holding nothing back. Like the plains of Lombardy, it seems to rise a little to meet the sun. The air and the earth are curiously mated and intermingled, as if one were the breath of the other. You feel in the atmosphere the same tonic, puissant quality that is in the tilth, the same strength and resoluteness. [Pp. 76-77]

To both writers, the land has a personality and character of its own which profoundly affects the narrators as they respond emotionally to its appeal. But for Cather it was impossible to invoke old folk sagas and it would have been
derisory to have spoken of the country as 'absolutely' Nebraskan; indeed, to convey its special qualities she even has to refer to another country. It was this very absence of history and identity which made a model imperative for Cather and led her to the Russian novelists. Moreover, Turgenev, unlike American local colourists, had a breadth of education and understanding which ensured that he never became small or narrowly provincial. Cather commented on this as early as 1895:

The great artists, like Turgenev, have always used [local colour] with an almost niggardly care. There are places in Turgenev's novels where you can fairly feel him restraining from assisting himself by sombre Russian landscapes and the threadbare, pathetic Russian peasant.

Turgenev specializes not only in threadbare but in wise peasants. Cather's Crazy Ivar could have come straight out of the pages of a Russian novel; indeed his prototype can be found in Turgenev's 'Kasyan from the Beautiful Lands' in the Sketches. Like Kasyan, Ivar is a kind of holy fool, living alone in a clay bank, 'his shaggy white hair, falling in a thick mane about his ruddy cheeks' (p.37); walking barefoot as his only sensual indulgence. Kasyan is renowned locally for his herbal remedies, he considers shooting game a sin and prefers to tramp through the countryside rather than stay at home, because when '"the sweet sunlight shines on you,"' you are '"clearer to God"' (p.93). Ivar doctors sick animals, protects wildfowl, forbids shooting, and lives in a remote part of the country because 'his Bible seemed truer to him there' (p.38).
Ivar's importance to the novel is as a representative of the Old World in a community that is shedding its customs and beliefs as fast as it can and despises what Alexandra calls, "the old time" (p.95) people and ways. He is a spokesman for the values which are cherished in the work of Turgenev and Tolstoy and denigrated in America. Yeroflay, another peasant in the Sketches, calls Kasyan 'a strange and wonderful man' and respects, what he considers to be Kasyan's holiness, while laughing at his eccentricity. But Ivar is treated very differently. As he says, "The way here is for all to do alike" (p.92). Only Alexandra's protection keeps him from being locked up in an asylum.

In 1922 Cather wrote a very important letter to the literary critic, H.L. Mencken, in which she discussed the impact of Russian writing on her early work. She outlines what she was trying to accomplish in *O Pioneers!* and why her West is different from that of other Western writers. As she remarks that it might come in handy as an explanatory obituary, one can assume that she intended the letter to have a sort of official status. She begins by confessing to having often had a deep inner tooth-ache of the soul, wondering whether she was unconsciously copying some 'foreign' writer. She tells how when *O Pioneers!* was written it was a terribly lonesome book; she couldn't find any other that left out the usual story machinery. She wondered then, and still sometimes does, whether her mind had got a kink put in it by the four shorter (sic) novels of Tolstoy - Anna.
Karenina, The Cossacks, The Death of Ivan-Ilych and The Kreuzer Sonata which, in paper bindings and indifferent English, fell into her hands when she was fourteen. She says that for about three years she read these all the time backward and forward, and used to wonder whether they had so marked her that she couldn't see the American scene as it looked to other Americans - as it presumably, really was. She tried to get over all that by a long apprenticeship to Henry James and Mrs. Wharton, and make an entrance in (not into) good society in good company with Alexander's Bridge. She says that 'The Bohemian Girl' and 'O Pioneers!' (first draft) the nucleus from which it was made, were written before the first artificial novel, but she did not show them to a publisher. She concludes by explaining that because their pattern was so different, she thought they must be the artificial ones - real only to her, because she had a romantic and lyric attachment for the country about which they were written.30

Cather rather overestimates O Pioneers!' lack of artificiality but the connection she makes between 'foreign' writers and her individual perception of America seems not only valid but vital. What is surprising is that she singles out Tolstoy as the author who most influenced her. The letter is odd: she is curiously specific in mention of Tolstoy's four shorter novels, but vague as to their effect, remarking only that they put a kink in her mind and marked her. It is difficult to know just what she meant, but as War and
Peace is excluded, one can assume that she was not referring to Tolstoy's religious or historical preoccupations nor, having read him in poor translations, could she have intended any specific use of language. It is also strange that she should identify Tolstoy with the absence of the usual story machinery in *O Pioneers!*, as his novels are all plot structured to a greater or lesser degree. Such an absence recalls rather the loose, anecdotal form of Turgenes' *Sketches*.

It was, I think, Tolstoy's Russianness to which she really responded; the pastoral aspect of his genius, the nature poet in him, which influenced her perception of America and the writing of *O Pioneers!* In another letter, to a Mr. Miller in 1924, Cather states that the two authors in modern times she admires most are Turgenes and Tolstoy. Their appeal for her can be found in their special feeling for landscape, for woods, hills and clouds, and the way in which for both writers the land has personality, and people and places seem to interact as characters respond to and are affected by the country. Both, too, have a sympathy with the peasantry and with old customs and folklore; in short, a romantic and lyric attachment to their country.

If this is correct and it was, for want of a better term, Russian local colour which influenced *O Pioneers!* it is strange that Cather should have cited Tolstoy, who was so concerned with social and philosophical matters. His massiveness, weight, and the tendency of his characters to indulge in metaphysical speculation, seem to overshadow
the pastoral element and make him inappropriate to Cather.
Turgenev's delicacy, and the fact that for him social and
political concerns are always secondary, make her closer
to him and yet he is, as it were, specifically not mentioned
in the letter to Mencken. Perhaps Cather omitted Tur-
genev because his intimate style was not what she herself wanted
to achieve and, particularly in O Pioneers!, because she
was aiming for something like Tolstoy's more impersonal
authorial stance. The difference between Tolstoy and Turgenev
- who, as Cather said in her letter to Mr. Miller, were absolutely
opposite in their methods - or the difference between either
of them and Cather, do not matter here: the important point
is the common denominator of local colour. The reason why
she wanted Mencken to mention Tolstoy in her obituary may
be because Tolstoy is universally acknowledged to be a great
writer, whereas Turgenev's reputation, rightly or wrongly,
is considerably slighter. As I have tried to show, Cather
was concerned that being designated a local colourist would
detract from her achievement, but by having her name yoked
with the Count's no-one could say her work was feminine and
small. Unfortunately, however handy Cather hoped it might
prove, Mencken never availed himself of the information she
sent him.

In O Pioneers! 'foreign' influence is not fully assimilated.
Indeed, the novel is comprised of undigested elements without
ever fully achieving a unified vision. But it marks a pivotal
moment in Cather's career and it was partly through Turgenev
and Tolstoy that she found a way to write about Nebraska. She need not follow Wharton and James but could return to her own country without the fear of being peripheral and insignificant. The Russians provided her with models for local colour that were neither folksy nor quaint; which could deal with a range of human relations and a depth of emotion which even the work of Sarah Orne Jewett, America's most sensitive and intelligent local colourist, had not come near to achieving. Cather could give to Western literature what it had hitherto lacked - depth and breadth - through her profound love and her knowledge that "the world was wider than [her] cornfields."

With this novel Cather began to tell the story of the West as she herself had known it. But to do so she did not feel that she had to reject all the literature that had gone before. Unlike Garland and Anderson she did not imagine that to be authentically American one must maintain the kind of pristine Western individuality which Anderson, for example, displays in A Story Teller's Story. He recounts how he was often accused of imitating the Russians and credited, through their influence, of bringing 'the American peasant into literature.' Having read this so often, he tells us, he decided to read a little and discovered that in Russian novels people are always eating cabbage soup, he has no doubt Russian writers eat it too, he himself was 'raised largely on cabbage soup', so he reckons the critics are right. Although Anderson's flippancy is amusing, his somewhat fatuous response to Russian
literature illustrates the fundamental difference between Cather and the majority of her Western contemporaries.

Cather was prepared to look to Europe and to learn from it. Art does not happen in a vacuum and the American call for new forms, entailed for Cather, taking the old forms and making them hers. She could not have agreed with Whitman's Pioneers: 'All the past we leave behind.' For her, a land without history could never have a literature of its own. Without the past there were no values, no art, no culture. The New World was peopled by the Old and it was by writing of the European immigrant that Cather could tell the story of the Midwest. In O Pioneers! America's European heritage is like an unfinished jigsaw puzzle; all the pieces are there but not the picture. It was a Virgilian perspective of the pioneers as exiles, bringing their gods with them to found a new civilization, which gave Cather her vision of the Midwest. It is this vision which is at the heart of My Antonia and gives it unity and coherence: she, like Virgil, would bring the Muse into her country.
Horace Greely's famous injunction, 'Go West young man and grow up with the country' is obeyed to the letter by Jim Burden, the narrator of *My Ántonia*. Indeed the novel's opening deliberately sets a false trail evoking the world of cowboys, saloons and campfires and encouraging the reader to expect a conventional Western adventure. Jim Burden, recently orphaned, and Jake Marpole, 'a mountain boy', are on their way from Virginia to Jim's grandparents in Nebraska 'to try [their] fortunes in a new world' (p.3). To underline the point Cather even has Jim reading a Life of Jesse James on his train journey West. Glued to his dime novel, Jim declines to meet the Bohemian girl and her family, also travelling to Black Hawk, and is backed up by Jake who said 'you were likely to get diseases from foreigners' (p.5). The novel, it seems, is to inhabit the white, male, Protestant sphere of the classic Western.

On his arrival at the station Jim is met by the Burden's hired man, Otto Fuchs, whose greeting seems to promise all the excitement of the wild frontier: "Hello, Jimmy, ain't you scared to come so far west?" (p.6). Jim, on the contrary, is delighted to find reality apparently conforming so closely to fictional stereotype:

[Fuchs] might have stepped out of the pages of 'Jesse James'. He wore a sombrero hat, with a wide leather band and a bright buckle, and the ends of his moustache were twisted up stiffly,
like little horns. He looked lively and ferocious, I thought, as if he had a history. A long scar ran across one cheek and drew the corner of his mouth up in a sinister curl. The top of his left ear was gone, and his skin was brown as an Indian's. Surely this was the face of a desperado. [P.6]

They pile into a wagon covered in buffalo hide and continue their journey through the night, into the realms of Western lawlessness: 'I had the feeling that the world was left behind, that we had got over the edge of it, and were outside man's jurisdiction' (p.7). At the end of this odyssey Jim is bathed, fed and put to bed by his grandmother. Without having to resort to broad parody, Cather undermines the Western myth with humour and gentle irony. The novel's opening is part of this subversive scheme and, as the narrative progresses, Jim's expectations are completely overturned: in the end his West is not that of Jesse James, the hero is not an American but a foreigner, not a cowboy but a young girl, and even the cowboy is not a gun-toting desperado but an Austrian Catholic whose scars are only the marks of his struggle to survive in a new country.

In the first sections Cather plays with the stereotypes of the macho West. The saloon, for example, is run by the sober and intelligent Anton Jelinek and is a 'respectable' (p.217) place where the immigrant farmers eat their lunch when they drive into town. Or again, the unmarried Western male who never launders or cooks anything but beans, is found 'batching' (p.36) in My Ántonia. The Russians, Peter and Pavel are thoroughly domestic: Peter washes their clothes,
keeps a cow and cooks cucumbers in the milk.

There are no shoot-outs and no lynchings and the only 'Western' adventure is mock-heroic. The time Jim and Ántonia are attacked by a rattler becomes a typical 'tall tale' which Ántonia's children are still telling at the end of the book. Although the mature narrator realizes that the snake was old and lazy, Ántonia is deeply impressed when Jim kills it: "You is just like big mans ... Nobody ain't seen in this kawn-tree so big snake like you kill" (p.46). And her admiration has a gratifying effect: 'The great land had never looked to me so big and free. If the red grass were full of rattlers, I was equal to them all' (p.48). Unlike the typical Western hero, however, this is the first and last time that Jim's masculine prowess is called upon.

But Cather's most important subversion of stereotype occurs in the portrait of Otto Fuchs. He has been a stage-driver, a cow-puncher, a miner and has all the trappings:

He got out his 'chaps' and silver spurs to show them to Jake and me, and his best cowboy boots, with tops stitched in bold design - roses, and true-lover's knots, and undraped female figures. These, he solemnly explained, were angels. [P.13]

As he sits with the family on Saturday nights, popping corn and singing 'Bury Me Not on the Lone Prairie' and 'For I am a Cowboy and Know I've Done Wrong,' he appears simple and innocent without being sentimentalised. There is, admittedly, a touch of Owen Wister's nostalgia for the noble cowboy in Jim's memory of Jake and Otto:
'What good fellows they were, how much they knew, and how many things they had kept faith with!' (p.67). But, unlike Wister's upwardly mobile Virginian, Jake and Otto 'never get on, somehow' (p.68). When the Burdens move to town Otto decides to return to what he called the 'Wild West' and he is last heard of at the Yankee Girl Mine. Otto's drifting existence follows the conventional pattern of the cowboy but his European origin and his Catholic faith are an innovation. The significance of this will emerge later, I hope, but first it is necessary to explore how Cather's interest in Europe affected her vision of the West.

Cather created the first spaghetti Western: it was Virgil who provided her with the perspective on her past that enabled *My Ántonia* to be written. As I outlined in the previous chapter, there were two primary difficulties for the literature of the Midwest to overcome: the fact that it was a new country without history, tradition or associations and the related problem of form. Virgil had faced fundamentally similar obstacles some two thousand years before. To take the first point, as L.P. Wilkinson points out in his introduction to the *Georgics*, Virgil's Italy was a recent phenomenon:

The *Georgics* celebrates a land that had been united politically only two generations previously ... in 89 B.C. Indeed, ... Virgil's own native region had been added only very recently.

Nebraska had been formally made a State and accepted into the Union in 1867, less than two generations before the publication of *My Ántonia*.

It is also possible to see the indigenous Italian tribes
as holding a roughly equivalent relation to the Romans
as the Indians held to the Americans (except that they fared
somewhat better), in that their rites and rituals never
became the dominant culture. To a great extent, then, the
Romans of Virgil's day had no mythology, any more than Americans
had in Cather's. The only body of literature available to
the Romans was Greek - as the Americans only had English
- with the consequent danger of producing imitative, second-
rate art. In his essay on 'The Originality of the Aeneid,'
Brooks Otis maintains that Roman history could not be turned
into poetry because it 'wholly lacked the poetical images
the poetical cosmos without which, at that time, no poet
could function.' In creating his national epic, Virgil had
no choice but to commandeer Homer and take the Greek epics
of the Odyssey and the Iliad as his models:

... the system of the Aeneid - is homerically
worked out, largely because there was no Roman
system or mythology, no Roman 'heroic age'
that was at all usable. There were no gods and
heroes but Homer's gods and heroes.2

Neither Cather nor Virgil, of course, were in need of
a pantheon. The exact nature of Virgil's religious beliefs
has provided an endless source of debate but, as Cyril Bailey
establishes in Religion in Virgil,3 Virgil was unlikely to
have believed in the Homeric gods as ruling deities. Their
primary function was formal. The myths of the Greek heroic
age provided Virgil with what Otis terms, 'a symbology' and
a structure; the first half of the Aeneid is an 'inversion'
of the Odyssey, the second half is patterned on the Iliad.
According to Otis, Virgil's radical innovation lay, not in creating a new myth or poetic form, but in adapting the existing one:

The fact that myth - and in concrete the myth of the Greek heroic age - was the traditional subject of poetry, that the two indeed were indissolubly united, was a datum that Virgil took from Greek literature and could not alter. But Greek and Latin poets before Virgil generally interpreted this datum as a limit rather than as a positive source of ideas.

Just as in America there was a feeling that the old European forms were exhausted and in any case inappropriate to a new, American experience, so for the Romans, Homeric myth seemed not only "used up" but out of date. A Roman hero, to take but one example, needed very different qualities to survive from a swashbuckling Achilles or Odysseus, but Virgil saw the possibility of transforming the old type while still retaining the heroic stature:

... what no one before Virgil really saw at all clearly - was that the old myth still possessed an archetypal or basic validity if it could somehow be released from its age and specific historical content.

Virgil attempted to "retain the evocative or poetic power" of the Homeric myth whilst wholly changing its "application." Thus he could write new history in an old form. Cather too was original in this sense, seeing that European models could be "inverted" and adapted to fit a new context. Virgil reversed the nostos, for example, making Aeneas travel away from home not towards it, in the same way Cather inverted the conventional pattern of the novel, saying of My Antonia that it was just "the other side of the rug."
One could not say, of course, that Cather actually modelled *My Antonia* on any one particular book of Virgil's. Although critics often refer to it as a pastoral, invariably all that is intended by this is a rural story with a happy ending. Indeed, pastoral is levelled almost as an accusation, carrying with it the connotation of escapism and evasion of reality. But 'pastoral' is not, strictly, appropriate to Cather. It refers to certain idylls of Theocritus and Virgil's *Eclogues*, or *Bucolica* as they are also known, which were based on the Greek. From there came the English pastoral tradition of Spencer, Sidney, Milton and so on. Pastoral poetry is concerned with shepherds and their life and loves; it contains formal set pieces such as the poetry and singing competitions and, as D. E. Wormell points out, was intended to portray idealized scenes of rustic life for a sophisticated city reading public.

In his essay 'Arcadia on the Range,' James E. Phillips makes a case for the re-emergence of pastoral in the American West. Substitute cattle for sheep, cowboys for shepherds and the songs of Theocritus turn into 'Home on the Range.' Phillips identifies certain key features of pastoral, particularly the Renaissance pastoral exemplified by Sidney's *Arcadia*, in Western literature: the contrast between a simple rural life and the complexities of urban existence, the use of Arcadia as a means of criticizing the corruption of the city, the clear moral universe in which virtue always triumphs and an aristocracy based on innate goodness, not
Phillips finds these distinguishing traits in Owen Wister's *The Virginian*, where the hero and heroine are anything but proletarian, villainy is always defeated, and there is the kind of deliberate artificiality which ensured that Western pastoral had nothing to do with the historical West. 7

Cather's work is not 'pastoral' in this sense and *My Ántonia* has less to do with the *Eclogues* than the *Georgics*. In these, Virgil followed another Greek, the peasant poet Hesiod and his *Works and Days*, which deals with farming life, particularly growing crops, and which sings the praise of dung rather than shepherdesses. It is a practical and moral work addressed to a farmer not an urban reader. Virgil, too, though less didactic than descriptive, retained Hesiod's emphasis on the value of hard work and rustic virtue. The *Georgics* presents a panorama of rural life: descriptions of the seasons and the occupations appropriate to each, of country festivals and rites, instructions for the propagation of trees, the tending of animals, reflections on natural processes, beautiful evocations of the glories of nature and, throughout, an expression of the dignity of agricultural labour. Virgil’s particular range of subject matter in the *Georgics*, and his blend of harsh realism with poetry, must have been attractive to Cather. Moreover, both writers believed that the future greatness of their country lay in its agricultural prosperity, and both had a strong, deep attachment to their own region.
Virgil also provided Cather with a perspective on the European immigrant in America. Through the Aeneid's story of a dispossessed people founding a civilization in a new land, Cather could endow the immigrant with epic stature. They were no longer a motley assortment, strewn across a continent but a people, with an almost divinely inspired purpose. Ántonia, for example, is described as having a 'special mission' (p.367). She is not just a Bohemian serving girl or a 'battered woman' but, surrounded by her children, 'She was a rich mine of life, like the founders of early races' (p.353). The Aeneid could confer dignity on the immigrant: their sod houses and makeshift arrangements had seemed merely squalid but placed in an epic context, the Shimerdas eating prairie dog (first cousin to the rat), becomes not disgusting but heroic, akin to the hunger and privation endured by Aeneas and his crew. The Aeneid, too, is a poem about loss, struggle, defeat and only final success. The Trojans suffer not only physical hardship but most of all from a sense of isolation and exile; the same loneliness and longing for the old country, which Cather saw in the immigrant and which gave such pathos to their settling of America.

Although Cather did not base My Ántonia on the Aeneid in any exact way, there are parallels, of recurring themes and motifs, and events which have the same symbolic function. For example, the theme of exile, which persists even after the Trojans arrive in Italy, is given its most dramatic
expression by the burning of the boats in Book V. Many of the women, still inconsolable at the loss of Troy, are tempted by the easier prospect of settling in Sicily with Acestes, instead of pressing on to Latium to found the new civilization. In the same way, in *My Ántonia* there are some who do not have the strength to be pioneers and give up the struggle. Unlike Virgil, however, Cather does not portray this as an exclusively female trait. It is Mr. Shimerda who cannot endure the loss of the old country and the conditions in the new. His suicide is the expression of the pioneer's unbearable sense of exile; the counterpart of the burning of the boats, in a more tragic vein.

Mr. Shimerda has another important function in the novel, similar to Anchises, Aeneas's father, who serves as a reminder to the Trojans of their destiny. In the same way, Mr. Shimerda represents Old World culture, sensitivity and integrity to the immigrants. Although, like Anchises, he dies early in the story he transmits these values to his daughter and to Jim Burden, who both feel for him a Virgilian sense of *pietas*, or filial piety. It is because of him that the old culture, like the household gods the Trojans carry with them to Italy, is not forgotten in America. Anchises' function is most clearly realized in Book VI when Aeneas meets his father in the Underworld. Aeneas says that it is Anchises' memory which has helped him to survive. "Your image, it was, your troubled phantom/that, often rising before me, has brought me to this place" to hear of his destiny and
the future of Rome.\(^6\) It is Aeneas's filial piety which strengthens his resolve, enables him to surmount hardship and settle in Italy.

In *My Ántonia* there is a lengthy passage of reflection after Mr. Shimerda's suicide. Cather's prosaic, unemotional portrayal of the death — a corpse found in the barn, the congealed, frozen blood — and particularly the way in which death is unaccented, simply part of a stream of events, has often been remarked upon. But inbetween the practicalities of coffin-making and the difficulty of finding a burial ground, there is a strange interlude in which Jim, left alone at home, imagines Mr. Shimerda's ghost. The passage functions very much in the same way as Aeneas's visit to the Underworld. Jim thinks that Mr. Shimerda's spirit may stop to rest at his house on its way back to Bohemia: 'Surely, his exhausted spirit, so tired of cold and crowding and the struggle with the ever-falling snow, was resting now in this quiet house' (p.101). Like Aeneas meeting Anchises, Jim encounters the dead man:

> It was as if I had let the old man in out of the tormenting winter, and were sitting there with him ... Such vivid pictures came to me that they might have been Mr. Shimerda's memories, not yet faded out from the air in which they had haunted him. [Pp. 101-102]

The episode stays in Jim's mind, instilling in him a sense of filial piety, and as with Aeneas it changes, or rather confirms, the course of his life.
The related theme of nostalgia found in the *Aeneid* is also of considerable importance to *My Ántonia*. In book III, for example, Aeneas meets Andromache and Helenus. Still grieving for Hector, she and Helenus build an imitation Troy and indulge in lachrymose memories of former glory. Aeneas, not being a Homeric hero, but a sensitive, easily discouraged man could well fall victim to such nostalgia and remain with them. On arriving at Carthage in Book I he enters Dido's temple to Juno. In it he sees depicted scenes from the fall of Troy and is deeply moved:

> Tears in the nature of things, hearts touched by human transience (line 462)

Aeneas weeps for the passing of time and the frailty of all things. But, because this sensitivity is yoked to a feeling of filial piety, he does not lapse into mere nostalgia. Rather, nostalgia is transformed into a positive good, ensuring that the household gods will still be worshipped in Italy; that there will be a continuity of culture.

Mr. Shimerda is associated with both the Virgilian concepts of *pietas* and *lacrimae rerum*, or pity for things. (The latter is perhaps particularly associated with the violin which he no longer plays.) Reminders of the old country continually come to the surface of *My Ántonia* and are often connected with Mr. Shimerda. Through their love for him, Jim and Antonia inherit his love of beauty. Looking closer, one can see how this pattern of Virgilian references and motifs draws many apparently disparate elements...
together, countering the ubiquitous criticism that the novel is episodic and formless. One of the earliest and most evocative of these references also echoes Virgil's phrasing. One afternoon, as Jim and Antonia are out walking, they find a cricket. Its 'thin, rusty little chirp' (p.39) reminds Antonia of a woman called Old Hata, in Bohemia, who sold herbs and roots and sang in a cracked voice. When they meet her father, he listens to the faint chirp 'as if it were a beautiful sound': 'The old man's smile, as he listened, was so full of sadness, of pity for things, that I never afterward forgot it' (p.42).

In the earlier parts of the book Jim is not always so receptive. It is the retrospective narrator who values the Shimerdas' memories and the treasures they carried with them from the old country. When Mr. Shimerda, for example, intends to give Jim his curiously wrought gun, Jim is simply relieved that the 'project was one of futurity' (p.42). Or again, the mysterious flakes that Mrs. Shimerda unearths from a chest are sampled at the time and unceremoniously thrown away:

I never forgot the strange taste; though it was many years before I knew that those little brown shavings, which the Shimerdas had brought so far and treasured so jealously, were dried mushrooms. They had been gathered, probably, in some deep Bohemian forest.... [P.79]

Indeed, before Mr. Shimerda's death, Jim is even occasionally contemptuous of the family's repeated preference for the old "kawn-tree" and for things "like what you not got
here"' (p.42) and remarks chauvinistically: '"People who don't like this country ought to stay at home ... We don't make them come here'' (p.89).

Mr. Shimerda's suicide, though, changes Jim's perspective and establishes a bond between him and Ántonia. On the day of the funeral she runs to him sobbing: '"Oh, Jimmy ... what you tink for my lovely papa!' It seemed to me that I could feel her heart breaking as she clung to me'' (p.115).

It confirms in both of them a feeling of filial piety:

'My father, he went much to school. He know a great deal; how to make the fine cloth like what you not got here. He play horn and violin, and he read so many books that the priests in Bohemie come to talk to him. You won't forget my father, Jim?'

'No,' I said, 'I will never forget him.' [P.124]

When Jim gives his 'surprisingly' good High School Commencement Speech, full of enthusiasm and fervour, Ántonia and Jim are again united in the memory of her father:

'Oh, I just sat there and wished my papa could hear you! Jim' - Ántonia took hold of my coat lapels - 'there was something in your speech that made me think so about my papa!'

'I thought about your papa when I wrote my speech, Tony,' I said. 'I dedicated it to him.' [Pp.230-231]

Shortly after this, Jim begins reading Virgil, memorising long passages of the Aeneid. It is as if Mr. Shimerda, not only inspires him with a veneration for art, but specifically leads him to Virgil. The summer Jim spends reading the Aeneid out loud on his own in Black Hawk inspires in him a love for his own country too, for Nebraska, which he
conceives in epic terms. It is a prefiguration of Tom Outland's summer on the Blue Mesa in *The Professor's House* which Tom spends, like Jim, committing the *Aeneid* to memory. Outland, too, finds in Virgil an expression of love for a country which is close to his own. Tom's sense of *pietas* is toward the Indian past; their houses, pottery and tools reveal an early civilization that lengthens America's past. In a similar way in *One of Ours*, Claude feels a sense of obligation, but in his case to America's European forbears. He sails on a troop ship, bound for France during the first world war, called the 'Anchises,' suggesting a parallel with the myth of Aeneas carrying his father on his back after the fall of Troy. Through Virgil, then, America could look to its native Indian heritage and the European civilization of the people who had come to settle it.

The epic framework of *My Ántonia* is achieved through Jim's perception of his neighbours. Even when the references do not come directly from Virgil, their cumulative effect is to support the epic perspective on the pioneers. Mr. Shimerda, for example, is likened to Coronado, whom Jim is convinced came through Nebraska in search of the Seven Golden Cities, and who "died in the wilderness of a broken heart" (p.244). In the middle of winter in the deep snow, the hired men who work in the fields all day seem to Jim like 'Arctic explorers' (pp.65-66). Ántonia has a 'special mission.' Fuchs too, who appears to Jim at first like the archetypal cowboy, is incorporated in the Virgilian scheme.
The Christmas when the family is snowed in and cannot buy provisions is saved by Otto. The family make candles and gingerbread animals to decorate the tree, 'its real splendours, however, came from the most unlikely place in the world – from Otto's cowboy trunk' (p.82):

... he now produced a collection of brilliantly coloured paper figures, several inches high and stiff enough to stand alone. They had been sent to him year after year, by his old mother in Austria. [P.83]

With the three kings, camels, leopards and a manger, the tree 'became like the talking tree of the fairy tale.... Grandmother said it reminded her of the Tree of Knowledge' (p.83). The carefully preserved paper figures are reminders of customs and rituals from the old country. And once the candles are lit, the tree suddenly acquires a more precise religious significance: it is adorned with Otto's household gods. Mr. Shimerda even crosses himself and kneels down before it but with a religious tolerance, characteristic of Virgil, 'Grandfather merely put his fingertips to his brow and bowed his venerable head, thus Protestantizing the atmosphere' (p.87)

The particular significance of Virgil to My Ántonia emerges most clearly in Book III: 'Lena Lingard,' when Jim is studying at Lincoln University. It has often been objected that Lena Lingard occupies too much space in a novel entitled My Ántonia; that she is irrelevant or at best unrelated. But Lena, as I hope will become apparent, is an integral part of the novel's Virgilian design. In Books I and II
Jim grows up with the country, in Books IV and V he returns to it, but Book III marks an important transitional stage, in which he harvests his youthful experience and gains the vision of life which shapes his narration of the past and thus the structure of the novel.

It opens with Jim, under the tutelage of Gaston Cleric, furthering his study of Virgil. In the course of several pages Cather gives us Jim's thoughts as he digests his Latin lessons. He begins with Dante's veneration for Virgil, for his 'sweet teacher,' and he quotes the lines from the 'Commedia' of the poet Statius, who speaks for Dante:

'The seeds of my ardour were the sparks from that divine flame whereby more than a thousand have kindled, I speak of the "Aeneid," mother to me and nurse to me in poetry.' [P.262]

As the narrator of the novel, Jim 'speaks' for Cather. In the same way, the Aeneid is the mother of My Antonia; it might even be said that the Georgics is the father.

Before examining these family connections, it is necessary to refer to one of the closing episodes of Book II. Jim and the hired girls are coming home at the end of the day from a picnic:

On some upland farm, a plough had been left standing in the field. The sun was sinking just behind it. Magnified across the distance by the horizontal light, it stood out against the sun, was exactly contained within the circle of the disk; the handles, the tongue, the share - black against the molten red. There it was, heroic in size, a picture writing on the sun. [P.245]

The famous image of the plough against the sun is usually understood as a symbol of the pioneers; of an heroic moment
in history which vanished all too soon:

Even while we whispered about it, our vision disappeared; the ball dropped and dropped until the red tip went beneath the earth. The fields below us were dark, the sky was growing pale, and that forgotten plough had sunk back to its own little-ness somewhere on the prairie. [P.245]

It is, certainly, an image of fading glory and transience, of melancholy, associated with Virgil's lament that the best days are the first to flee, which Jim quotes in Book III. But it is more than this - indeed, it is less a symbol of the lost frontier than of the settlement of the West. It is epic in scope; heralding, not the end of the pioneers, but the beginning of a new civilization. This is made clear during one of Jim's ruminations on the Aeneid:

While I was in the very act of yearning towards the new forms that Cleric brought up before me, my mind plunged away from me, and I suddenly found myself thinking of the places and people of my own infinitesimal past. They stood out strengthened and simplified now, like the image of the plough against the sun. [P.262]

Through his reading of Virgil, Jim makes sense of his experience and gives it meaning: his 'own naked land and the figures scattered upon it' (p.262) are fixed in his memory and his 'infinitesimal past' is lengthened until at the end of the novel it becomes 'the precious, the incommunicable past' (p.372).  

The image of the plough is also associated with the Georgics. Gaston Cleric had suggested that when Virgil was dying in Brindisi, and had faced the bitter fact that he was to leave the Aeneid unfinished.
... his mind must have gone back to the perfect utterance of the 'Georgics,' where the pen was fitted to the matter as the plough is to the furrow; and he must have said to himself, with the thankfulness of a good man, 'I was the first to bring the Muse into my country.' [P.264]

The problems Western literature had to overcome - finding new forms, being a new country, not imitating English - are echoed in Cleric's lesson on Book III of the Georgics:

'Primus ego in patrium mecum ... deducam Musas' ...

[He] had explained that 'patria' here meant, not a nation or even a province, but the little rural neighbourhood on the Mincio where the poet was born. This was not a boast, but a hope, at once bold and devoutly humble, that he might bring the Muse (but lately come to Italy from her cloudy Grecian mountains), not to the capital, the palatia Romana, but to his own little 'country'; ...

[P.264]

And Cather wanted to bring the Muse (but lately come from Europe), not to New York and Boston, but to the little area around Red Cloud.

While in the middle of his reflections, Jim is unexpectedly interrupted by a call from Lena Lingard. She is radiant and relaxed and brings news of the other hired girls. When she leaves, Jim has what appears to some, a surprising revelation:

When I closed my eyes I could hear them all laughing - the Danish laundry girls and the three Bohemian Marys. Lena had brought them all back to me. It came over me, as it had never done before, the relation between girls like those and the poetry of Virgil. If there were no girls like them in the world, there would be no poetry. [P.270]

In his essay 'Willa Cather: The Classic Voice,' Donald Sutherland remarks on the strangeness of this 'relation,' being utterly baffled by the association of Virgil with girls. As Sutherland says, Virgil was not a love poet and, with the exception of Dido and Aeneas, never strayed
into the realms of romantic passion. But this is precisely part of Virgil's appeal for Cather, for she too wanted to explore themes and directions other than the amorous or domestic. Jim's feeling for the Danish girls and the Bohemian Marys is not primarily a romantic one. They and Lena represent the best in the pioneers and epitomize the experiences of his youth. They are, in the Virgilian sense, 'the country and their prominent position in the novel, far from being a sign of formlessness, is absolutely necessary.

*My Antonia*, then, may be read as a *Georgic* with epic overtones. But it differs from Virgil in one important respect in that its emphasis is female. Epic, and even pastoral, is largely a male affair, but Cather focused her attention on the pioneer women. Although the narrator is male he is not in himself of paramount importance. He exists — as a sympathetic, involved narrator, informed with a Virgilian perspective — in order, largely, to evoke them. And the fact that she chose a male narrator was simply one of convenience; she said at the time that she had no intention of 'writing like a man' but clearly she could not have used a female narrator to describe the hired girls without introducing irrelevant complications.¹³

*Antonia*, of course, is the most compelling of Cather's 'jeunes filles en fleur' but she is only one of a group. Indeed there is a kind of female network in the novel with the connections between families, and the relations between the generations, being maintained largely by the women.
When Jim arrives in Nebraska, for example, it is his grandmother with whom he establishes a relationship; his grandfather remaining always a distantly impressive figure, benignly patriarchal. Mrs. Burden is domestic, capable, humorous and frank: 'a strong woman, of unusual endurance' (p.11). It is she who visits the Shimerda's, takes them provisions, and when Antonia later comes to town, Mrs. Burden finds her a job with Mrs. Harling. The young Bohemian and the middle-aged Norwegian suit each other very well:

There was a basic harmony between Antonia and her mistress. They had strong, independent natures, both of them... They loved children and animals and music, and rough play and digging in the earth. [P.180]

They are powerful figures who dominate without being dogmatic.

Frances Harling is a pioneer woman in a different way. She is in partnership with her father and the two of them discuss 'grain-cars and cattle, like two men' (p.150). She knows all the farming families in the area and feels an almost maternal concern for them; her interest 'was more than a business interest. She carried them all in her mind as if they were characters in a book or a play' (p.150). She often steps in to save them from the unscrupulous money lender, Wick Cutter. The important role played by women in the life of the community is underlined when Antonia falls into social disgrace; only the Widow Steavens befriends her and it is she who tells Jim, Antonia's story.

The immigrant girls relate to the older women in a social scheme which is both Virgilian and matriarchal:
They learned so much from life, from poverty from their mothers and grandmothers; they had all, like Antonia, been early awakened and made observant by coming at a tender age from an old country to a new. [P. 198]

The girls feel particularly the older women's sense of exile. Tiny Soderball hopes her mother will not feel so 'homesick' since they began growing rye; Anna takes home canned fish for her rambling grandmother who '"thinks she's at home in Norway"' (p.239); Lena works so that her mother can move out of the sod house, knowing that '"The men will never do it"' (p.241).

Respectable Black Hawk looks down on them as ignorant foreigners who are in service but it is because the girls work and remain loyal to their families that their farms do better than their neighbours'. Jim's partisan narration trumpets their success:

I always knew I should live long enough to see my country girls come into their own, and I have. To-day the best that a harassed Black Hawk merchant can hope for is to sell provisions and farm machinery and automobiles to the rich farms where that first crop of stalwart Bohemian and Scandinavian girls are now the mistresses. [P.201]

Part of Black Hawk's fear stems from the hired girls' looser 'morality.' Tiny Soderball, working at the hotel, flirts with the travelling salesmen; Lena has a series of lovers, while the three Bohemian Marys 'were the heroines of a cycle of scandalous stories' (p.202) and are considered 'as dangerous as high explosives' (p.203). The young men of the town would rather dance with the fresh-smelling Danish girls who work in the laundry than with their frigid classmates.
but though the girls, as Ántonia says, are out to get their good times while they can, they are not interested in catching husbands. We do not hear each of their destinies but Tiny remains unmarried, making a fortune in the Klondike, Lena too remains single, prospering as a dressmaker, whilst Ántonia marries from among her own people.

Lena's aversion is not to men but to marriage, providing an interesting contrast with Ántonia. Lena has a very attractive character: practical and sensuous - a waltz with Lena was like coming in with the tide - and somehow perpetually innocent. Even as a smartly dressed townswoman she still seems like the cowgirl with white legs who unwittingly drove Ole Benson mad with desire. She is determined to become independent and to help her family but she has no intention of marrying: 'She remembered home as a place where there were always too many children, a cross man and work piling up around a sick woman' (p.291). In the end she lives comfortably, takes lovers when she chooses, and turns down all suitors for her hand: "It's all being under somebody's thumb" (p.292).

The hired girls' various destinies are all presented as equally valid. Whether they become farmers, prospectors or business women they are all pioneers. Cather neither judges nor prescribes: Lena's childlessness is not sterile, Ántonia's poverty is not failure. Ántonia is the most engaging and sympathetic of all Cather's creations. She is vividly brought to life through a series of scenes filtered through Jim's cherishing memory. She first appears as the
pretty little Bohemian girl, unable to speak a word of English, and the object of her father's adoration. After his death she is set to work by her brother. She ploughs the fields and does heavy chores: 'the farm-hands around the country joked in a nasty way about it' (p.126). She brags about her strength and eats noisily 'like a man' (p.125). Sunburned and sweaty, clad in her dead father's boots, in the fields all day, Mrs. Shimerda fears that 'she'll lose all her nice ways' (p.125). She is determined that her family will prosper in the New World despite their poor start and is defensive at the least suggestion of being patronised. When Jim, for example, quotes his grandfather's weather forecast, Ántonia rudely remarks 'He not Jesus' (p.121).

She is seen next in more propitious circumstances, working for the Harlings in Black Hawk. Here she sews, bakes, sings, tells stories and plays with the children. She is both in service and part of the family, without conflict, until the arrival of the dancing tent. After this she thinks of nothing but clothes and having 'her fling' (p.208); she moves to the Cutter's house so that she will have more free time. Wick Cutter's attempted rape (Jim has been planted in Ántonia's bed to fool him) is as hilarious as anything in Mark Twain but it has sinister overtones for, despite her strength and intelligence, Ántonia's sexuality necessarily makes her vulnerable. Her seduction by the worthless Larry Donovan is not narrated directly. 'Poor Ántonia's disgrace
occurs while Jim is away. The events are narrated by the Widow Steavens with an almost Biblical resonance:

'She got her cattle home, turned them into the corral, and went into the house, into her room behind the kitchen, and shut the door. There, without calling to anybody, without a groan, she lay down on the bed and bore her child. [P.316]

Ántonia refuses to become pathetic or to be ashamed of her child, deliberately having her baby's photograph on display in the photographer's window in Black Hawk. Her final manifestation is as the pioneer mother, married to Anton Cuzak and presiding over her prestigious family. Jim delays visiting her fearing that she will have become 'aged and broken' (p.328). The male disillusion on discovering that the beautiful girl next door has turned into a dull middle-aged housewife, which crops up with predictable regularity in fiction, is exposed here for all its sentimentality. It is one of the novel's crowning glories that though Ántonia is changed - practically toothless, even - she is still radiant:

Ántonia came in and stood before me; a stalwart, brown woman, flat-chested, her curly brown hair a little grizzled.... She was there, in the full vigour of her personality, battered but not diminished ... [Pp. 331-332]

With her children teeming around her on the bright, sunny farm, Ántonia has been described as an incarnation of Venus Genetrix but only Cather could create an earth mother with such an inconspicuous bustline.

Ántonia's household is a Bohemian one: Jim is offered coffee and kolaches, pictures of Prague hang on the parlour
walls, the children cannot even speak English until they go to school. John J. Murphy in his essay 'The Virginian and Ántonia Shimerda' notes this, and relates it to the fact that Ántonia is seduced by an American and 'rescued by one of her own, Anton Cuzak.' He refers to this as an '[understandable] cultural reversion' and seems to feel that it requires some kind of apology or explanation: 'She inhabits an immigrant West opposed in many ways to the dominant culture, and identifies with the differences which have limited her.'

Gather, however, would certainly not have regarded the Old World heritage as a limiting factor - quite the reverse - for the novel is about the transplanting of European culture in American soil and repeatedly affirms Old World values. Moreover, the prospect of a 'dominant culture' is exactly what Cather objected to, fearing that it would amount to little more than bland conformity and standardization. As I tried to show earlier, part of her intention in My Ántonia was precisely to show that there was an alternative to the prevailing 'white', male, Protestant mythology of the West.

Cather's ideal was an intermingling of Old and New; a rich, regional variety. When Jim, for example, makes a picture book for Yulka that snowy Christmas, he uses coloured pictures from cards he had 'brought from [his] "old country",' Virginia (p.81). What depressed Cather was the thought that the past - whether colonial or immigrant - could be lost, discarded in the race to get ahead, and that the distinctive customs of each area - born of the marriage of native geography
and European settlement - could be obliterated in a vast sameness stretching from one end of America to the other. The 'conservatism' of her later years was really this: despair that the descendants of the Old World immigrants would trade in their household gods for automobiles.\(^{16}\)

Antonia's life is, as Murphy suggests, limited: she could not go to school or marry one of Black Hawk's respectable citizens but she has an identity of her own, a language, beliefs and values. More importantly, she hands these on to her children who grow up both with an awareness of their national origins and fully able to take advantage of the opportunities in America. Antonia on the farm, then, is not in retreat from Black Hawk. She inherits from her father and bequeaths to her own children the Virgilian love of old customs, rituals and beliefs; the continuity of the Old World in the New.

The transplanting of European culture to America is given a literal embodiment in a motif which occurs frequently in Cather's work: her immigrants are invariably to be found planting trees, protecting them from frost and watering them during the long hot summers. One can think of the Kohlers in A Song of the Lark, the Shabatas in O Pioneers!, or old Appelhof in The Professor's House and for all of them planting and tending has a Virgilian significance, both for its natural value (remembering the treatise on the propagation of trees in the Georgics) and as an act of reverence; a reminder of the distant homeland. It seems fitting that one of the final
images of Antonia should be in a garden and that it should be connected with her Virgilian 'mission' as the founder of a new civilization:

She had only to stand in the orchard, to put her hand on a little crab tree and look up at the apples, to make you feel the goodness of planting and tending and harvesting at last....

It was no wonder that her sons stood tall and straight. She was a rich mine of life, like the founders of early races. [P.353]
'It is the difference between a remembered face and having that friend one day come in thru the door. She is really no more yours then than she has been right along in your memory.'

Willa Cather Discusses Writing and Short Story Courses

When Jim Burden writes My Ántonia on the cover of his manuscript, it is not an indication of possessiveness but an acknowledgement of the subjective nature of reality. Paradoxically, though the title A Lost Lady suggests a more objective account, the novel explores the limitations of perception, the failure to recognise that vision is always partial, and the distortions which this imposes. Marian Forrester is a very different heroine from any Cather had created before. Alexandra, Thea and Ántonia are all, to a greater or lesser extent, independent, assertive and relatively unambiguous; here, for the first time, Cather portrayed a female character who is vulnerable, capricious, complex and almost wholly reliant on masculine admiration. Men's eyes are the mirror in which she sees herself but they not only reflect, they can restrict and accuse or look the other way.

A Lost Lady has been seen by almost all Cather's critics as a lament for the passing of the old West and its values. In this reading Marian Forrester is the flower of the pioneer age and her decline is a symbol of a society's disintegration.
under the corrupting influence of commercialism. Feminist critics have recently begun to challenge this view. Susan J. Rosowski, for example, argues that the novel is not an elegy for the pioneer past but an attempt to come to terms with the question of 'how to translate the best of the past into the present.' Kathleen L. Nichols has offered a psychoanalytical interpretation in which she suggests that Niel's rejection of Mrs. Forrester shows 'all the signs of a repressed oedipal conflict'; she is a 'lost lady' in his eyes but not in Cather's.\(^3\)

That such conflicting readings should be possible is the result of Cather's complex narrative technique. She began in the first person, 'writing as the boy himself,' but after several attempts she abandoned this mode and created a third person narrator.\(^4\) Niel's point of view remains central but it is framed within an omniscient narrative. Why, when she had written convincingly as Jim Burden in My Ántonia, did she feel that Niel's viewpoint alone was not adequate here? David Stouck, one of the few critics of the passing-of-the-West school to ponder this question at all, maintains that the double perspective 'allows for the introduction of an ironic perspective ... through Niel we experience the attraction of Mrs. Forrester's style and charm, but at the same time the narrator gently urges us to recognise the essentially shallow nature of the heroine.'\(^5\) Its function is certainly ironic, but it seems to me, the irony works the other way: to suggest the essentially shallow nature of Niel.
A Lost Lady has been called a Middle Western Madame Bovary; a tale of adultery in a provincial, bourgeois setting. Indeed, writers dealing with this theme after Flaubert could hardly fail to echo Madame Bovary — and Cather is no exception. For example, when Marian hears that her lover, Frank Ellinger, is to be married she becomes desperate and tries to telephone him on his wedding night even though by doing so she risks exposure. In the description of her 'blue lips' and 'the black shadows under her eyes' which 'made her look as if some poison were at work in her body' it is impossible not to think of her French prototype (p.131). There are certain superficial similarities of plot: both women live in a backwater and pine for the high life, take lovers, drink too much and end up in debt. But the differences are equally obvious: Marian's debts are honourable ones (and not of her making), Captain Forrester is nothing like Charles Bovary and Marian's life does not end in tragedy. Most important of all is the difference in attitude towards the heroine: Flaubert may have said 'Madame Bovary, c'est moi' but he also said that in writing about her he sometimes had 'a physical desire to vomit'; Cather said Marian Forrester was based on a woman she had known in her youth whose 'lovely hair and laughter made [her] happy clear down to [her] toes.'

Cather also said that she wanted to portray Marian's 'effect' on Niel and in so doing he becomes as important as the lady herself. In the creation of his character, for which there is no parallel in Madame Bovary, Cather's novel
comes much closer to L'Education Sentimentale. In her essay, 'A Chance Meeting,' there is a lengthy discussion of Flaubert and her account of meeting his niece, Madame Grout, reveals just how much his work, which Cather had been reading since childhood, meant to her: 'It was like being brought up against a mountain of memories. One could not see round it; one could only stupidly realize that in this mountain ... lay most of one's mental past.' What she admired in Flaubert are the things he 'stood for ... that peculiar integrity of language and vision, that coldness which, in him, is somehow noble.' Madame Bovary she refuses to discuss: 'it is a fact in history. One knows it too well to know it well.' But L'Education Sentimentale she thinks underrated:

It had seemed to me ... that its very faults were of a noble kind. It is too cold, certainly, to justify the subtitle, 'Roman d'un jeune homme'; for youth, even when it has not generous enthusiasm, has at least fierce egotism. But I had wondered whether this cool, dispassionate, almost contemptuous presentation of Frédéric were not a protest against the overly sympathetic manner of Balzac in his stories of young men ...

A Lost Lady is in sharp contrast to any of Cather's earlier novels. Jim Burden, although not 'overly' so, is a sympathetic narrator and Cather herself, like Balzac with his heroes, had got 'into the ring' and sweated a little on behalf of Thea Kronburg. But here, the narrative voice is like Flaubert's: cool, dispassionate, like 'dry wine.' Only once does the narrator directly express any concern for the heroine; in the first, expositional chapter where
the Forresters' house is described: 'He grew old there, - and even she, alas! grew older' (p.8). After that one interjection the tone throughout remains impersonal; at no point does the narrator intervene directly to guide the reader's response.

Something of Cather's intention in portraying a young man's obsession with an older woman is revealed through her assessment in 'A Chance Meeting' of Flaubert's limitations:

Of course, a story of youth which altogether leaves out that gustatory zest, that exaggerated concern for trivialities, is scarcely successful. In L'Education the trivialities are there (for life is made up of them), but not the voracious appetite which drives young people through silly and vulgar experiences. The story of Frédéric is the story of youth with the heart left out ...

By incorporating so many of Niel's thoughts and feelings, Cather's is a story of youth with the heart left in. There is a 'glow' and 'ardour' in the novel, emanating from Marian Forrester, and transmitted to us through the generous, egotistical, silly, young Niel.

One of the most crucial episodes in Niel's sentimental education shows both Flaubert's influence and the operation of irony. One morning, when the Captain is away, Niel sets off to pay a call on Mrs. Forrester and is shattered to discover that she has a lover. The reader, however, already knows this for we have seen Marian and Frank Ellinger through Adolph Blum's eyes. Cather deliberately forestalls any possibility of suspense, so that during the whole of Niel's walk to the Forrester place we are aware of how completely he has misjudged the situation. The flowers he gathers
for her, patently will not produce the effect he desires: 'When she opened her shutters to let in the light, she would find them, - and they would perhaps give her a sudden distaste for coarse worldlings like Frank Ellinger' (p.83). Almost immediately, he becomes aware that Frank is in the bedroom with her.

Neil derives a bitter satisfaction in finding the perfect expression for his disgust at her deception: "Lilies that fester," he muttered, "lilies that fester smell far worse than weeds" (p.84). Although the narrator makes no comment, there has been a careful preparation for this scene. Immediately before it, the reader learns that Neil is an avid reader of the Romantics; Byron's Don Juan and Goethe's Wilhelm Meister. The other side of his character is drawn to the scepticism and restraint of Montaigne but it is Ovid's Heroides which most fully captures his imagination. These epistles of unhappy and abandoned women, whose sufferings are rendered with equal sympathy whether they are virtuous, adulterous, or even incestuous, echo throughout the novel, - most particularly in the frantic letters sent by Marian to Ellinger. To Neil, the Heroides 'were the most glowing love stories ever told' (p.78). He finds in the philandering male personal of Don Juan a little "fooling" but none at all in the amours of the women: 'He was eavesdropping upon the past, being let into the great world that had plunged and glittered and sumptuously sinned long before little Western towns were ever dreamed of' (p.79).
When he makes his way to Mrs. Forrester he is full of romantic idealism and he thinks of his bunch of flowers as a 'bouquet for a lovely lady' (p.82). He places her on a pedestal and is wholly ignorant of the reality of her life. When he is forced to recognise that she is not a beautiful object but a passionate woman, he is disgusted: 'Beautiful women ... was their brilliancy always fed by something coarse and concealed? Was that their secret?' (p.84). Ironically, the very qualities which he relishes in literature are abhorrent to him in reality. He turns away and throws his flowers in the mud: 'In that instant between stooping to the windowsill and rising, he had lost one of the most beautiful things in his life' (p.83).

L'Education Sentimentale provides an interesting perspective on the nature of this loss. Although Cather finds fault with aspects of the novel, she has only praise for its 'great and quiet last scene': 'One is "left with it," in the same way that one is left with a weak heart after certain illnesses. A shadow has come into one's consciousness that will not go out again.' The 'shadow' referred to here is like the 'chilling doubt' which the morning call on Mrs. Forrester instills in Niel (p.97). The final scene in L'Education portrays Frédéric and Deslauriers remembering their visit to the Turkish woman's brothel. The two boys had arrived holding nosegays for the lovely ladies but at the last moment, filled with terror and embarrassment, they had thrown their flowers in the
mud and fled:

'That was the happiest time we ever had,' said Frédéric.

'Yes, perhaps you're right. That was the happiest time we ever had,' said Deslauriers.

Their nostalgia is not for a lost innocence. They treasure that moment of turning back, not because they had retained their purity, but because they still had their illusions. In the same way, Cather's \textit{jeune hommet} does not suffer from the 'outrage' to a 'moral scruple' but to an 'aesthetic ideal' (p.84). It is in this sense that Mrs. Forrester is a lost lady; less a symbol of a lost pioneer age than of Niel's lost illusions.

Niel's reflections during his walk to Mrs. Forrester's house reveal that his rejection of her is based on his inability to acknowledge his own sexuality. He sets off early imagining that 'He would get over to the hill before Frank Ellinger could intrude his unwelcome presence' (p.81). He feels that there is 'an almost religious purity about the fresh morning air' and as he wonders 'why he did not often come over like this, to see the day before men and their activities had spoiled it, while the morning was still unsullied' (p.82), one can see him attempting to transform Sweet Water into the Garden of Eden. His distaste particularly for 'men's activities,' is a refusal of manhood and his emphasis on the morning's purity represents his longing to inhabit a world before the Fall and the 'intrusion' of sex.

This fear of sexuality translates itself into a neurotic
desire for stasis; an inability to either grow up himself or accept change of any kind. When he returns home from college two years later he finds that the Forrester's have come down in the world and that they are now dependent on Ivy Peters, who had once belonged to the very lowest echelons of Sweet Water's social hierarchy. Niel is saddened that the Captain has been forced to lease his meadowland to the town's 'shyster lawyer' to grow wheat:

The Old West had been settled by dreamers, great-hearted adventurers who were unpractical to the point of magnificence; a courteous brotherhood, strong in attack but weak in defence, who could conquer but could not hold. Now all the vast territory they had won was to be at the mercy of men like Ivy Peters, who had never dared anything, never risked anything. They would drink up the mirage, dispel the morning freshness, root out the great brooding spirit of freedom, the generous, easy life of the great land-holders. The space, the colour, the princely carelessness of the pioneer they would destroy and cut up into profitable bits, as the match factory splinters the primeval forest. All the way from the Missouri to the mountains this generation of shrewd young men, trained to petty economies by hard times, would do exactly what Ivy Peters had done when he drained the Forrester marsh. [Pp. 104-105]

This passage is invariably cited by critics as Cather's nostalgic lament for the passing of the pioneer age, despite the fact that she clearly distances herself from it by stating at the outset, 'Niel played with his idea.' The most striking aspect of Niel's account is the way in which the pioneers are designated by adjectives and the men like Ivy Peters in terms of verbs. The pioneers were 'great hearted,' 'courteous,' 'unpractical,' 'careless,' 'easy' and 'generous.' The only verbs employed in association with them are the conditional ('could conquer'), the negative ('could not
hold') and, significantly, 'settled.' Although the usurpers had 'never dared,' 'never risked,' as the pioneers had once done, only they display any energy now: they 'drink up,' 'dispel,' 'root out,' 'destroy' and 'cut up.' To Niel, their activity is wholly destructive. His refusal to accept change is linked to his rejection of sexuality: the phrase 'dispel the morning freshness' recalls his disillusioning expedition to Mrs. Forrester's bedroom.

Niel's mythologising of the pioneers as 'dreamers' and 'princes' is not without value and neither is his idolization of Mrs. Forrester. His perceptions are distorted only when he will not allow that there is any value in the present or in Mrs. Forrester once she ceases to conform to his ideal. When the Captain dies she is left friendless and poor. Ivy Peters improves her finances but she has to pay for this by becoming his lover. Although none of the Captain's old friends helps her, and Niel actively dissuades them from doing so, he is outraged at her attempts to save herself:

It was what he most held against Mrs. Forrester; that she was not willing to immolate herself, like the widow of all these great men, and die with the pioneer period to which she belonged; that she preferred life on any terms. In the end, Niel went away without bidding her good-bye. He went away with weary contempt for her in his heart. [P.172]

Again, it is not, as is so often stated, Cather who is speaking here: it does not show her disgust with life, but Niel's. The final comment on the limitation of his perspective is made obliquely at the end of the novel. After many years, he hears that Mrs. Forrester had remarried.
and lives in Argentina. Ed Elliot, who had seen her there, tells Niel that she was well and happy and sent Niel her love. In the two men's final exchange Cather makes us feel, not only Elliot's good nature, but his relief that Niel is not going to be contemptuous and grudging:

'So we may feel that she was well cared for, to the very end,' said Niel. 'Thank God for that!'

'I knew you'd feel that way,' said Ed Elliot, as a warm wave of feeling passed over his face. 'I did!' [Pp.177-178]

Ed Elliot is one of the 'new breed' of men coming out of the West that Niel had despised. Born the son of the town cobbler, he is now a prosperous mining engineer: 'a broad-shouldered man with an open, sunbrowned face' (p.175); frank and generous. By giving him the last word in the novel, Cather holds out some hope for the future: there is an alternative to the noble old Captain whose day is done and the cynical and corrupt Ivy Peters whom Niel fears is taking over.

Cather wrote in a letter that the novel's integrity depended on the Captain and our conception of him is dominated by the image of an old man seated in front of a sun-dial, stoically watching "time visibly devoured" (p.110). In every aspect of his life he is dignified, courageous and honest: when the bank, to which he had lent his name, fails he pays the depositers out of his own money; he delights in his wife's charm and beauty and, though he knows very well that she has a lover, he adores and protects her.
He is not 'time's fool.' Although Niel admires the Captain he is nothing like him. The Captain is loyal to the things and people he 'values'; Niel, in the name of loyalty, betrays them. And the Captain's fidelity is returned: even though Marian is technically "unfaithful" she never complains of poverty, she nurses him through his illness and after his death sends flowers to his grave each year.

And what of Marian Forrester herself? Cather stated that she had not attempted a character study but a portrait. She is seen from various perspectives but never from within; in the end she remains ambiguous. The reader witnesses her 'effect' - on the boys of the town, the Captain's friends and on Niel, who all succumb to her charm. Cather tells very little about her past; there are only scattered references to the 'stories' circulated about the 'gay life' (p.74) she led in Colorado and to how she came to marry the Captain. Marian's own account of how she had slipped on a mountain-climbing expedition and he had carried her, is a metaphor of their marriage: "'I knew ... he would never drop me'" (p.168). He is the only one who does not; Niel's selfish idealism, Ellinger's sensual indulgence and Ivy's passionless embrace, all fail her.

After the Captain's stroke, Mrs. Forrester is confined to Sweet Water and deprived of society. On Niel's return home from college she relates how she had visited friends at Glenwood Springs:

'I always know how I am looking, and I looked well enough. The men thought so. I looked happier than
any woman there.... I accepted the Dalzells' invitation with a purpose; I wanted to see whether I had anything left worth saving. And I have, I tell you!' [P.125]

Like Madame Bovary, she "'plan[s] and plot[s]'" how to "'get out of this hole'" (p.125) and return to the scene of excitement: "'Perhaps people think I've settled down to grow old gracefully, but I've not. I feel such a power to live in me'" (p.124). But without men to admire her and women to compete with, she barely exists. After her husband's death, preferring 'life on any terms' - (p.172) - and life for her, as for Emma Bovary, means having a lover - she becomes Ivy's mistress.

Cather's essay, 'The Novel Demeublé,' provides a key to the lost lady. In it she rejects Naturalism as a dehumanising catalogue and advocates an art of selection. She wanted to free fiction from the accretion of fact which had clung to it like a dead weight:

How wonderful it would be if we could throw all the furniture out of the window and, along with it, all the meaningless reiterations concerning physical sensations, all the tiresome old patterns ... leave the scene bare for the play of emotions, great and little - [14]

She was not interested in the novel as a social document and she felt that novelists too often regarded 'form' as a matter of taking one of the stock moulds off the shelf, instead of finding or creating, the form which suited the material.

Her prescription for the novel is really a description
of what she herself had done in *A Lost Lady*. She asks if
the story of a banker's marital and financial difficulties
is 'at all reinforced by a masterly exposition of banking,
our whole system of credits, the methods of the Stock Exchange.'
Balzac and Zola certainly thought it was but Cather deals
with the bank failure in a couple of pages; she was not
concerned with the system itself, only its effect on people's
lives. In *A Lost Lady* she sketches the social map of Sweet
Water in a few bold strokes; 'that drudge, the theme writing
high-school student, sent there for information regarding
the manners and dress and interiors' of the period would
not find out very much.

It is not that Cather disliked description but it had
to serve a greater purpose than the gratification of the
interior designer. She cites Tolstoy, 'almost as great
a lover of material things as Balzac':

But there is this determining difference: the clothes,
the dishes, the haunting interiors of those old Moscow
houses are always so much part of the people that they
are perfectly synthesized; they seem to exist not so
much in the author's mind as in the emotional penumbra
of the characters themselves.

This is the perfect analysis of her own treatment of interiors
in *A Lost Lady*, for the house is inextricably linked in
Niel's mind with the total effect produced by Mrs. Forrester.
The novel never leaves the Forrester place; at first it
is the gracious setting in which Marian exercises her charm
and later it becomes a dark hole from which she has to claw
her way out.
The essay sets up an opposition between 'literalness' and the power of 'suggestion':

Whatever is felt upon the page without being specifically named there - that, one might say, is created. It is the inexplicable presence of the thing not named, of the overtone divined by the ear but not heard by it, the verbal mood, the emotional aura of the fact or the thing or the deed, that gives high quality to the novel or the drama, as well as to poetry itself.

And in a letter to F. Scott Fitzgerald Cather said that A Lost Lady was about personal charm and the enigma of beauty; of how much greater the effect is than its cause. The novel is built on the discrepancy between empirical fact and poetic truth. The Forrester place, for example, 'was not at all remarkable': 'Stripped of its vines ... [it] would probably have been ugly enough' (pp.4-5). It is only made to seem beautiful by the people who live in it. When Mrs. Forrester is ill the townswomen invade the house where 'they had never before got past the parlour,' and which had always seemed so alluring, only to find 'they had been fooled all these years.... The kitchen was inconvenient, the sink was smelly' (p.138). But these facts, which they pounce on as "reality," cannot take away from the 'aura' that the house had always had.

In the same way Niel feels he has been fooled by Mrs. Forrester: she is not what she had seemed; she drinks too much and takes lovers. And yet the memory of her haunts him:
Her eyes when they laughed for a moment into one's own, seemed to promise a wild delight that he had not found in life. 'I know where it is,' they seemed to say, 'I could show you!' He would like to call up the shade of the young Mrs. Forrester... and challenge it, demand the secret of that ardour; ask her whether she had really found some ever-blooming, ever-burning, ever-piercing joy, or whether it was all fine play-acting. Probably she had found no more than another; but she had always the power of suggesting things much lovelier than herself, as the perfume of a single flower may call up the whole sweetness of spring. [Pp.174-175]

Niel's vision, here, is still restricted; he suspects it was all play-acting, that Marian had not really found more in life than anyone else. And he is still unable to accept change: the joy must be 'ever-blooming,' 'ever-burning' in order to satisfy him. But even if he could challenge her, he would not get at the 'truth' because, Cather implies, the truth is not made up of unalterable facts that can be known and classified and made to stand still. Although it is lost to Niel, Cather celebrates the gift of poetry, the power of suggestion, in the character of Marian Forrester and in the novel as a whole. Whatever the facts of Mrs. Forrester's life, her evocation of loveliness is the most meaningful thing in Niel's.

It is a chastening irony that A Lost Lady should have been seen by so many critics as a life-denying essay in bitterness. It offers instead a, sometimes sad, exploration of time and change and memory but always at the core there is a 'promise' of 'delight' for those who will accept it. There is no call for Niel's resentment and disillusion; as Eudora Welty said, 'what a lesson A Lost Lady gives us
in doing without bitterness. It is a lesson which Cather's Professor also has to learn.

Flounder, flounder, in the sea,
Come, I pray thee, here to me,
For my wife, good Isabella,
Wills not as I'd have her will.

Virginia Woolf, *To The Lighthouse*²

The Professor's House is a very strange book for a woman to have written; not because the central character is a man but because he is a man beleaguered by difficult women. The Professor suffers because he is alive, because he has reached the time of life when death becomes a reality, adopting an ironic perspective on all that has gone before; but beyond this existential pain he suffers particularly as a man. The underlying theme of the novel is the relation between the sexes and its collapse by the end of the book. The Professor has been completely estranged from his wife and daughters having been rejected, humiliated and disappointed by each of them in turn. Conventionally, the subject is part of male territory, indeed it has become something of an American male speciality. The middle-aged man, driven to madness by the isolation of the modern world and particularly the women in it, is a major theme for writers otherwise as diverse as Ernest Hemingway, Saul Bellow and
TO SPEAK OF THE WOE THAT IS IN MARRIAGE: THE PROFESSOR'S HOUSE

Que sert à vos pareils de lire incessamment?
Ils sont toujours logés à la troisième chambre.

La Fontaine

Flounder, flounder, in the sea,
Come, I pray thee, here to me;
For my wife, good Ilsabil,
Wills not as I'd have her will.

Virginia Woolf, To The Lighthouse

The Professor's House is a very strange book for a woman to have written; not because the central character is a man but because he is a man besieged by difficult women. The Professor suffers because he is alive, because he has reached the time of life when death becomes a reality, casting an ironic perspective on all that has gone before; but beyond this existential pain he suffers particularly, as a man.

The underlying theme of the novel is the relation between the sexes and its collapse: by the end of the novel the Professor has been completely estranged from his wife and daughters having been rejected, humiliated and disappointed by each of them in turn. Conventionally, the subject is part of male territory, indeed it has become something of an American male speciality. The middle-aged man, driven to manic distraction or lonely despair by the modern world, and particularly the women in it, is a major theme for writers otherwise as diverse as Ernest Hemingway, Saul Bellow and
Norman Mailer. It is the fictional equivalent of the bar-room drunk crying that his wife doesn't understand him and is as tedious as it is ubiquitous. It will not, I hope, be necessary to go on a pub crawl to appreciate that Cather's treatment of this theme is very different.

Male critics have often been disgruntled at Cather's presumption in employing male narrators and protagonists, notwithstanding that she did so convincingly. Feminist critics have tended to ignore The Professor's House in order to concentrate on her compelling female characters - Alexandra, Thea, Antonia - on the assumption that in a literature dominated by men, female characters have been defined in masculine terms and that the most radical and interesting contribution women writers have made is in portraying them in their own. Cather herself had little interest in feminism.² Like so many successful women of her time, she recognised the obstacles but thought that the strong woman would simply override them. Unlike Virginia Woolf she was not a dedicated reader of women's writing; she displayed a bland indifference to the question of women's position in society and her aesthetic theory was not informed by any consideration of sexual difference. Her exploration of male characters is completely uninhibited and her treatment of the interaction of men and women is without specific feminist intention.

The Professor's House does not directly present any scenes between the mother and daughters in which the Professor is absent but, although attention is always focused on him, the women are allowed their say and the reader is aware
of their independent life; their preoccupations, desires and disappointments. A lesser writer, particularly perhaps if he were male, would have minimised the validity of the wife's complaints against her husband; a tendency which I hope to illustrate later by a comparison with Anatole France's *The Wicker Work Woman*. Godfrey St. Peter is hurt by his wife but Cather does not try to gain sympathy for him at Lillian's expense. When Lillian accuses him of growing "more intolerant all the time" and Cather tells us that 'The thing that stuck in his mind constantly was that she was growing more and more intolerant' (p.35), they both express the truth as they perceive it.

Unhappy marriages abound in literature and they are each, to paraphrase Tolstoy, unhappy in their own way. Moreover, in writing about this, men and women have not necessarily sided with their own sex - far from it. What is rare is Cather's complete absence of partisanship; her ability to suspend judgement. As she wrote in *My Mortal Enemy* (1926) - another story of marital breakdown: "A man and a woman draw apart from that long embrace, and see what they have DONE TO EACH OTHER."

It is also rare to find a woman novelist capable of portraying such a thoroughly convincing and sympathetic male character. Virginia Woolf identified physical description as the first hurdle:
... no one will admit that he can possibly mistake a novel written by a man for a novel written by a woman.... Each sex describes itself. The first words in which either a man or a woman is described are generally enough to determine the sex of the writer. It would, I think, be impossible to determine the author's sex at any point in the novel and certainly not from St. Peter's first appearance:

The Professor in pyjamas was not an unpleasant sight; for looks, the fewer clothes he had on, the better. Anything that clung to his body showed it to be built upon extremely good bones, with the slender hips and springy shoulders of a tireless swimmer. [P.12]

Here there is neither prudery and embarrassment, nor bragging; the Professor can be contained within his swimming trunks. The Professor's House is usually interpreted as a polemic against commercial values, mass production and the misuse of science; a product of Cather's 'disgust' with contemporary life. But the social and historical concerns which have preoccupied critics do not constitute the most interesting aspect of the novel and my discussion of them will remain peripheral to the main theme of the relations between the sexes.

The novel begins after the St. Peters have moved into their new house; built with the prize money from the Professor's Spanish Adventurers in North America. The old house is cold, inconvenient and shabby but it is the place where the children were born and where the Professor had done his most important work. He is reluctant to move and continues to rent the old house in order to use his attic
study. His wife cannot understand this. Critics too have been baffled and have sought explanations in Cather's own life. In 1916 Isabelle McClung married the violinist, Jan Hambourg, to whom the novel is dedicated and on whom Louie Marsellus is said to be based. Cather was devastated by Isabelle's marriage and although they remained friends - Cather even came to like Jan Hambourg - it was one of the most traumatic events in her life. She not only lost her friend's exclusive affection, but the attic sewing room in Isabelle's house where she had worked for over fifteen years. The Hambourgs wanted to build a study for her in their marital home but Cather refused as she felt she could not work there, just as the Professor declines the offer of a study in the Marsellus's new house.

Leon Edel suggests that the relationship between the two women was either 'actively or latently lesbian'; that Cather regarded Isabelle's marriage as an act of betrayal and her anger and grief found their way into The Professor's House. This 'explains' the otherwise inexplicable depression of Professor St. Peter. Edel states that 'Cather is so identified with her professor that she is unable to supply a "rejection motif" for his despair. All she could say was that the world was out of joint for him - as it was for her.' Because of this Edel finds the book flawed and 'unrealised.' However, his contention that the biographer can date a change in her novels from the year of Isabelle's marriage (1916) is not strictly accurate. Her 'increasing
tension and deep uneasiness' may be reflected in *One of Ours* (1922), *A Lost Lady* (1923) and the novel in question but *My Antonia* - published in 1918 - is certainly not an 'anxious book' nor imbued with a sense of betrayal.

Fascinating as such biographical speculation may be, it must be remembered that Cather wrote *The Professor's House* eight years after Isabelle's marriage from a perspective, one hopes, of emotion recollected in some tranquillity. Moreover, as I hope to show, the Professor is given a credible motivation and psychology, independent of his creator, and the novel can stand on its own without recourse to external exegesis.

Godfrey claims that it is only in his old study that he can work; he clings to it out of habit, but part of his unhappiness is due to the fact that the *Spanish Adventurers* is finished and he has nothing to take its place. The old house too is where the St. Peters had lived as a family, the new one is to be occupied only by him and Lillian. In Chapter II Mrs. St. Peter, who had directed the building and all the arrangements, tries to persuade Godfrey of the merits of their new home: '"And its much more dignified, at your age, to have a room of your own."' An assertion of that kind is hard to answer and one can detect an understandable defensiveness in his reply: '"It's convenient, certainly, though I hope I'm not so old as to be personally repulsive?"' (p.34). In the course of the novel it is revealed that the Professor is fifty-two!
Chapter III opens, after the first night in separate rooms, with the Professor wishing that 'he could be transported on his mattress from the new house to the old': 'But it was Sunday, and on that day his wife always breakfasted with him. There was no way out; they would meet at compt' (p.46). As the barbed comments fly across the coffee percolator the reader learns that Godfrey is disappointed that his daughter had married the florid, voluble, Louie Marsellus and is surprised that Lillian should accept him into the family so warmly. Rosamund had once been engaged to his favourite pupil, Tom Outland, who had been killed in the war, and as a result the Professor has "no enthusiasm" for being a father-in-law. He admits that only Lillian "keep[s] the ball rolling" (p.49). The scene establishes her position: she holds the family together and is right about Marsellus.

Once the conversation ends, we learn more about the tensions in the marriage from the Professor's viewpoint:

As he left the house, he was reflecting that people who are intensely in love when they marry, and who go on being in love, always meet with something which suddenly or gradually makes a difference. Sometimes it is the children, or the grubbiness of being poor, sometimes a second infatuation. In their own case it had been, curiously enough, his pupil, Tom Outland. [P.49]

The passage is striking in its finality, in the assumption that something 'always' makes a difference, and its almost Olympian detachment: 'curiously enough', he reflects, as if he were observing the domestic habits of penguins. He
is without rancour; almost it seems without regret.

The pain of being rejected sexually is alluded to again when the projected trip to Paris is discussed. The Professor will not go, less because of Louie whom he now likes, than because of Lillian and Rosamund whom he feels have 'changed bewilderingly ... and hardened' (p.161). The Professor withdraws more and more from family life; once he ceases to be Lillian's lover he feels redundant:

Besides, he would not be needed. He could trust Louie to take care of Lillian, and nobody could please her more than her son-in-law. Beaux-fils, apparently, were meant by Providence to take the husband's place when husbands had ceased to be lovers. [P.160]

While most people would consider being in love with someone as the most intimate of all relations, for the Professor it creates primarily a social bond, with the original impulse of the lover being rapidly smothered and distorted by social obligations. During the summer of his family's absence he reflects that,

The man he was now, the personality his friends knew, had begun to grow strong during adolescence, during the years when he was always consciously or unconsciously conjugating the verb 'to love' ... When he met Lillian, it reached its maturity.... One thing led to another ... and the design of his life had been the work of this secondary social man, the lover.... Because there was Lillian, there must be marriage and a salary. Because there was marriage, there were children.... [Pp. 264-265]

This is a very sympathetic account of the "trap" of marriage and very different from the cynical cliché, perpetrated by a certain kind of male literature, of the man being harnessed by the woman and flogged to death in support of her and the
children. Groping for the moment when things had gone wrong, he blames the lover but much of the problem is the 'social man'; the conditions imposed on the lover by the society in which he lives.

To St. Peter this social man is secondary to the pre-adolescent Kansas boy who constitutes his 'original, unmodified' self (p.263) - unmodified, that is, by sex. In middle-age, this boy returns to him bringing a new, disquieting awareness that 'His career, his wife, his family, were not his life at all, but a chain of events which had happened to him' (p.264). In his alienation from this secondary social man he discovers that he is alone, that he has always been so, and that the feeling he had between boyhood and middle-age that he was not, was an illusion: 'He seemed to know, among other things, that he was solitary and must always be so ... He was earth and would return to earth' (p.265). He is separated not only from his family but from his work: he 'was not a scholar' and 'his histories ... had no more to do with his original ego than his daughters had.' Books and children 'were a result of the high pressure of young manhood' (p.265). The image of the successful man with a big career, a beautiful wife and children becomes no more to him than an expression of libido and egocentricity. The cornerstone of his adult life is his relation with Lillian and when that is dislodged the whole edifice topples. As the summer comes to an end and his wife's return is imminent, he is thrown into a panic: 'There must, he was
repeating to himself, there must be some way in which a man who had always tried to live up to his responsibilities could, when the hour of desperation came, avoid meeting his own family' (p.274).

He becomes terrified of human contact. Through the force of habit and obligation, the Professor had only been dimly aware that something was wrong but in the summer vacation, with his family away, the machinery of his life grinds to a halt. He does not know where he had 'made his mistake' but he knows that one has been made (p.275). He tells himself: "Surely the saddest thing is falling out of love - if once one has ever fallen in"' (p.275). It leaves him completely alienated. The vision which informs the novel is profoundly pessimistic; based on an awareness of the irreconcilable elements in the human condition:

One realises that human relationships are the tragic necessity of human life; that they can never be wholly satisfactory, that every ego is half the time greedily seeking them, and half the time pulling away from them.⁸

By the end of the novel, the Professor is no longer struggling to achieve or to possess: he does not regret his past life but he is indifferent to it. Weary of the cycle of desire and disappointment he drifts towards renunciation. When the 'long-anticipated coincidence' happens (p.276) - the storm blows the windows shut and the stove out - he surrenders to fate. He is saved by Augusta but, after his temporary release from consciousness, he is not the same man: 'He had let something go - and it was gone: something
very precious, that he could not consciously have relinquished, probably' (p.282). Although he is not aware of having done so he had apparently tried to save himself. The accident represents, not the denial of the will to live, but the abnegation of desire. In an attempt to escape from the tragic necessity of human relations, he renounces all forms of passion.

St. Peter's sense of isolation, of how arbitrary his life has been, is precipitated by an awareness of death but after the accident he is not simply waiting to die. And he is no longer cowering at the prospect of his wife's return: 'He thought he knew where he was, and that he could face with fortitude the Berengaria and the future' (p.283). The novel ends with these lines and with the suggestion that, though his life has changed, it is not over. But if the human lot is to desire, what future can there be for one who has surrendered that possibility? St. Peter stands at the edge of a new frontier: he has gone beyond love, a career, a family, into a new territory beyond desire. One journey is over but a new one is beginning: 'There was still Augusta, however; a world full of Augustas, with whom one was OUTWARD BOUND' (Emphasis added) (p.281).

Augusta is the devout German Catholic woman who comes to sew for the family twice a year and with whom the Professor had shared his 'cuddy.' She is humorous, pious and utterly without pretension. In the first part of the novel the Professor patronises her but in the final part his attitude changes:
She wasn't at all afraid to say things that were heavily, drearily true, and though he used to wince under them, he hurried off with the feeling that they were good for him... Augusta was like the taste of bitter herbs; she was the bloomless side of life that he had always run away from, - yet when he had to face it, he found that it wasn't altogether repugnant. [P.280]

She becomes a kind of flat-footed personification of wisdom. He recalls how she had often been 'needed' when there had been a death in one of the families for whom she sewed but that she had none of 'the equivocal American way of dealing with serious facts' that the Professor notes in the sham upholstery put in coffins (p.272). She faces reality squarely: 'She talked about death as she spoke of a hard winter or a rainy March, or any of the other sadnesses of nature' (p.281).

The Professor loses touch with his family; the vital interest he had felt in all their concerns evaporates. They sail back to America, because Rosamund is to have a baby, at the time when he has come close to death. They are at the top of the wheel of life at the time when he feels he has fallen off it. Hardly overjoyed at the prospect of becoming a grandfather, he finds that he would rather be with Augusta. By her presence, her 'image,' he reorientates his life but this is not to say that he embraces Catholicism or that Cather was advocating renunciation as a mode of living. She gave a copy of the novel to Robert Frost inscribed, 'This is really a story of "letting go with the heart" but most reviewers seem to consider it an attempt to popularize a system of philosophy.'
The implications of Augusta's single state are quite as important as her Catholicism. The major difference between her and the other women in the novel is that she is not defined by her sex. Cather says nothing of her social relations except that she is '[Appelhof's] niece' and a 'spinster' (p.10). She arrives to sew and leaves to sew at other people's houses. Nothing in the descriptions of Augusta emphasises the fact that she is a woman: 'She herself was tall, large-boned, flat and stiff, with a plain, solid face, and brown eyes not destitute of fun' (p.23). She has large hands and a fine head of hair. Unlike so many spinsters in literature she is not ridiculous, pitiable or grotesque. Her life is not dependent on love, marriage or children. When the Professor lets go with the heart he loses his sexual identity and is outward bound with Augusta.

In contrast to her, Lillian, Rosamund and Kitty always appear in the context of the home. All are married, none of them works and, although Kitty and her mother both studied at University, they have never considered the possibility of a career. Superficially, Lillian appears almost a copybook stereotype of the "feminine" woman: beautiful, cruel, indulgent, exacting, charming, scheming and worldly. Most "feminine" of all, she is intuitive and irrational: 'With her really radiant charm, she had a very interesting mind - but it was quite wrong to call it mind... What she had was a richly endowed nature' (pp.49-50). At first sight it looks as if Cather is perpetuating all the old myths. Lillian, though
forceful and intelligent, lives vicariously - she is the woman behind every great man:

Yes, with her sons-in-law she had begun the game of being a woman all over again. She dressed for them, planned for them, schemed in their interests .... [She] lived in their careers as she had once done in his. It was splendid, St Peter told himself. She wasn't going to have to face a stretch of boredom between being a young woman and being a young grandmother. [P.79]

But these are the Professor's thoughts. What he 'told himself' is extremely patronising, with Lillian's sphere of influence belittlingly designated as 'the little anxious social world of Hamilton' (p.79). According to the Professor her life is biologically determined: she has children, then grandchildren and in the little lull inbetween she is to charm and scheme (having only had daughters) for her sons-in-law. Because she is a woman he envisages her life, not as a course of action, but as a state or condition: 'being' a young woman and 'being' a grandmother. The Professor has the serious activity known as work, she 'the game of being a woman.'

There is a moment in the novel when it is intimated that Lillian does not find all this scheming and charming as fulfilling as he imagines:

'My dear,' he sighed ... 'it's been a mistake, our having a family and writing histories and getting middle-aged. We should have been picturesque shipwrecked together when we were young.'

'How often I've thought that!' she replied with a faint, melancholy smile.

'You?...' he murmured in astonishment. [P.94]
He 'wished he knew just how it seemed to her' (p.95) but it has apparently never occurred to him to ask. For Lillian it is too late: 'There was something lonely and forgiving in her voice, something that spoke of an old wound, healed and hardened and hopeless' (p.94). Although Lillian is invariably portrayed from the Professor's point of view there is an unstated perspective. His descriptions of family life are so completely self-orientated that the reader is bound to wonder 'how it seemed to her.' Cather's emphasis on his 'amazement' (p.94) at not understanding his wife alerts the reader to the limitation of his perceptions.

Shortly after this episode he indulges in this astonishingly complacent reflection:

All the while he had been working so fiercely at his eight big volumes, he was not insensible to the domestic drama that went on beneath him.... Just as, when Queen Mathilde was doing the long tapestry now shown at Bayeux, - working her chronicle of the deeds of Knights and heroes, - alongside the big pattern of dramatic action she and her women carried the little playful pattern of birds and beasts that are a story in themselves; so, to him, the most important chapters of his history were interwoven with personal memories. [P.101]

Perhaps he dedicated each of his eight big volumes, "To my wife, without whom..." The novel offers a classic portrait of the writer and the writer's wife: exactly the scenario which historically made it so much easier for men to work than women; the presence of what Virginia Woolf termed the 'Angel in the House,' who cooks, cleans, cares for the children and even dispenses flowers and charming conversation when the man descends from his labours.
I am conscious of the possibility of attributing to Cather a "feminist" awareness that she may not have possessed. Cather considered that the artist had special needs and she may have regarded a privileged position as his prerogative. However she states in a letter that Virginia Woolf gives a fair account of the problems of being a woman writer and she may also have agreed with Woolf that this was neither a necessary nor natural state of affairs. It is not, then, implausible to see this passage as a veiled criticism of the marital status quo and to see it as being deliberately, if obliquely, intended to function as such.

This reading would seem to be supported by the Professor's comparison with the Bayeux tapestry. A.S. Byatt comments on it in her introduction to the Virago edition: 'St. Peter compares the household work of his womenfolk whilst he was writing, to the women who embroidered birds and beasts in the Bayeux tapestry whilst history unfolded.' This is no doubt what he intends: he is engaged on 'the big pattern of dramatic action' whilst his wife and daughters carry 'the little playful pattern alongside.' But his easy classification of importance - big and little - fails to convince. Moreover, despite its logical framework ('just as,' 'so') the comparison is confused, for the Queen and her women work all the tapestry, big and little. The Professor wants to hog the centre for himself and his History and to push the women to the sidelines but the allusion to the Bayeux tapestry, in fact, works against him. That this interpretation should be possible
is not the result of negligence on Cather's part, or an imposition of meaning on my own. By inserting the phrase, 'so, to him,' she carefully distances herself from his specious reasoning and exposes his masculine bias.

It is not always easy to "place" the Professor or to decide how far his viewpoint is endorsed and how much is ironic and questionable. Among critics he has had both partisans and detractors but in the end this is beside the point. Cather identified with him and borrowed many of her own experiences and beliefs for the creation of his character. In his compassion, humour and integrity it is not difficult for most readers to sympathise with him. Nevertheless his perceptions are distorted, and distorted specifically, by his masculinity. Cather presents his thoughts without comment but this does not mean that they are hers. She refuses to make judgements and merely sets before the reader a complex psychology and interaction of characters. The Bayeux tapestry, for example, is one of several images through which Cather subtly, almost slyly, tests and explores the way men and women respond to each other and the limitations of strict masculine and feminine roles.

The Professor's masculine viewpoint is also evident in his attitude to Rosamund and Kitty. They are both defined by him as women and particularly as wives. He considers that Kathleen would have been happier if she had made a better marriage but it never occurs to him that she should not depend on a man, regretting only that she chose one with
'a usual sort of mind' (p.66). Although she is a talented painter, instead of encouraging her, he congratulates her on her lack of ambition. Kitty thinks she is ordinary, unlike the girls in the Professor's class who, if they "have a spark of aptitude for anything seem to think themselves remarkable" (p.65). One wonders if he adopts the same positive attitude with the boys! Kathleen's independence and "wilfulness" disturb St. Peter; he wants to 'make her take his arm and be docile' (p.64). Later, he is disappointed that she grows frustrated and envious and devotes her energies to putting glass door knobs throughout her colonial style bungalow! Although he imagines that another man might have been the making of Kitty, he fears that Rosamund would have destroyed Tom Outland. Indeed it seems as if Tom is better off dead than married to his daughter: '[He] would have had to "manage" a great deal of money, to be the instrument of a woman who would grow always more exacting. He had escaped all that' (p.261). Tom's sensitivity would, he feels, have been bruised by Rosamund and their marriage would have repeated the pattern of his own. But any woman would have disillusioned Tom: 'in personal relations he was apt to be exaggerated and quixotic. He idealized the people he loved and paid his devoir to the ideal rather than to the individual' (p.172). Though a more muscular version of Niel Herbert, he was clearly headed for a fall. In different ways, then, the Professor's relations with his daughters are difficult and it does not surprise that he cherishes the time when they were 'pretty
little girls in fresh dresses' (p.101).

At times the Professor's deep-seated mistrust of women comes close to misogyny. When he returns from his humiliating shopping spree with Rosamund, Lillian attempts to console him: 'Her heart ached for Godfrey' (p.155). He is 'warmed and comforted' by a good dinner and as they sit together in cosy domesticity she asks him what he is smiling about:

'I was thinking,' he answered absently, 'about Euripides; how, when he was an old man, he went and lived in a cave by the sea, and it was thought queer, at the time. It seems that houses had become insupportable to him. I wonder whether it was because he had observed women so closely all his life.' [P.156]

As the chapter ends here, one can only guess at the effect on Lillian of this bolt from the blue. She may, like the Wife of Bath before her, feel like hitting him on the head with the Collected Works. The Professor's parthian shaft is characteristic of the first and final parts of the novel in which chapters do not draw to a conclusion, as is usual with Cather, but finish abruptly with either a cryptic statement or a question.11 'When a man had lovely children in his house, fragrant and happy, full of pretty fancies and generous impulses, why couldn't he keep them? Was there no way but Medea's, he wondered? ' (p.126).

This is a very odd question indeed. Cather gives St. Peter daughters not sons, so the disappointments of parenthood are placed in a specifically sexual context. Kathleen and Rosamund play Goneral and Reagan to his King Lear: 'A man
... could get from his daughter a peculiar kind of hurt - one of the cruellest that flesh is heir to' (p.155). But unless one resorts to the desperate and self-defeating measure of infanticide, they cannot remain children for ever. The invocation of Medea brings a complex range of associations into play. Firstly, it is interesting that he should identify with the outraged and deserted wife, rather than allying himself with Jason and the claims of abused fatherhood. Moreover, Medea's 'way' is not designed to keep the children, only to deprive Jason of them. She is motivated by jealousy and revenge. That St Peter should see himself as Medea - one of the most terrifying, deranged and awe-inspiring characters in Greek mythology - suggests the intensity of the bitterness which lies behind the civilized exterior of the secondary social man.

The Medea who comes to mind is that of Euripides - whom the Professor cites as an anti-feminist authority whilst identifying with his most famous female character. In an article for McClure's Cather refers to the Euripedean conception of woman as 'an instrument, a thing driven and employed'; 'a disturber of equilibrium, ... an emotional force that continually deflects reason, weary of her activities, yet kept within her orbit by her nature and the nature of men.' Cather offers this account of her sex without disparagement or comment of any kind but could she really have seen women in these terms? Characters such as Alexandra and Thea are certainly not 'instruments'; they have destinies of their
own. Mrs. Forrester, however, is cast in the Euripidean mould. But that is precisely Cather's point in *A Lost Lady*; Marian's tragedy is due to the confining orbit of sex. The *Professor's House* continues Cather's exploration of the perniciousness of sexual stereotype.

St. Peter's reflections on Lillian mirror some of the ideas in the *McClure* essay:

> Her nature was intense and positive; it was like a chiselled surface, a die, a stamp upon which he could not be beaten out any longer. If her character were reduced to an heraldic device, it would be a hand (a beautiful hand) holding flaming arrows—the shafts of her violent loves and hates, her clear-cut ambitions. [Pp. 274-275].

Lillian conforms to the Euripidean concept of woman as a disturber of equilibrium, an emotional force, but she is not a driven creature; rather it is the Professor who feels himself to be the instrument, beaten out and misshapen to accommodate her will. The passage employs an interesting reversal of imagery, with the conventionally female attribute of amorphous plasticity being assigned to St. Peter whilst Lillian is hard, clear, defined.

The Professor and his wife are representative of their sex (and class); they are, in fact, straight-jacketed by the roles society assigns to them but in the course of the novel these categories are tested and questioned and ultimately, refused. Even at the deepest psychological level Cather breaks down the conventional polarization of sexual characteristics. St. Peter exhibits the 'classic female forms' of illness: 'frigidity, depression, suicide attempts' and above
all, passivity. When he visits the doctor his symptoms, had he been a woman, would doubtless have been diagnosed as menopausal. In the first part of the novel the Professor fills out and is defined by his male role but in the final part, deprived of the trappings of masculinity, his condition is only and fully human.

The 'mistake' which haunts and eludes him is perhaps this, his inability to see beyond the orbit of a conventional masculinity. His recoil from the female sex is the result of his confused apprehension of the unsatisfactory relations between the sexes, translated into a scarcely conscious fear and resentment of women. This is illustrated by his attitude to the dressmaking 'forms' kept in his study. The first, which Augusta calls the 'bust,' is a 'headless, armless female torso, covered in strong black cotton' (p.17):

Though this figure looked so ample and billowy (as if you might lay your head upon its deep-breathing softness and rest safe for ever), if you touched it you suffered a severe shock, no matter how many times you had touched it before. It was a dead, opaque, lumpy solidity, like chunks of putty, or tightly packed sawdust - very disappointing to the tactile sense, yet somehow always fooling you again. [P.18]

The bust, 'so richly developed in the part for which it was named' (p.17), is a maternal image, promising security and consolation. The language, particularly in the parenthesis ('as if you might lay your head ...'), is charged with intense longing for the mother but she is a cruel delusion; alluring and repelling.

Although the second form is said to be 'more self-revelatory,'
it too deceives:

... a full-length female figure in a smart wire skirt with a trim metal waist line. It had no legs ... no viscera behind its glistening ribs, and its bosom resembled a strong wire bird-cage. But St. Peter contended that it had a nervous system. When Augusta left it clad for the night in a new part dress ... it often took on a sprightly, tricky air, as if it were going out for the evening to make a great show of being harum-scarum, giddy, folle.... At times the wire lady was most convincing in her pose of light behaviour, but she never fooled St. Peter. He had his blind spots, but he had never been taken in by one of her kind! [Pp.18-19]

At first sight it looks as if St. Peter had never been taken in by a woman of light behaviour - that conventional snare of an innocent professor - but the pretence he sees through is merely the pose of giddiness and folly. Brittle, angular, nervous and, with her wire bird-cage bosom, almost sexless, she conforms to the image of the modern woman as neurotic, frigid tease; a direct descendant of Sue Brideshead in Hardy's Jude the Obscure.

Both the forms - the mother and the (fake) femme fatale - represent the stereotypes of feminity which inhibit St. Peter's understanding but, to him, they only confirm his suspicion that women are not what they should be. More specifically, the bust is associated with Rosamund and her mother and the wire lady with Kathleen. Lillian's colouring is 'so soft that one did not realize, on first meeting her, how very definitely and decidedly her features were cut' (p.36). And Rosamund takes after her: 'usually' people are only aware of 'her rich complexion' and 'unresisting mouth' (p.59) but both Augusta, when she loses her savings, and the Cranes, discover how hard
Rosamund can be. Kitty, who 'had the slender, undeveloped figure then very much in vogue' (p.37), mirrors the wire lady's pose of having a good time: 'There was something too plucky, too "I can-go-it-alone," about her quick step and jaunty little head' (p.64).

When Augusta comes to take the forms to the new house the Professor refuses to let them go, despite having complained of their being in his way in the past. He protests: "I never complained, Augusta. Perhaps of certain disappointments they recalled, or of cruel biological necessities they imply - but of them individually, never!"' (p.21). Women, it seems, cannot help being women and its really rather noble of him not to hold it against them! More seriously, his remarks serve as a gloss for the novel: the relations between the sexes are fraught with disappointments; to him this is inevitable, the result of 'biological necessity.' But the novel as a whole offers a critique of sexual relations and of the distortions that society imposes. When he declares to Augusta, '"you can't have my women. That's final'" (p.22), Cather highlights the problem. They are not his women anymore than it is his house and the pain he suffers is the result of his possessive attitude. To the Professor, women, like the forms, are objects; he sees them only as they relate to him, never in and for themselves.

Behind the forms lies Anatole France's The Wicker Work Woman (1897). When Augusta apologises for their presence, St. Peter retorts, '"If they were good enough for Monsieur Bergeret, they are certainly good enough for me"' (p.19).
The Professor bears a marked resemblance to Bergeret, the protagonist of France's novel. Bergeret is a Classics professor at a provincial university where, like St. Peter, he campaigns for high academic standards and is often in conflict with the administration. Bergeret has a study at home where he translates Virgil. It is an ugly, dark, cramped room ('la troisième chambre') which he shares with Madame Bergeret's dressmaker's dummy. The significance of this dummy is not at all ambiguous: 'There, bolt upright, over against the learned editions of Catullus and Petronius, stood, like a symbol of the wedded state, this wicker work woman' (p.94).

The story of Bergeret's domestic ills is narrated with unashamed masculine bias. Like St. Peter's, his is a house of women, but they have no redeeming features: 'His wife was a vulgar creature, who had by now lost all her good looks; his daughters, even had no love for him' (p.11). So far as Bergeret is concerned his wife, Amelie, is 'just a paltry mind in a coarsened body' (p.11). She is stingy and slatternly; interested only in her social circle and contemptuous of her husband's work. Bergeret, like St. Peter, has a favourite pupil, but M. Roux has none of Outland's innocence and charm. In fact, on returning home unexpectedly, he finds Roux and his wife in a 'compromising' position on the sofa. He is outraged and disgusted by her adultery and, although he had never loved her, he is bitter and disillusioned with womankind. Being of a reflective turn of mind, he ponders why he should
be so upset and concludes that it is not in herself that Amelie is able to hurt him, but only as she is 'a symbol of Venus.' Seeing her in Roux's arms, she becomes an 'elementary type-form' and, he reasons, as 'an object of desire' she is bound to inspire 'either attraction or repulsion' (p.94).

Bergeret's meditations are close to the concerns of The Professor's House: both professors suffer domestic tragedy, both find women "difficult," both weary of the cycle of desire and disappointment which their sexual identity and the nature of women (as they conceive it) force upon them. But in comparison with Cather, France is crude and heavy-handed; he makes his professor indulge in seemingly interminable reflections on women and the symbolism he employs tends to be one dimensional. After catching Amelie and Roux red-handed, Bergeret repairs to his study and sees the wicker dummy. It reminds him of the 'hen-coops of the cottagers' (he is a hen-pecked husband) and the idol of woven cane in which 'the Phoenicians burnt their salves' (he is a domestic martyr). 'Above all, the thing reminded him of Madame Bergeret, and although it was headless, he always expected to hear it burst out screaming, moaning, or scolding' (pp.97-98). He crushes its 'wicker breast' and throws it 'creaking and mutilated' out of the window. There is simply no comparison to be made here, with the subtlety and suggestiveness of the forms in The Professor's House.

The Wicker Work Woman is narrated exclusively from
Bergeret's point of view. Moreover, France makes Amelie so unremittingly awful - cowardly, spiteful and stupid - loading the evidence against her and justifying (even relishing) Bergeret's loathing, it becomes almost amusing. Whereas Lillian and Godfrey suffer the mutual tragedy of falling out of love, the Bergerets had never loved each other from the beginning. In the end, Godfrey attempts to find an honourable solution but Bergeret scores a cynical triumph over his wife. He freezes her out of the house and gloats over her departure. It is not surprising that Cather thought there was more to say on this subject: The Professor's House is a reply to The Wicker Work Woman.

With Virginia Woolf's To the Lighthouse (1927), a third voice enters the colloquy. Although there is no actual allusion to Cather, Woolf knew her work well and there are obvious similarities between the two novels. Both are about professors and their wives and children and in both the family house plays a prominent role. While Cather's novel may be seen as a corrective to France's, the figure of the professor remains central; it is still the historian, in a sense the artist, who claims her attention. She did not want to answer the character of Amelie with a portrait of a professor's long-suffering wife. In Woolf's novel, on the other hand, the professor, Ramsay, is a distant figure and attention is focused on Mrs. Ramsay. The perspective is altered; aspects of Lillian's life which are only touched on obliquely in Cather's novel, form the staple of Woolf's.
Lillian's unhappiness is voiced but it is not dwelt on but the strain of being a wife and mother, and specifically the wife of a man whose work forces him into isolation, is fully explored in To the Lighthouse. The difference in perspective is well illustrated in Ramsay's appeal to his wife:

He wanted sympathy. He was a failure, he said.... It was sympathy he wanted, to be assured of his genius, first of all, and then to be taken within the circle of life, warmed and soothed, to have his senses restored to him, his barrenness made fertile, and all the rooms of the house made full of life... [P.39]

The Professor's House portrays the effect of the family on St. Peter. To the Lighthouse renders the oppressive impact of Ramsay on the family. Both professors are dependant: St. Peter had relished the 'warmth and fragrance in the air' stealing 'up to his study from the house below' and the knowledge that there were 'pretty little girls in fresh dresses,' 'flowers, plants and a beautiful wife, waiting for him 'downstairs' (p.101). But though Cather questions this upstairs/downstairs relationship she would never have written, as Woolf does, of the 'fatal sterility of the male' or the 'delicious fecundity' of the female (p.38). To Cather, who consistently eschewed any overt connection with politics (sexual or otherwise), such terms would have been too specific.

In Woolf's novel the thrust of her argument is invariably apparent. Lily Briscoe, who chooses to be a painter rather than a wife and mother, must be continually assaulted by Charles
Tansley's sneering, 'Women can't write, women can't paint' (p. 81). Lily also provides a perspective on Mr. Ramsay: 'He is petty, selfish, vain, egotistical; he is spoilt; he is a tyrant; he wears Mrs Ramsay to death' (pp. 27-28). And there is much in the novel to confirm her view. When, a few lines later, she tries to think of him as a professor not a husband, she admires his 'fiery unworldliness; he knows nothing about trifles' (p. 28). But the reader knows that his lofty unconcern means that Mrs. Ramsay must worry about the shabby state of the rooms that he wants 'furnished and full of life'; must fret over the fifty pounds to repair the greenhouse and feel 'the burden it laid on them' - herself and the children - that they had to 'hide small daily things' (p. 41). But St. Peter jumps into the chipped bath at night after work to give it another coat of enamel paint.

Woolf not only makes her professor a domestic tyrant but Mrs. Ramsay a domestic martyr: 'They came to her, naturally, since she was a woman, all day long with this and that' (p. 34). Naturally? Perhaps so, but then Mr. Ramsay is hardly a sympathetic father. St. Peter, on the other hand, spends one summer alone with his five year old daughter Kitty, working and caring for her, in a very happy arrangement. When his children grow up, he feels closest to Kitty. Without underlining the point, Cather suggests that the conventional relationship between father and child is neither natural nor inevitable, or even desirable.
Mrs. Ramsay is continually expending sympathy: 'there was scarcely a shell of herself left for her to know herself by; all was so lavished and spent' (p.39). She is the living and never-disappointing embodiment of 'the bust.' Even the objectionable Charles Tansley comes under her spell. But Woolf exposes the roots of his affection, showing how Mrs. Ramsay, in the cause of social harmony, flatters his vanity, appeases his wounded ego, 'insinuating, too, as she did the greatness of man's intellect' (p.15). The 'subjection of all wives' (p.15) is the basis of the Ramsay marriage: 'she did not like, even for a second, to feel finer than her husband.' She tries to hide his need, 'for then people said he depended on her' (p.40). Woolf emphasises the sexual politics in the family: the man egotistical, vain, demanding and the woman sympathetic, generous, drained.

One of the most important scenes in To the Lighthouse, occupying approximately twenty-five pages, is Mrs. Ramsay's dinner party. Her Boeuf en Daube is a triumph. As she draws each participant out of their isolation and self-preoccupation into an harmonious group, the dinner becomes, as E.K. Brown points out, a kind of Communion. The scene is set in a framework designed to emphasise its significance: 'But what have I done with my life? thought Mrs. Ramsay, taking her place at the head of the table' (p.78). During the dinner all the women play their part: Lily mollifies Charles Tansley, Minta is 'charming' to Mr. Ramsay and Mrs. Ramsay soothes Mr. Bankes. Under her influence everyone is united but,
as she leaves the room at the end of the evening, she looks over her shoulder at a scene 'which was vanishing even as she looked' (p.103).

Woolf attempts to capture the fugitive elements which make up a woman's life. Ordinary events are hallowed; cooking and caring for people is made significant. Mrs. Ramsay's achievements are of necessity transient; the food is eaten, the children grow up and there are no books or paintings to show for it. But even after her death Mrs. Ramsay is able to unite and console and, indeed, it is the memory of her which dominates the novel's final section. Towards the end, Lily realises that her great achievement was to make life itself 'a work of art': 'Mrs. Ramsay saying "Life stands still here"; Mrs. Ramsay making the moment something permanent' (p.151).

Although the presentation of Mrs Ramsay sometimes suffers from an overkill of sympathy, Woolf's concern to celebrate the evanescent power and glory of a woman's life is wholly legitimate. Cather too valued domesticity and, in an interview entitled 'The Pioneer Mother,' equated the woman's role with that of the artist. A character such as Antonia has the same ability as Mrs. Ramsay to create images which linger in the mind long after the moment has passed. Both writers gave something radically new to the novel but where Woolf performed a service for her sex consciously and, as her theoretical writings show, with polemical intent, Cather's female characters were born out
of sheer affection. However, in *The Professor's House* she had other purposes. She is less concerned with Lillian's special dinners for Scott and Louie (p.109) than with the eight big volumes of her professor. St. Peter has been engaged on an indisputably great piece of (creative) scholarly work but Woolf's professor has only 'reached Q' (p.35) on a scale of A to Z. Ramsay is tormented by self-doubt and is consequently vain and irritable. Woolf undermines the intellectual life, making it the product of male ego and sterility. All the academics display one or other, or both, of these qualities and Ramsay, Bankes and Tansley may be compared unfavourably with St. Peter, Crane and Outland. While Cather gives her unqualified approval to the Spanish Adventurers, Woolf makes Ramsay's work faintly ridiculous by having Lily always think of it as 'a scrubbed kitchen table.' Nonetheless it is his only justification: he is 'like a desolate sea-bird ... marking the channel out there in the floods alone' (p.44-45). For this he commands respect but through his vanity, selfishness and childish temper tantrums, Woolf severely diminishes his stature. Someone who hurls plates across the room because there is an earwig in his soup had better get a little farther than 'Q'! Ironically, it is Cather who portrays the integrity of staying alone, in a room of one's own, and writing in it.

*To the Lighthouse* celebrates society, *The Professor's House* isolation; the fruitful solitariness of the artist.
But is also about the price that has to be paid for this, by the spouse as much as anyone, and about ordinary human loneliness. In a speech given in 1933, Cather complained that 'the American novelist has been confined, or has confined himself, to two themes; how the young man got his girl, whether by matrimony or otherwise, and how he succeeded in business.' In this novel she turns the American obsession with 'youth, love and success' on its head: it deals with growing old, falling out of love, the failure of success to bring fulfilment; the hollowness of the American dream. The only relationship that does not turn sour is Tom and Godfrey's and that is unsullied by sex or money.

The only moments of real happiness in the novel are achieved in isolation. St. Peter says to Lillian that they should have been picturesquely shipwrecked together but when he considers 'the particular occasion he would have chosen for such a finale ... his wife was not in it': 'Indeed, nobody was in it but himself, and a weather-dried little sea captain from the Hautes-Pyrenées, half a dozen spry sea-men, and a line of gleaming snow peaks, agonizingly high and sharp, along the southern coast of Spain' (p.95). The high-water mark of his life is not only when he is essentially alone but when he has around him the undisturbing presence of the male group. For Tom, too, his time of 'unalloyed' 'happiness' is spent on the Blue Mesa, alone: 'I can scarcely hope that life will give me another summer like that one. It was my high tide' (p.251). And nothing, one feels, that he might
have experienced with Rosamund could have equalled it.

The next best thing to being alone for Tom and Godfrey is being with each other. When Lillian grows jealous, they meet 'in the alcove behind the Professor's lecture room' (p.173) and they spend evenings together whenever they can drinking wine and reading Lucretius. The relationship survives because it is intellectual, Platonic. It has nothing to do with money or sex. St. Peter hotly refuses to benefit from Outland's will: "my friendship with Outland is the one thing that I will not have translated into the vulgar tongue" (p.62). Although their friendship shares many of the qualities of a love affair - the two men spend idyllic summers together and after Tom's death Godfrey wraps himself in Tom's blanket, which had been just "like his skin" (p.130), and dreams of his return (p.263) - there is nothing sexual in their relationship. That is its virtue: because they are friends rather than lovers, there is not marriage and children and ultimately, disillusionment.18

It is perhaps sad that the only satisfactory relationship in the novel should be so completely divorced from the social and family context. There is no future for Tom and Godfrey; they could hardly have taken summer holidays together for ever, and partly because of this there is nothing for Cather to do with Tom except kill him off in the war. Alternatively it may be only the tyranny of our culture which insists on perceiving human relations in terms of sex; our mania for boy meets girl. In this novel Cather began to break new
ground, suggesting that there are other, fruitful and fulfilling kinds of human contact; an exploration which led her to the androgynous territory of *Death Comes for the Archbishop*.

'Tom Outland's Story,' which is set in the American Southwest, is clearly a precursor to the novel of the missionary priests. Its function in *The Professor's House* is mainly one of contrast. The Professor's house and Marsellus's 'Outland' residence are implicitly contrasted with the houses of Cliff City, where man had carved out an existence in harmony with the environment. It deals with a time of cultural integrity, with America's Indian past, as opposed to its tense and fragmented present. Characteristically, Cather spoke of her intention in aesthetic terms; she wanted to achieve the same effect that she found in Dutch paintings of interiors in which there was a window with a view of ships and the sea: 'In my book I tried to make Professor St. Peter's house rather overcrowded and stuffy with ... American proprieties, clothes, furs, petty ambitions and quivering jealousies - until one got rather stifled. Then I wanted to open the square window and let in the fresh air that blew in off the Blue Mesa.'

'Tom Outland's Story,' purportedly written by Tom himself, is in clear, bright prose; full of translucent evocations of the landscape, the light, the climate.

This central section, not only provides an escape from the cramped and stultifying conditions of urban life, but a further perspective on the theme of human relations. Out on the Mesa the erstwhile loner, Roddy Blake; Tom, who is
an orphan; and old Henry the English drunk, band together. Henry keeps house and they are described as a 'happy family' (p.198). In a novel so concerned with the family it is significant that the happiest one should be this all male household of misfits. The only woman there is a corpse whom they name 'Mother Eve':

She was lying on a yucca mat, partly covered in rags, and she had dried into a mummy in that water-drinking air. We thought she had been murdered; there was a great wound in her side, the ribs stuck out through the dried flesh. Her mouth was open as if she were screaming, and her face, through all those years, had kept a look of terrible agony. [P.214]

Mother Eve acquires symbolic resonances in much the same way as Augusta's sewing forms. Missy Dehn Kubitschek is right I think to connect the wire lady, with 'no viscera behind its glistening ribs,' to the mummy and the 'great wound in her side' where 'the ribs stuck out through the dried flesh.' Further, given the source for the forms in France's The Wicker Work Woman, the suggestion that Mother Eve was probably murdered by her husband for committing adultery, comes as no surprise. In The Professor's House there is no actual instance of marital infidelity, but there are several references to it and the forms and the mummy all quiver with suggestions of betrayal. Given her name, it is difficult not to associate Mother Eve with Henry's death from a rattle snake bite. The serpent rears its ugly head and poor Henry writhes in horrible agony to his end. She is an agent of destruction: when they attempted to
transport her, "she went to the bottom of Black Canyon and carried Hook's best mule along with her" (p.244).

But if Mother Eve is a symbol of female deceit and adultery she is also an image of terrible suffering and her mummified agony comments on the savage vengeance exacted on her. The human drama on the Mesa is a heightened, symbolic and violent counterpart to the civilized society of Hamilton. Mother Eve is another female object. In an effort to explain how much she means to him, Tom says he would sell "any living woman" before he would sell her (p.244). But when she is sold she crashes to the bottom of the canyon, offering perhaps the last word on men's attempt to possess women.

Any estimation of Cather's treatment of human relations would inevitably be somewhat simplistic. She deals with a problematic question, subtly, in a searching manner that has complex implications. She was divided in her estimation of the novel: at the time she spoke of it as a nasty, grim little tale, the product of a middle-aged mood; later she thought it was underrated. It was, she said, born of really fierce feeling. To me, The Professor's House is humane and disturbing, fascinating and transitional. It explores 'the dark forest' of the human 'heart' (p.95) but there is a glimpse of the 'blue and gold' landscape of Death Comes for the Archbishop. Cather penetrates deep into the labyrinth of desire and disappointment; she does not possess the answers but she finds a way to move on from darkness into daylight. Like her professor, she is outward bound.
THE CHEMISTRY OF COLOUR: DEATH COMES FOR THE ARCHBISHOP, SHADOWS ON THE ROCK

Your memories are like the colors in paints, but you must arrange them.

Cather to New York World, April 19, 1925.1

At the end of The Professor's House Godfrey St. Peter is 'outward bound.' Having overcome his despair and longing for death, Cather intimates that he is destined for a new, though unspecified, kind of life. In Death Comes for the Archbishop it is as if he were reincarnated as Jean Marie Latour. Although one is an historian and the other a priest, the two share many characteristics: they are both fastidious, cultured, tolerant, humane and lonely but, above all, they are artists. The Professor's History is not a dry, scholastic work but a product of intense personal feeling, in which events are arranged in an organic design, and the pinnacle of the Archbishop's achievement is the Cathedral at Santa Fe. But while St. Peter is ultimately dissatisfied even with his books, Latour finds fulfilment.

Latour, too, has moods like the Professor of bleakness and despair, 'lying in his bed, unable to sleep, with the sense of failure clutching at his heart' (p.211). But whereas St Peter finds himself utterly alone, alienated from the rest of humanity and wearied by the futile cycle of desire
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and disappointment, Latour can transcend his 'personal
loneliness':

He sat down before his desk, deep in reflection.
It was just this solitariness of love in which a
priest's life could be like his Master's. It was
not a solitude of atrophy, of negation, but of
perpetual flowering. [P.256]

He is restored by the experience of divine love and finds,
in Wallace Stevens's terms, an 'imperishable bliss.' But
for St. Peter - a lapsed Catholic - there are only fragile
and flawed human relations.

It is curious that Cather, not herself a Catholic,
should offer Catholicism as a consolation for, and even an
alternative to 'worldly' life. Certainly she felt a tremendous
sympathy for the oldest church, both because it endowed
suffering with dignity and as the greatest sponsor of the
arts. Death Comes for the Archbishop is not a Catholic book
in any exclusive or propagandist sense, however, and Cather
is careful to make Latour's experience relevant to non-Catholics
as well.

For example, although it might at first seem that the
major difference between the Archbishop's and the Professor's
work is that of the secular and the divine, Cather stresses
that the Cathedral is the result of Latour's human desire:
it gratifies his "taste" even his "vanity." He is anxious
that Father Vaillant may think him "worldly" (p.245).
Not content with an Ohio church, he employs a French architect
to build in the style of his beloved Midi Romanesque. The
Cathedral is not just a place of worship but a work of art
in its own right. The real discriminating factor is a question of form: between the word and the artefact. It is significant that the Professor's seven volume History is of the Southwest, dealing with those very 'legends and customs and superstitions' (p.277) that the Archbishop laments never having written down. Cather intends the reader to accept the Professor's book as a great work and yet she insists that its completion brings him no peace: it fails because of the limitations of language. This dissatisfaction with the word is again reflected in *Death Comes for the Archbishop*, in which architecture, sculpture and painting are consistently revered for their greater power of communication. Paradoxically, Cather's most beautiful writing was shaped when she had least faith in language and was trying to make prose as much like painting as possible.

In a letter to the editor of *The Commonweal* Cather explains her preoccupation with the Catholic missionaries in the Southwest. She was not attracted primarily by doctrine or morality but by the churches: 'the hand-carved beams and joists, the utterly unconventional frescoes, the countless fanciful figures of the saints.'

I used to wish there were some written account of the old times when those churches were built; but I soon felt that no record of them could be as real as they are themselves. They are their own story, and it is foolish convention that we must have everything interpreted for us in written language. There are other ways of telling what one feels....

But not for Cather whose only recourse was to written language. Cather's preoccupation with 'other ways of telling'
resulted in a highly original narrative method. At the time, this was held against her: reviewers complained it was hard to classify and could not properly be termed a novel. Cather countered by calling it a 'narrative.' Admittedly it had to be called something, but this hardly seems more helpful. Narrative implies plot and a casual relationship between events, features which are conspicuously absent. Cather presents scenes and tells stories but one thing does not lead to another in the conventional novelistic sense.

History? Biography? Travelogue? It has been read as all three but no classification is entirely convincing. Historical novels rarely dispense with chronology as completely as Cather does here. The Prologue opens with the date (1848), as does the first chapter (1851), but from then on exact references are rare until 1888, a few months before the Archbishop's death. Cather's treatment of time is like the Archbishop's at the end of his life: 'He observed ... that there was no longer any perspective in his memories.... He was soon to have done with calendared time, and it had already ceased to count for him' (p.290). He remembers his childhood as clearly as the recent past. In the same way, events in the book are all equally vivid and it matters very little whether the Archbishop visited Eusabio before or after Doña Isabella 'lied' about her age, or Padre Gallegos died. Indeed it is often hard to recall, for although the book is set in a specific period, temporal sequence is almost irrelevant.
Moreover, the main historical facts are referred to only briefly: there are passing mentions of the border disputes between America and Mexico, the Indian raids, the gold rush in Colorado, the Civil War and the dispossession of the Navaho but none, with the exception of the latter, impinges very forcibly. Cather's interest is in the local customs and legends; the kind of material which rarely finds its way into the history books. It is not, then, an historical novel in any conventional way. It tells the story of Bishop Latour and Father Vaillant and the stories told to them.

For example, on his visit to the priest at Taos, the Bishop hears 'the best account he had heard of the great Indian revolt of 1680' from Padre Martinez, who knew his country, a country which had no written histories' (p.152). Through this digressive mode, Cather is freed from chronology and can range at will from ancient Indian ritual, to the martyrdom of the Spanish missionaries, to the building of the Cathedral and back again and so convey the many layers of history which make up the country.

If there had been no 'written histories' at all, of course, Cather could not have written hers. Although she drew on her own experience in the Southwest, she was also greatly indebted to The Life of the Right Reverend Joseph P. Machebeuf, by William Joseph Howlett. Howlett's account of Father Machebeuf's and Archbishop Lamy's life in New Mexico provided Cather with the models for her protagonists, and as the Blooms have pointed out, with many of the main events.
With the exception of Lamy's death occurring before Machebeuf's, Cather is faithful to her source. Nonetheless the book is not biography, even in a veiled form. Despite flashes back to Latour's youth in France, it really only deals with ten years of his life. Books 1 to 8 are concerned with his experiences as a pioneer priest, then there is a gap of thirty years before Book 9 and his death. Although Latour is a sympathetic and convincing character - so too is Vaillant - Cather's interest is not simply in him but in his relation to the country. This is what had fascinated her about Archbishop Lamy: 'the daily life of such a man in a crude frontier society.'

Latour provides a perspective on the surroundings: it is largely through him that the reader sees the landscape and the people in it. For much of the time he is on the move, travelling through the 'great diocese' on a mule and so affording Cather the opportunity for lengthy description. To Stouck, this aspect suggests that she was working within the tradition of American travel literature. To him, the richness of local detail, the fascination with different customs, the digressive and anecdotal form all belong to this genre. Yet the Bishop's feeling for the country is not that of the tourist and the depth of vision in the book can hardly be contained by the term travelogue. Further, it is not unified by mere locality: it has its own thematic coherence.

*Death Comes for the Archbishop* must, after all, be called
a novel, using the definition which Cather, in some exasperation, offered at the end of her letter to The Commonweal:

But a novel, it seems to me, is merely a work of imagination in which a writer tries to present the experiences and emotions of a group of people by the light of his own.

For Cather this was true whatever the writer's 'method' and, from O Pioneers! onwards, she herself had always tried to find the form most appropriate to the subject. Death Comes for the Archbishop is yet another such experiment.

The novel's apparent simplicity is belied by the multiplicity of interpretations it has inspired. Critics have offered historical, religious and topographical readings: the Archbishop has been seen as both priest and pioneer. All of these responses are valid and none is all inclusive. While accepting the impossibility of being comprehensive, it seems to me that the novel is fundamentally about art. Not only is this one of the major themes, but Latour is both an artist and a priest, and in construction and style the novel owes much to the art of painting.

The Prologue immediately establishes its importance. Three cardinals sit in Rome discussing the appointment of the first bishop to New Mexico, with the missionary, Father Ferrand. When Latour is nominated, Cardinal de Allande asks whether he has "any intelligence in matters of art" (p.11). As the significance of this escapes Ferrand, the Cardinal narrates how a missionary from New Spain once came to his great-grandfather's house to beg a picture for a mission
church among the Indians. To his surprise and dismay the missionary chose a young Saint Francis by El Greco. When he tried to argue that a beautiful painting would mean nothing to the "scalp-takers," the missionary replied: "You refuse me this picture because it is a good picture. It is too good for God, but it is not too good for you" (p.12). And so saying he carried it off to New Mexico where it was subsequently lost. This anecdote highlights the role of Catholicism in the novel, which is consistently presented as the guardian of culture, and is the first statement of Cather's belief in the universality of beauty and the supremacy of art.

Latour would certainly have recognized de Allande's lost El Greco had he seen it. His awareness of European culture is extensive. Indeed, towards the end of the novel Vaillant wonders whether Latour's exceptional scholarship and 'delicate perceptions' (p.254) have not been wasted in such a rough country. But it is just these qualities which make him Cather's ideal pioneer, particularly because he is also sensitive to other traditions. On his missionary journeys, it is always the paintings and artefacts which first attract his attention: Jesus de Baca's wooden parrot at Isleta, 'the bird of wonder and desire to the pueblo Indians' (p.87); the church at Laguna, 'painted above and about the altar with gods of wind and rain and thunder, sun and moon, linked together in a geometrical design of crimson and blue and dark green' (p.90).
Cather's overriding interest in art and its history is particularly well evinced by the episode in which Latour wakes to hear the Angelus being rung on 'a bell with beautiful tone.' He relishes the sound and Cather evokes his appreciation in the most physical, sensual language: 'Full, clear, with something bland and suave, each note floated through the air like a globe of silver' (p.43). He detects an Eastern resonance and is delighted by its exotic history, tracing its descent from the Moors, from whom the Templars adapted the custom of the Angelus, to the Spaniards, who handed on their skill in silverwork to the Mexicans, who in turn taught the Navajos. For him, the bell is a sublime instance of the continuity of culture.

Cather invests Latour with her own expansive appreciation of art and the ability to make connections between cultures. Perhaps the best instance of this occurs in his meditation on the little wooden doll representing the Virgin Mary which had been carved over two hundred years ago and was still being richly dressed and decorated by the women:

> These poor Mexicans, he reflected, were not the first to pour out their love in this simple fashion. Raphael and Titian had made costumes for Her in their time, and the great masters had made music for Her, and the great architects had built cathedrals for Her. Long before Her years on earth, in the long twilight between the Fall and the Redemption, the pagan sculptors were always trying to achieve the image of a goddess who should yet be a woman. [P.257]

The doll was 'something to fondle and something to adore' (p.257). Cather said that all great art simplifies and what Latour recognises, despite the diversity of form, is
the continuity and universality of human aspirations; the yearning for beauty, for permanence and a sense of purpose. For Latour this has a spiritual significance; art and religion both satisfying an urgent human need. As the Professor says, they are the same thing, in the end. Wherever Latour finds the 'physical form of love' (p.219), whether directly under the auspices of the Church or not, that is art and is religion.

It is this emphasis on the physical form that makes Latour an artist. It also characterizes his religious belief; an invisible God being too remote and intangible a concept to be grasped. Just as art attempts to arrest the stream of life, so religion tries to capture the spirit of divine love. Hence the dominance of the Virgin Mary: the most human manifestation of divine Pity. When Latour, for example, in one of his moments of bleakness and despair, finds the old bond-woman Sada crying outside the church, they are both restored by an experience of 'holy joy' as they kneel in the Lady Chapel:

He was able to feel ... the preciousness of the things of the altar to her who was without possessions; the tapers, the image of the Virgin, the figures of the saints, the Cross that took away indignity from suffering and made pain and poverty a means of fellowship with Christ.... He seemed able to feel all it meant to her to know that there was a Kind Woman in Heaven ... [P.217]

Latour and Sada are brought close to 'the Fountain of all Pity' by the things on the altar; the tapers, the figures, the Cross and above all by the image of the Virgin. In the
same way, when Padre Herrera tells Latour about Our Lady of Guadalupe, it is the fact of her having physically appeared which is treasured. Afterwards, Latour and Vaillant discuss its significance, Vaillant maintaining that "Doctrine is well enough for the wise, Jean; but the miracle is something we can hold in our hands and love." Latour agrees but adds:

'... an apparition is human vision corrected by divine love.... The Miracles of the Church seem to me to rest not so much upon faces or voices or healing power coming suddenly near to us from afar off, but upon our perceptions being made finer, so that for a moment our eyes can see and our ears can hear what is there about us always.' [P.50]

What he says here might also be read as a description of the artist, the conditions needed for the creation of art, and its aims. In addition to this it may be noted that the miracle itself concerned painting. When the Virgin appeared to Brother Juan at Guadalupe nobody believed him, so to authenticate the miracle, she carefully arranged some roses in his mantle and when he returned to his Bishop and opened it there was instead 'a painting of the Blessed Virgin, in robes of blue and rose and gold' (p.49).

For Latour, art is not separate from the rest of life, not something which is found only in museums and galleries, but a part of the society which produced it. The paintings and sculptures he finds in New Mexico, for example, are invariably anonymous; not the expression of an individual ego but of the aspirations of a people. Each culture finds its own forms, often suggested by the environment. The wooden statues of the saints which he finds in all the Mexican houses
reflect the conditions in the country. The figure of St. Jacques with a staff and wallet becomes Santiago, 'wearing the costume of a Mexican ranchero' and riding a horse (p.28). And in Taos he notes 'the high colour that was in landscape and gardens, in the flaming cactus and the gaudily decorated altars, - in the agonized Christs and dolorous Virgins ...' (p.142).

The landscape is also, of course, God's creation. The mesa plain is one of His unfinished works: it has an air of 'incompleteness'; 'as if, with all the materials for world-making assembled, the Creator had desisted, gone away and left everything on the point of being brought together ...' (p.95). It may also contain signs from Heaven. When Latour, who is 'sensitive to the shape of things' (p.18) is lost in the desert he finds a juniper which 'could not present more faithfully the form of the Cross' (p.19). He kneels before it and prays for deliverance and shortly afterwards stumbles upon the Mexican settlement of Augua Secreta. He accepts this as a miracle, though not of a 'direct and spectacular kind.' It is a miracle 'with nature' not 'against' it. (p.29)

The emblematic tradition in Christianity allows Latour to sympathise with the Indians' belief that their gods are present in the landscape. Although, to Christians, the house of God is the church, in a broader sense He is present everywhere in the creation. In the same way the Indians' country 'was a part of their religion: the two were inseparable' (p.294). *Death Comes for the Archbishop* barely mentions
the Indian crafts - those decorated pots and water jars which figure so prominently in *The Song of the Lark* and *The Professor's House* - exploring instead the Indian relation to the land. What art and religion express for the European and the Mexican, the Indian finds in the cliffs and canyons and plains; venerating not man-made forms but the forms of nature.

When Latour visits Ácoma he wonders that men should think of living on the top of a rock, hundreds of feet in the air, without soil or water. But on the mesa, away from the warlike Navajos and Apaches, the Ácoma Indians had found 'the hope of all suffering and tormented creatures - safety' (p.97). The cliff dwelling provides them with the same assurance offered by religion: 'The rock ... was the utmost expression of human need; even mere feeling yearned for it; it was the highest comparison of loyalty in love and friendship. Christ Himself had used that comparison for the disciple to whom He gave the keys of His Church' (p.97). The Indians may not compose masses or build cathedrals but they have their rock: 'The Ácomas, who must share the universal human yearning for something permanent, enduring, without shadow of change, - they had their idea in substance' (p.98). Art, religion and the country become the same thing.

As a Frenchman in New Mexico, Latour is the perfect vehicle for Cather's abiding preoccupation with the interaction of the Old World and the New. Where before she had focused on the European immigrant and the land, in *Death Comes for
the Archbishop she deals with the European missionary and the Indian. Characteristically, it is the cultural context which interests her. Latour is at various times attracted, repelled and mystified by the Indian traditions and rites. He respects 'their veneration for old customs' (p.135), their religion and their stubborn refusal to change. His horror at the expulsion of the Navajos from their home in the Canyon de Chelly, for example, has nothing to do with rights or boundaries: the injustice lies in the violation of ancient belief: 'They believed that their old gods dwelt in the fastness of that canyon; like their Shiprock, it was an inviolate place, the very heart and centre of their life' (p.293).

Despite his admiration - for their strength, self-sufficiency, physical grace - they remain unknown; their culture completely alien to his. So much so that at Ácoma, he falls 'prey to homesickness for his own kind, his own epoch, for European man and his glorious history of desire and dreams' (p.103).

Another striking difference between the Indian and the European is their attitude to nature: 'just as it was the white man's way to assert himself in any landscape, to change it ... it was the Indian's way to pass through a country without disturbing anything' (p.233). Their architecture is an attempt to 'vanish into the landscape'; not to 'master' the environment but to 'accommodate' themselves to it (p.234). The European's 'glorious history of desire and dreams' has largely consisted of a desire to order nature - whether directly, in landscape gardening and architecture,
or more abstractly by arranging and recreating it in words, notes and brush strokes. The Indian's 'ingenious' merger with the landscape is another kind of art.

*Autre pays, autre moeurs,* but Latour is a white man and desires to leave his mark. His one 'keen worldly ambition' is to build a cathedral in Santa Fé: 'As he cherished this wish and meditated upon it, he came to feel that such a building might be a continuation of himself and his purpose, a physical body full of his aspirations after he had passed from the scene' (p.175). The Cathedral belongs to the European tradition of art which has always reflected this projection of the ego and the yearning for immortality - in a more concrete form than religion can offer. Also, as so often in Cather, art is presented as a consolation for the disappointments in human relations: the Cathedral 'had taken Father Vaillant's place in his life after that remarkable man went away' (p.271).

The yellow stone out of which it is made (reminding Latour of Clermont) and its Midi Romanesque style might seem out of keeping with the landscape - an imposition even - but to Latour and his architect the bulding is a "part" of the place.\(^{10}\) This "kinship," however, does not conform to the Indian concept of architecture. The setting is almost excessively dramatic: 'the tawny church seemed to start directly out of [the] rose-coloured hills,' 'to leap out of the mountains' like a scene painting in opera (p.272). It is the highest achievement in Latour's life, representing
many years' work. When he returns to Santa Fe to die, he stops his buggy and sits 'for a long while, looking at [its] open, golden face' (p.271) and wakes next morning 'with a grateful sense of nearness to his Cathedral' (p.273).

Latour, then, is an artist and the novel's form reflects this. In the final section he undergoes a period of reflection, surveying the 'great picture of his life' (p.290) and contrasting 'the shallow light of the present' with 'the old deep days' (p.291) of his arrival in New Mexico, like a painter arranging foreground and background. And in the portrayal of his life Cather attempts to approach the condition of painting, in which everything is present at the same time. Writing is of necessity linear but Cather dispenses with chronology so that the reader's experience of the novel can come as close as possible to that of a viewer casting an eye across a canvas. The novel does not open with Latour's early years, on the contrary that is reserved for its closing pages, and it is not only his history which is dismantled and distributed across the pages but the country's: the final scenes depict both Latour's youth in France and tell the story of the Navahos, the earliest inhabitants of the Southwest. The novel ranges through space and time, juxtaposing scenes from the past and the present, the Old World and the New, in a manner usually only possible in painting.

This particular use of contrast prompted Rebecca West to compare Cather with Velasquez 'when he showed the tapestry
makers working in shadow and some of their fellows working in shadow honeycombed with golden motes, and others still further back working in the white wine of full sunlight. It is significant that critics have turned to painting in order to convey the novel's distinctive quality. Cather herself claimed she was indebted to Puvis de Chavannes:

I had all my life wanted to do something in the style of legend, which is absolutely the reverse of dramatic treatment. Since I first saw the Puvis de Chavannes frescoes of the life of Saint Genevieve in my student days, I have wished that I could try something a little like that in prose; something without accent, with none of the artificial elements of composition.

But Cather had written 'without accent' before: My Antonia in particular does not 'hold the note' or 'use an incident for all there is in it'; qualities which she here ascribes to the influence of Chavannes. The originality of Death comes for the Archbishop lies not merely in its surface calm but its provenance in painting, which provided her with a new prose technique. This is largely attributable to Chavannes but not exclusively.

Chavannes' work is characterized by a static and subdued style. The colours tend to be muted, and the arrangement of figures and setting is primarily decorative rather than animated, creating an atmosphere of serene beauty. Cather's affinity with him is understandable. (They were both, incidentally, idiosyncratic and independent artists who were misunderstood and neglected after their death.) Clinton Keeler's essay on the subject pits the Impressionists and James Joyce against Chavannes and Cather: the former were taking 'new directions,' the latter were harking back to
earlier forms. But although Keeler stresses Chavannes' debt to the Florentine Renaissance, art historians have recently heralded him as an important precursor of the modernists. It is also surely arguable that Cather, while sensitive to tradition, struck out on her own, albeit in a less flamboyant fashion than Joyce.

Keller points out certain similarities. Chavannes' mural is divided into four panels with a frieze; the central one portraying Geneviève's youth in Nanterre with the outer panels showing scenes from her later life. This Keeler relates to the effect of tableaux in *Death Comes for the Archbishop* which is structured, he maintains, as a series of panels. He finds Chavannes' immobility and his use of 'flat tones' reflected in Cather's avoidance of 'internal conflict' and flat characterization. While there is some truth in all this, the novel is more dynamic than Keeler allows. Latour and Vaillant may be representative types, as their names suggest, but they are not allegorical figures. Latour particularly is a living character with moments of doubt and despair and human longings but they are not, as Cather said, 'forced up.' Tension is not absent from the novel, it lurks beneath the surface: in the bloody rites of the Penitentes and the sinister mysteries of the Indian cults; New Mexico is in 'the middle of a dark continent' (p.21) inhabited by 'two savage races' (p.148).

The novel is perhaps self-evidently a series of scenes but its scope is wider than Chavannes'. To him, she owed
the initial impetus for the novel just as Debussy, searching for a new operatic form, found in Chavannes the inspiration for Pelléas and Melisande — a work which floats without exaggerated climax or contrivance in an apparently seamless flow of melody. But, unlike Chavannes, Cather was portraying not just a central life but life in the Southwest and the connection between the two. She deals with the French, Spanish, American, Indian and Mexican and their surroundings. To encompass this demands a complex form: a patchwork, as A. S. Byatt suggests, rather than a panel. Indeed Cather's manner of handling such diverse material is almost cubist. In a letter to E.K. Brown she explained that her initial stumbling block to writing the novel was the heterogeneity of the material — the story of the Southwest involved too many individuals little related to each other. Latour provides the peg on which to hang their stories, but this is only possible because his life is not narrated chronologically. Cather moves backwards and forward in time, splicing her account with description, anecdote and legend. Out of the welter of possibilities in a country's history and landscape she selects only the most suggestive features; rendering them in clear, bold strokes. And, as in a cubist painting, the elements are arranged intuitively; cubism was not concerned with a mimetic representation of reality and neither was Cather.

Only 'almost cubist,' however, for the novel has a definite beginning and end; a firm framework. Cather's interest
in the movement is manifest in her recommendation of D.H. Lawrence's *Sea and Sardinia*. Although she did not otherwise care for his prose she praises this as an example of the language of cubism. And how else could one describe her opening scene?

As far as he could see, on every side, the landscape was heaped up into monotonous red sand-hills, not much larger than haycocks, and very much the shape of haycocks.... They were so exactly like each other that he seemed to be wandering in some geometrical nightmare;... Every conical hill was spotted with smaller cones of juniper, a uniform yellowish green, as the hills were a uniform red. The hills thrust out of the ground so thickly that they seemed to be pushing each other, elbowing each other aside, tipping each other over. [Pp. 17-18]

This is an abbreviated quotation but even so the use of repetition, to convey the sense of monotonous uniformity, is evident and the geometrical nightmare of thrusting cones must suggest a cubist landscape.

Cubism is often said to have begun with Cezanne’s advice to Picasso to look at nature in terms of cubes, cones and cylinders. The intention was to achieve a sense of solidity and depth, to avoid reducing nature to a flat pattern; a tendency which had crept into the work of Chavannes’ followers. The physical, tactile quality of Cather’s descriptions seems to owe more to Cezanne than Chavannes — in this evocation of a wild pumpkin, for example:

Its long, sharp, arrow-shaped leaves, frosted over with prickly silver, are thrust upward and crowded together; the whole rigid, up-thrust matted clump looks less like a plant than a great colony of grey-green lizards, moving and suddenly arrested by fear. [P.88]
Moreover, Chavannes' 'pale, primeval shades,' as Cather termed them, have nothing to do with the 'high colour' of Death Comes for the Archbishop.\(^{21}\) Throughout the novel one is aware of the play of light - as on the road to Mora:

There was not a glimmer of white light in the dark vapours working overhead - rather, they took on the cold green of the evergreens. Even the white mules, their coats wet and matted into tufts, had turned a slaty hue, and the faces of the two priests were purple and spotted in that singular light. [P.64]

The Southwest is a land of vivid tones: the steep 'carnelian hills' outside Santa Fé, with their 'pine splashed slopes' against a blue sky, create a vibrant background and the colours become positively violent during a storm: 'then the sky above the mountains grew black, and the carnelian rocks become an intense lavender, all their pine trees strokes of dark purple; the hills drew nearer, the whole background approached like a dark threat' (p.272). Cather's descriptions are full of movement and richly textured. She evokes the landscape by applying words like paint, arranging shapes and colours. On the wagon train to Santa Fé,

... Father Latour could distinguish ... wave-like mountains, resembling billows beaten up from a flat sea by a heavy gale; and their green was of two colours - aspen and evergreen, not intermingled but lying in solid areas of light and dark. [P.22]

Interestingly, in an interview given at about this time, she spoke of her fictional aims and procedures largely in terms of painting:

[It is] just as if I put here on the table a green vase and beside it a yellow orange. Now, these two things affect each other. Side by side, they produce a reaction which neither of them will produce alone ... \(^{22}\)

I want the reader to see the orange and the vase - ...
And it is this ability to make us see which makes *Death Comes for the Archbishop* so successful: more than any of her other novels it leaves the reader with vivid pictures, and though dialogue has its place, one remembers it less than in any of her other books. As with Jacinto and the Bishop whose 'usual form of intercourse' was 'silence' (pp.92-93), the language does not call attention to itself; it is as if Cather were actually working with images and not words at all.

Cather claimed (in the same letter to E.K. Brown) that she had not consciously paid much attention to language but also admitted that one is not necessarily a good judge of one's own processes. When she came to this book she had behind her nearly forty years of writing - and of looking at art. The fact that she referred to so many painters in connection with the novel confirms their influence: not only Chavannes but Holbein - from whose 'Dance of Death' she took the title - and Jehan George Vibert.

Vibert was a very popular French artist who flourished in the late nineteenth century by producing large storytelling canvases. He had a special fondness for clerical scenes and Cather said in an interview that it was his 'The Missionary's Story' that gave her the idea for the Prologue.

> It showed a gorgeously furnished room with cardinals in scarlet, sitting at ease with their wine, and speaking to them,... a pioneer priest.

His style was, she said, 'in the manner of his day.' He was
no revolutionary. It has been regarded as odd that 'this painting, which has about as much appeal to modern taste as button shoes, should have inspired Cather's vivid prologue.' Yet its old-fashioned air is precisely what Cather needed. The prologue is in the same style. It evokes the world of European civilization to which Latour belongs: safe, sumptuous, ordered, and its function is to provide maximum contrast. From the view of St. Peter's dome in the afternoon light, Cather swings in the very next scene to the 'geometrical nightmare' of a New Mexican desert.

It has been assumed that the style of Death Comes for the Archbishop is all of a piece. Critics speak of its clarity and simplicity and yet, despite its apparent homogeneity, it contains several distinct styles. She employed various literary techniques just as she drew on various schools of painting. In the letter to the Commonweal she spoke of using 'the old trite phraseology of the frontier' in order to convey the pioneer priests' experience: 'Some of those time worn phrases I used as the note from the piano by which the violinist tunes his instrument.' But she did not sound the same note over and over again. It is heard of course - when Vaillant sets off on one of his missionary journeys: 'The wiry little priest whose life was to be a succession of mountain ranges, pathless deserts, yawning canyons and swollen rivers, who was to carry the Cross into territories yet unknown and unnamed, who would wear down mules and horses ...' But a little of that goes a long way.
There are strains of Romantic melancholy:

The snow had stopped, the gauzy clouds that had ribbed the arch of heaven were now all sunk into one soft white fog bank over the Sangre de Cristo mountains. The full moon shone high in the blue vault, majestic, lonely, benign. [P.219]

There are also moments of rhapsodic lyricism, soaring at times to ecstatic heights - as in this description of waking in the air of a new country:

Something soft and wild and free, something that whispered to the ear on the pillow, lightened the heart, softly, softly picked the lock, slid the bolts, and released the imprisoned spirit of man into the wind, into the blue and gold, into the morning, into the morning! [P.276]

Cather's is a mixed mode: employing an omniscient narrator, who is nonetheless not wholly distant and detached; drawing on various styles yet making them her own. She herself, as she said to Van Vechten, is out of the picture; but present everywhere in the single, unifying vision which is both large and concentrated. Like the Archbishop's 'mixed theology' (p.31), which accepts all forms of worship, she accepts all forms of humanity: miserly Father Gallegos; tyrannical and lascivious Padre Martínez, who sings the Mass with such conviction and beauty; gluttonous Fray Balthazar, sadly but justly toppled from his rock; vain Dña Isabella, one of Cather's lost ladies; the stately Eusabio and the 'hero' Kit Orson who misguidedly persecuted the Navajo. In Cather's wonderful gallery of portraits, none doth offend. In style and in vision, Death Comes for the Archbishop, using the word in its root sense, is a truly catholic novel.
After inhabiting a 'brilliant blue world of stinging air and moving cloud' (p.232) in *Death Comes for the Archbishop*, it is disappointing to be invited to take a 'seat in the close air by the apothecary's fire.'\(^\text{25}\) *Shadows on the Rock* (1931) is a grey and somewhat lifeless book and it hardly consoles the reader to know that the effect was intentional. Cather thought it enough that she had realized her purpose and was piqued that her friends all behaved, so she said, as if they had ordered a highball and she had brought them chicken broth.\(^\text{26}\) But for a novel so concerned with the culinary art, thin soup was an unfortunate choice of fare.

Many of Cather's cherished preoccupations reappear; her love of French culture and the Catholic church; her interest in tradition, exile and the frontier. Colonial Quebec in the late seventeenth century allowed her once more to take up the Virgilian themes, of *pietas* and the transportation of household gods from the Old World to the New, which she had explored in *My Ántonia* and *Death Comes for the Archbishop*. But she offers few new insights: the novel is less a further exploration of these concerns than a reiteration of them. Indeed her treatment of all the major themes is diminished in scope, power and depth. Above all, it is narrower in sympathy.

*Shadows on the Rock* is set almost exclusively in Quebec, confining itself to the small French community there,
and particularly to the Auclair family, with the occasional flashback to their life on the Quai des Célestins. The scenes in Paris, however, do not function as a contrast to life in Quebec, on the contrary they emphasise continuity and the lack of change. Whatever the continent, Cather's concerns are the salon behind the shop and Madame Auclair's ambition to 'make the new life as much as possible like the old' (p.23). And herein lie the novels two main deficiencies: the reduction of culture to the merely domestic and an attendant insularity.

In a rather sad dilution of her Virgilian reverence for tradition Cather seems to confound household gods with household goods. The reader is told that Madame Auclair brought the latter with her - 'without [them] she could not imagine life at all' (p.23) - and throughout the novel one is kept informed of the state of the 'well-worn' carpet, the sofa, the dining-table, curtains and linen. (Cather even tells us how often the Auclairs change their sheets!) When, towards the end of the book, Auclair intends to return to France, the furniture goes into a decline: 'Perhaps the sofa and the table and the curtains had overhead [him] say that he could not take them home with him' (p.225). When it transpires that the family is to remain, the furniture makes a speedy recovery: 'A little more colour ... come[s] back into the carpet and the curtains' (p.249). Or so Cécile thinks, for it is to her that Madame Auclair entrusts the maintenance of "our way" (p.25). With true pietas Cécile cooks, cleans,
observes the 'proprieties' and 'all the little shades of feeling which make the common fine' (p.25). But when a twelve year old girl wakes in the middle of the night because she is worried about the parsley, one suspects that the mysteries of the ménage are being taken a little too seriously.

This cult of domesticity would be less unacceptable if it were not so exclusive. It is not just that the origin of culture is apparently to be found in salad dressing—a vinaigrette, of course, - but that no other country can make one like the French. This is why, as Madame Auclair explains, "we are called the most civilized people in Europe and the other nations envy us" (p.25). The Auclair family regards Canada's indigenous population as "poor savages" (p.24) and Cather, too, has little to say about them. The broad sympathy with other customs and traditions, evinced in Death Comes for the Archbishop, is entirely absent from Shadows on the Rock and the excitement of exploring an uncharted territory is replaced by dread of the unknown. The counterpart to New Mexico's desert is the Canadian forest but the Auclairs never venture near it: 'The forest was suffocation, annihilation; there European man was quickly swallowed up in silence, distance, mould, black mud, and the stinging swarms of insect life that bred in it' (p.7).

Only one journey is made in the course of the novel. The trapper, Pierre Charron, takes Cécile four miles down river to the settlement of Saint-Laurent to stay with his friend, Baptiste Harnois, but after two nights she begs to
go home because she has to share a bed with the girls of
the family who do not wash before retiring. Reflecting on
her adventure from the safety of the salon, Cecile admits
that the Hanois are 'kind' people, 'but that was not enough;
one had to have kind things about one, too' (p. 195). Things
invariably take precedence over people in Shadows on the Rock,
for out of pots and pans one makes not stew, or even chicken
broth, 'but life itself' (p. 195). It comes as no surprise
that almost the only tears in the novel are the Virgilian,
lacrimae rerum, dropped for the ships which bring supplies:
'It brought tears to the eyes to think how faithful they were'
(p. 205).

Despite superficial similarities, Death Comes for the
Archbishop and Shadows on the Rock are fundamentally dissimilar.
Unlike Latour, Auclair is an extremely reluctant pioneer.
He is neither a priest nor an artist but an apothecary and
a petit bourgeois who comes to Canada under the protection
of Count Frontenac, his landlord in Paris, with whom he
very much hopes to return. His interest in the New World
is confined to its herbal life. He is without Latour's
exceptional understanding of human nature, being merely
decent, kindly and a trifle dull. Admittedly he is broad-
minded enough to allow Cécile to play with the son of the
'bad' woman (p. 50) of the town, but only because Jacques
is a very devout little boy who is thoroughly ashamed of his
mother. Cécile accepts unquestioningly her parents' standards
and as she and Auclair provide the novel's point of view, all
but the most conventional, Catholic and francophile reader is likely to grow restive before long.

That the culture she was describing was 'narrow' and the tone of her prose one of 'pious resignation,' are facts to which Cather readily assented. As she said elsewhere, to note a writer's limitations is but to define his genius, but in this case hers are so severe that any definition could scarcely justify the name. The book is an aberration: the narrowness of vision is wholly uncharacteristic of her. After the novel's completion she seems to have had some doubts herself about the ethos which informs it, confiding to Governor Cross that 'the kind of feeling about life and fate' she found in Quebec was one she 'admired' but 'could not accept, wholly.' From the book itself, however, one would never guess that Cather had any reservations whatsoever about the Auclair 'way.' Moreover, it seems to me that there is something wistful in that, 'wholly,' as if she would have liked in her own life to have been able to conform to such a circumscribed view. It gave her, she said, 'a great deal of pleasure' to write about it.

The novel was written while Cather was at the peak of her career but at one of the lowest points in her personal life. In the summer of 1927 Cather had been evicted from her apartment on Bank Street by the construction of a subway. Houses were always important to her and she confessed to friends that the ordeal of moving was like having a funeral. Perhaps the obsession with household goods owes something to the fact that hers were all in store. She could not face trying to find another
home so she moved into the Grosvenor Hotel, New York, as a temporary measure — though this turned out to be her official address for the next five years. More importantly, in 1928, her father died of a heart attack and Cather was devastated. It has been suggested that the close relationship between Auclair and his daughter was inspired by her affection for her own father\textsuperscript{31} — though there seems little resemblance in character between Mr. Cather, a humorous, gentle, and dashing Southern gentleman and Cécile's father.

The tragedy which really lies behind the novel is her mother's stroke and paralysis. Cather visited Quebec in 1928, the year her mother became ill. From 1929 until her death in 1931 — the year Shadows on the Rock came out — Mrs. Cather lay immobile in a clinic in California. During this period Cather spent most of her time, either with her, or at the Hotel Frontenac in Quebec. The novel's stasis, its aura of chill petrification owe as much to her mother's paralysis as to the actual conditions of life in Quebec. Perhaps, indeed, paralysed by its climate and geography, cut off from the rest of the world for eight months of every year, Quebec provided Cather with the perfect analogue for her mother's illness.

Of the many stories and legends recounted (most of them Catholic in origin), the life of Jeanne le Ber stands figuratively and literally at the heart of the book. It tells of a beautiful, rich, kind and intelligent girl who insists on walling herself up in a little cell in order to
devote herself to God. After twenty years, her childhood suitor, Charron, hides in the cold church where she prays each midnight. Her face had become "a stone face" and her voice, "hoarse, hollow, with the sound of despair in it." Charron is appalled: "When she prayed in silence, such sighs broke from her. And once a groan, such as I have never heard; such despair - such resignation and despair!"

(pp.180-181). Perhaps the quiet agony that Cather and her mother suffered, day after day for over two years, found expression in the legend of la récluse de Ville-Marie. But, when one considers the robust and sometimes even belligerent heroines of Cather's earlier years, it is chastening to find her endorsing Jeanne's renunciation; as if Cather, who had celebrated so much of life in her novels, really believed that in throwing away the world Jeanne had made an 'incomparable gift' (p.135). And even the more lively Cécile is reclusive; displaying an almost neurotic need to be cocooned. She is utterly lost without her home and familiar surroundings: 'All these things seemed to her like layers and layers of shelter, with this one flickering, shadowy room at the core' (p.156).

In *Death Comes for the Archbishop* the Ácoma Indians cling to their rock for physical safety and to appease 'the universal human yearning for something permanent, enduring, without shadow of change.' The rock of Quebec has the same symbolic function: 'a crag where for some reason human beings built themselves nests ... and held fast' (p.223). It is treasured by its inhabitants as a place '"where nothing changes"'
(p.275). At a time of anxiety and upheaval Cather too seems to have found refuge there: in the idea of the rock and in the actual writing of the book. In the remarkable collection of letters which Cather wrote to Dorothy Canfield, there is a very moving account of how *Shadows on the Rock* helped her to endure her mother's illness. She speaks of the pain of watching a tall, strong woman being fed with a spoon like a baby and of how utterly hopeless her mother's condition is; she sometimes wonders why they try to build up her strength. As for herself, she has lost her bearings and can think of nothing but the general futility of existence. She has nothing to say.

When Mrs. Cather could no longer talk, Cather wondered how her mother could bear to go on living. Through the last three bitter years, she said, the novel had been her rock of refuge: the only thing in her life that held together and stayed the same. When her mother died, she wrote to Canfield that she felt like a ghost. Sometime afterwards she described more fully what Quebec meant to her: it always gave her a sense of loyalty, of being faithful to something. To recapture that feeling was all that she had tried to achieve in the novel. Every little detail of the way the people lived is from some old book or letter and the search for all those little things helped her to hold her life together. She could form no critical estimation of the book: her only feeling about it was the kind of gratitude you feel for an old coat that has kept you warm.
The novel's emphasis on loyalty and changelessness, the impression it gives of being overburdened with the minutia of daily life, can be traced back to Cather's own need. Despite its length, it seems to have been constructed on a miniature scale. The vision of Quebec which emerges is of a rock covered in church spires, nunneries, convents and bishop's palaces; it is as if Cather had ordered the scenery into a contained child's world, like Cécile arranging her little nativity scene for Christmas. Elizabeth Sergeant also testifies to the novel's therapeutic value:

Willa had been, she told me, faced with a troubling inner division of her powers at this period... As the Pueblo male retires from the teeming village to the kiva or underground chamber, so she could find herself as an artist in her hidden imaginary retreat on the rock of Quebec, and emerge from it with the power to bring something vital to the life that clung to hers.

If there is little vitality in the book - a fact which seems to be acknowledged by the title - this perhaps explains why. By a curious anomaly, the 'inner division of her powers' of which Cather spoke, brought forth two works. Although Obscure Destinies first appeared in 1932, the stories themselves were written between 1928 and 1931. In these, Cather returns to childhood memories of her family and friends, to the world she had created in My Ántonia, only in a more directly autobiographical vein. Each of the stories ends in death, but they are as warm and golden as Shadows on the Rock is chill and grey.
TESTIMONY: OBSCURE DESTINIES, SAPPHIRA AND THE SLAVE GIRL, THE OLD BEAUTY AND OTHERS.

I have been running away from myself all my life . . .

Willa Cather to Dorothy Canfield.

Spending time alone with her mother, forced Cather into a new awareness of herself. She confessed to Dorothy Canfield that she seemed to have been a jumble of sensations and enthusiasms; not a real person at all. Until her mother fell ill, she had been so absorbed in her work, her friends, in listening to music, that she had given little thought to herself as a person; indeed, she had always deliberately avoided introspection and self-analysis. Although memory had played an important part in her life, she had never been concerned with herself in the past; that, she said, had always seemed to spoil things. But the last three years of her mother's life, she wrote to Canfield, held her close to herself, and it was like being held against things too sad to live with.¹ But in the process of self-confrontation, it seems to me, Cather gained a new understanding of life; a kind of wisdom which makes her final books profoundly moving.

The last fifteen years of Cather's life is often described as a period of diminished creativity. She published Obscure Destinies in 1932, Lucy Gayheart appeared in 1935,
Sapphira and the Slave Girl in 1940, and a collection of short stories, The Old Beauty and Others, was published posthumously in 1948. Critics have tended to envisage the line of her career as an arc which plunges downwards after Death Comes for the Archbishop; the general consensus is that she not only produced relatively little but that none of it is of her very best. This apparent falling off has largely been attributed to her alienation from contemporary society; it is held that she could not engage creatively with her own times and so was forced to beat a hasty retreat into the historical novel. Her reputation as a regional novelist has not helped either; it is argued that she had exhausted the prairie and the frontier and had nothing left to say. But in fact Cather's fictional territory is richly diverse; not only the Midwest but the Southwest, Pittsburgh, New York, Quebec and Virginia. And the quarrel with her treatment of the present is based on a misunderstanding of her novelistic strategy; she had never been inspired by recent events and circumstances but by the things which had 'teased the mind' for many years. Her material, almost from the beginning of her career, was filtered by time and shaped by memory.

It is largely because she has been seen as a conservative, regional novelist that her last works have been distorted and limited. She was never a consistently great writer; some of her early work, such as 'A Wagner Matinee' is extraordinarily fine, and some like Alexandra's Bridge, relatively undistinguished. One of Ours and My Mortal Enemy, written while at the peak
of her power, do not equal her other works of the period; and at the end, although The Old Beauty and Others is a fairly weak collection, Sapphira and the Slave Girl is powerful if perplexing, and the stories in Obscure Destinies are extremely moving and finely balanced. This uneveness is attributable to her integrity. Each book is an attempt to capture an experience, a feeling or a particular quality and to portray it as vividly and authentically as possible. This entailed constant experimentation; each novel is a new departure and not every one is equally successful. Even at the end of her life Cather never repeated herself or wrote to a formula. She produced less because she was distracted by the death of her brothers, Roscoe and Douglas; and old friends, among them Isabelle McClung; and because she herself suffered from various, minor but debilitating illnesses.

Cather was quite capable of dealing with the present but she did so in her own way. The chronicle of the Depression, the portrayal of the horrors of the Second World War, she left to others, as she considered that immediate events were the concern of the journalist or the polemicist. Although one could argue with Cather's attempt to separate art from politics this need not inhibit our appreciation of her ideal—that art should be concerned with the timeless and essential truths of the human condition. She was not evading reality, or escaping from the complexities of the moment, but searching her own experience for values she could affirm. Moreover, although she did not address the issues of her day directly she
was, I believe, acutely aware of them and these late works are informed by a desire to speak up for the beliefs on which, it seemed to her, civilization depended. Simply, but not naively, she tried to dramatise the necessity for certain fundamental qualities: goodness, courage and human dignity.

In her last books Cather seems to complete a circle; she returned to Nebraska and to her earliest experiences in Virginia. But her treatment of memory is very different. Although My Ántonia and A Lost Lady for example, are based loosely on people and circumstances she had known, they are not autobiographical. Her later work, however, is directly personal: she wrote to Violet Roseboro that Sapphira and the Slave Girl is made up almost exclusively of old family stories; the young Cather herself actually appears in the epilogue when she uncharacteristically switches from a third person to a first person narrator. Nancy and Till's reunion, she said, was literally true, every word of it, and it had been one of the greatest experiences of her childhood.2

It is almost as if she had a compulsion to testify to the things which had given meaning to her life. There is a new quality in the narrative voice; she had never spoken before as herself but here it is as if she were bearing witness. 'Two Friends,' for example, is about the memory of two men, whom the narrator had known in early youth, whose friendship had suggested 'that there are certain unalterable realities, somewhere at the bottom of things.' They had seemed to the child
large-minded and generous as they sat outside, talking, during the 'April nights, when the darkness itself tasted dusty,' and the street was 'flooded by the rich indolence of a full moon, or a half-moon set in uncertain blue.' But the two men argued over politics and the friendship broke up leaving the child with a sense of loss and regret.

The story is a gentle warning against dogmatism and intolerance, spoken personally and with a sense of community with her readers: 'When that old scar is occasionally touched by chance, it rouses the old uneasiness; the feeling of something broken that could so easily have been mended ... of a truth that was accidentally distorted - one of the truths we want to keep.'

Neither of the other stories in Obscure Destinies is told in the first person but they too are attempts to salvage the 'truths' that had been learned over a lifetime. In 'Neighbour Rosicky,' Cather presents a picture of a farming family that is very similar to 'Cuzack's Boys' at the end of My Ántonia. However, the emphasis is not on the founding of a new civilization but on the human qualities which make ordinary life fine. It centres on Rosicky's concern for his son and daughter-in-law who are newly wedded and struggling to survive. To encourage them, Rosicky and his wife tell stories about the hard times they had been through, of how one summer when the entire crop of corn had been burned and all the neighbours were discouraged and miserable, the Rosicky's had taken a picnic and enjoyed it in the shade of the orchard. The couple had 'the same ideas about life'
and agreed about 'what was most important and what was secondary.' They had never worried about putting money in the bank as long as they could maintain some 'sweetness' and '"style"' at home. When Rosicky becomes ill his daughter-in-law, Polly, grows closer to him and feels, she 'had never learned so much about life from anything as from old Rosicky': it was as if he had 'a special gift for loving people, something that was like an ear for music or an eye for colour.' When he dies, his life seems in retrospect to have been 'complete and beautiful'; like a work of art.

In 'Old Mrs. Harris,' the central character is also approaching death, with the 'kind of quiet, intensely quiet, dignity that comes from complete resignation to the chances of life.' Old, weary, and according to her neighbours, ill-used, Mrs. Harris is 'perfectly happy' when she is with her youngest grandchildren: 'they had in common all the realest and truest things.' The rest of the family are caught in that complex and troublesome time between adolescence and middle-age; preoccupied and self-absorbed. The family is seen from various points of view. Mrs. Rosen, who lives next door and befriends Grandma Harris, is exasperated by the parents' irresponsibility, the children's noise and mess and yet, though the family 'didn't seem to know there were such things as struggle or exactness or competition in the world.' Mrs. Rosen liked to go to the Templeton's more than other houses in the town: 'There was something easy, cordial, and carefree in the parlour ... One felt a pleasantness in the human relationships.'
Victoria Templeton at first appears selfish and exacting; the neighbours disapprove of her because she goes out and leaves Grandma Harris at home to do the work. But as the story progresses it is revealed that her jealousy and irritableness are the result of her baffled awareness that she is disliked. Life had not turned out as she expected; brought up in the South where women were protected and admired, she cannot understand why, when she had tried to 'dress well' and 'keep young for her husband and children' she should find herself so unpopular. Her husband is not successful, there is never enough money and she discovers that she is pregnant again: 'She was sick of it all; sick of dragging this chain of life that never let her rest and periodically knotted and overpowered her.' But through Mr. Rosen we also see her genuine fondness for her children and her charm; she has a 'good heart.'

Mr. Templeton, gentle and unassertive, cannot cope with his ever-increasing family and decreasing means and periodically escapes to his farms in the country. Vickie is determined to do something with her life, frantically planning how she can make her way through college, and frustrated by her family's inability to support her. Full of their own affairs, nobody notices that Grandma Harris is dying:

Thus Mrs. Harris slipped out of the Templetons' story; but Victoria and Vickie had still to go on ... When they are old, they will come closer and closer to Grandma Harris. They will think a great deal about her, and remember things they never noticed; and their lot will be more or less like hers. They will regret that they heeded her so little; but they, too, will look into the eager, unseeing eyes of young people and feel themselves alone.
Again, it is as if Cather is speaking here, without bitterness or recrimination but with resigned wisdom.

Biographers have often remarked on the similarity of the Templetons to Cather's own family and suggested that, through the character of Vickie, she was trying to come to terms with the tensions that had existed in her relationship with her mother. Edith Lewis said that the story might well have been called 'Family Portraits.' Given the nature of the material, it is not surprising that critics have tended to adopt a biographical approach to Cather's last books. The most convincing of these readings is offered by David Stouck, who suggests that at the end of her life, Cather reached a point where she no longer felt that art was of supreme importance, that she became more concerned with human relations and life and death. Lucy Gayheart he suggests, is a tragic account of the artist's life informed by an awareness of death. Clement Sebastian, who has dedicated everything to music, finds himself in middle-age almost utterly alone. Cather concentrates not on Lucy's struggle to become an artist but on her failed love affair and her attempt to begin life again after Sebastian's death. The words which echo in the story are Mrs. Ramsay's to Lucy: "Nothing really matters but living. Get all you can out of it. I'm an old woman, and I know."

The 'selfishness' of the artist and the regret that Cather seems to have felt about her own inadequacies as a daughter, are the major themes which Stouck finds in these
last works. He postulates that one of the most crucial factors in Cather's creativity was her relationship with her mother. In 'The Best Years,' he says, Cather was again dealing with this theme but the conflict is gone. The story of the young Midwestern schoolteacher's week-end visit with her family is full of a joyous sense of homecoming: 'Lesley sat down on the porch floor, her feet on the ground, and sank into idleness and safety and perfect love.' Even the country is reassuring: 'the horizon was like a perfect circle, a great embrace.' And the mother has none of Victoria Templeton's imperiousness or selfishness: the children are united in their 'loyalty' to her and secure in the knowledge that 'Mother would take care of' everything. After Lesley's premature death, Mrs. Fergusson weeps for her daughter and, Stouck maintains, this 'affirms the deep feeling throughout the story that [Cather's] mother truly loved her.'

'The Best Years' was written in 1945 after Cather had made a visit to her beloved brother, Roscoe, and it may well have been an attempt to recapture the pleasure of growing up together. In Sapphira and the Slave Girl, too, Stouck sees Cather 'making her peace with her life' and her family. Again the central characters, Sapphira and Henry Colbert, are said to be closely modelled on Cather's parents. But it seems strange that, between the forgiving portrait of Victoria Templeton and the adoring portrayal of Mrs. Fergusson, Cather should create such a forbidding mother figure as Sapphira. Certainly the novel is concerned
with mothers and daughters - Till and Nancy, Rachel Blake and Sapphira - and with estrangement and reconciliation. However, it is the antagonism between Sapphira and her daughter which is dwelt on. Although Cather's novels have often been illuminated by biographical considerations, the danger is that the life can overshadow the work and sometimes even diminish it. The fact that critics have concentrated on Cather and her family has tended to detract from the scope of her last books - which do not show Cather's loss of faith in art but, on the contrary, her belief that art can, and must, sanction life.

If one leaves aside biographical considerations and concentrates on the novel itself, it emerges as a disturbing study of power with a particular relevance to her own time. Sapphira and the Slave Girl is set in antebellum Virginia; not in the milieu of Southern belles and chivalrous gentlemen but in the backwoods of mountain people and white trash. The only representative of polite Southern society is Sapphira; exiled from that world when she married "beneath" her. Cather did not turn to Virginia because it represented 'a bastion of tradition in a changing world' or because it afforded an opportunity for a nostalgic celebration of Southern manners. When a niece of hers moved South, Cather wrote in a letter that she was sorry to hear it; going South always sounded to her like going backwards. And in 'Old Mrs. Harris' the South is described as 'primitive.'
In a letter to Violet Roseboro, Cather said that in a narrative like Sapphira and the Slave Girl the trappings (the customs and manners of the period) are easily come by. But there is something else which eludes and eludes ... the Terrible, domesticated and a part of everyday life. That, she said, is what she was thinking about. It would be too easy to make a simple identification of the Terrible with slavery or even with Sapphira - though it has a lot to do with them both. By 1940 there was no need for a crusading novel against the injustice of slavery; what Cather probes here is rather the implications for humanity that a slave owning society existed at all. The slow pace of the narrative, the period detail and the digressions into 'local colour,' all serve to build up a picture of normality in which ordinary, decent people go about their lives, accepting something monstrous.

Slavery is not just an issue in its own right, it provides a means of exploring the psychology of domination and paranoia. "You're the master here," (p.50) Henry Colbert admits to his wife, and even though she is confined to a chair, she rules her little world with an iron hand. She controls the destinies of her slaves, deciding who may marry, who she will sell and who keep. It is not that she is unkind - Nancy, Till, Sampson, Fat Lizzie and Tap are fond of her - but that she has power over them and the desire to wield it. To maintain her dominion demands constant vigilance; by making Sapphira an invalid, who can never be sure what is happening
outside of her room, Cather increases the anxiety which despots inevitably feel. Sapphira overhears Bluebell taunting Nancy with making the miller's bed "cumfa'ble" (p.61) for him, sees him talking earnestly with Nancy: 'Strange alarms and suspicions began to race through her mind. How far could she be deceived and mocked by her own servants in her own house?... The Mistress sat still, scarcely breathing, overcome by dread' (pp.105-106).

Sapphira finally manages to call for help and Nancy's and Till's prompt attention restores her: 'Her shattered, treacherous house stood safe about her again. She was in her own room, wakened out of a dream of disaster' (pp.106-107). Jealousy, here, is specifically a function of the will to possess. Although it is made very clear that the Colbert marriage is one of convenience rather than love, Sapphira is consumed with bitterness when she suspects something illicit in Henry's feeling for Nancy. As Henry will not allow her to sell Nancy she invites his younger brother, a notorious rake, to come to stay with them and insists that Nancy sleeps in the hallway where Mr. Martin can get at her. She does everything she can to engineer Nancy's downfall. Cather equates slavery with rape - both being extreme forms of seeing people as objects - but perhaps surprisingly it is a woman, Sapphira, who shows the contempt for human life which both slavery and rape imply.

As Sapphira continues her malevolent and relentless pursual of Nancy (Martin is a mere pawn) the novel acquires a
nightmare quality, filled with a child's helpless sense of fear. This atmosphere is heightened by images of troubled nights: Sapphira's 'dream of disaster,' Nancy lying outside Sapphira's door kept awake by terror, the Miller up half the night poring over the Bible. Rachel and her father have to meet after dark to arrange Nancy's escape and Nancy's flight takes place in the middle of the night. When Rachel's children catch diptheria she sits up watching over them through the small hours and her daughter, Mary, sleep-walks in her search for food. The novel reverberates with a sense of darkness, fear and subterfuge.

When Mary and Betty become ill the atmosphere changes. After Rachel helps Nancy to escape, Sapphira refuses to see her daughter but the death of her grandchild, Betty, brings about their reconciliation. Sapphira becomes suddenly compassionate, Rachel and Mary are invited to live at the mill and the nightmare dissolves. In the Epilogue, which takes place twenty five years later, slavery has been abolished. Nancy and Till are reunited and harmony prevails. But the Epilogue is like waking after a bad dream when there is still a lingering sense of uneasiness and inexplicable dread. The cosy picture of the women sitting by the kitchen range, turning the bread and telling stories about the past, is disturbed by earlier images and memories of violence: the sound of Nancy being beaten by a hairbrush, Till watching her mother burn to death, Jezebel being flogged on board the slave ship - and the feeling that the horror is not over. There
is nothing sinister in the final pages but, because Cather was so successful in conveying the Terrible, it casts a shadow that the ending cannot quite dispel, and she perhaps intended that it should not.

Although she began with the Epilogue - in 1937 - the novel was not completed until 1940 and its dark side seems to have been influenced by the threat of war which hovered sinisterly over the final years of the decade. In 1938 she wrote to Sinclair Lewis about her concern for Americans' gullibility, their tendency to refuse to believe evil exists, the excuses they make for Stalin and Mussolini. It is surely not coincidental that she chose to set the novel in the period immediately before the Civil War, or that Henry Colbert should be found reading the passage in John Bunyan's Holy War 'relating to the state of the town of Mansoul after Diabolus had entered her gates'.

The sense of malignancy is powerful but there are forces in the novel working against it: not only Rachel but Mrs. Bywaters, Mr. Whitford, David Fairhead and the network of Quakers who help runaway slaves. Cather was again, as she had been in Obscure Destinies, trying to speak out for the values which, perhaps more than ever, she felt needed to be affirmed. The difference between the two works lies in the threat of evil that came with the onset of World War Two and which could not be ignored: the miller does not want to see what is happening to Nancy but 'he must face it' (p.227). There is a strong urge to believe that the good will thrive: when young
Casper Flight is being tortured in the woods by a band of men, Rachel, old Mrs. Ringer and her hunchback son, rush to defend him - a woman with 'two cripples' (p.127). Although the dangers and difficulties are not minimised, throughout the novel there are people who are prepared to make a stand for human dignity and freedom. Cather's friend, Dorothy Canfield, who reviewed the novel when it came out, declared that to 'readers of 1940' - 'helpless spectators of the horrifying spread of human slavery' - Cather had a particular message: 'the book bids us have faith.'

The imputation of escapism, which many critics have made, is wholly unfounded; in Sapphira and the Slave Girl Cather was characteristically oblique, but she was not evasive. It can be read on several levels, and many of its complexities remain to be explored, but what always emerges is the reality of the Terrible and the validity of ordinary human struggle against it.

Understanding of Cather's last books has suffered from the assumption that she was an elegist of the past and nothing more: Obscure Destinies has been seen as a nostalgic glimpse of the prairies, The Old Beauty and Others as an unpalatable compound of sentimentality and bitterness, and Sapphira and the Slave Girl as an evocation of a 'vanished' beauty 'for which there was nothing to compensate' in her own time. Indeed, her reputation as a whole, from My Ántonia onwards, has been limited and marginalised by distorting categories: regionalism, conservatism, escapism. Despite this, and her
temporary eclipse, people have gone on reading her novels and are doing so in ever-increasing numbers. If she has to be classified, let her be called a humanist. In a letter to Stephen Tennant, written towards the end of her life, Cather replied to a query about the Great Tradition. The writers who last, she says, are the ones who are full-blooded and human enough to feed us; those that Fitzgerald called the 'helpers-to-live.' When she was ill, she continued, too ill to eat, she read The Canterbury Tales and they made her want to get well and live. \(^{13}\) Cather's work has the same life-enhancing quality and it will be read for as long as there are people to read at all.

Sarah Orne Jewett's. Of Cather's letters to Tennant, only nine have survived: six autograph letters (in manuscript) and three typed. Where dated, the letters are from 1909 to 1948.

15 May 1930

Cather's remarks on Joseph Conrad and his Heart of Darkness. She comments that when he is good he is very good and when he is bad, he is very bad. He is very bad; God willing, he is very bad.

2 April 1931

In a discussion about France, Cather talks of Guy de Maupassant and her admiration for La Ronde Lettres. But, she continues, the more interesting or the worse...
APPENDIX

Willa Cather's letters to Stephen Tennant have not been available to scholars. I was able to study them when the collection was auctioned by Sotheby's (October 15, 1987). As they are highly revealing about her late attitude to her own work, influences on it and her opinion of other writers, I have paraphrased the most important passages.

Cather and Tennant first began to correspond in the 1920's and met several times in New York in the 1930's. She kept all his letters; the only ones she kept, except Sarah Orne Jewett's. Of Cather's letters to Tennant, only nine have survived: six autograph letters (two incomplete) and three typed. Where dated, the letters are from 1939 to 1945.

1. [1939]
Cather refers to Joseph Conrad and his *Arrow of Gold*. She comments that when he is good he is very good but when he is bad, as here, he is very bad indeed; disgusting in fact.

2. April 15, 1941
In a discussion about France, Cather talks of Guy de Maupassant and her admiration for *La Maison Tellier*. But, she continues, the most interesting of his works
is the one he is supposed to have written after he began to lose his mind - Le Horla. She says he seemed, for the first time, to feel there was something outside his five senses. She often wonders whether that discovery terrified him - whether his disease brought on that fear of the supersensual, or whether some revelation of it may have helped the disease along.

Speaking of Stendhal, she remarks that La Chartreuse has splendour but Stendhal was bored with it so often. His only really great book is Le Rouge et le Noir. Never, she says, did so great a book go so flat towards the end but the very end she finds wonderful.

Cather adds that she is sorry to hear of Virginia Woolf's death.

3. [1944]
In response to a query of Tennant's, Cather speaks of Emily Bronte and Jane Austen: each a superlatively fine writer in her own way. As for George Sand, Cather continues, she was really an abominable writer; platitudinous, sanctimonious and absolutely insincere in everything except four or five short stories about peasant children.

She states that Tennant's assertion that the great public is never deceived, is nonsense. The public is always deceived, she says, but humanity is not. In two or three hundred years the trite and the trivial (especially the pretentious and languid) are weeded out. Fitzgerald,
she continues, in one of his letters, called those sound, solid writers who last out, 'the helpers-to-live.' The Great Tradition, of which Tennant speaks, belongs to those who are full-blooded and human enough to feed us. She says that when she was too ill to eat she read Chaucer day after day and The Canterbury Tales made her want to get well and live.

4. Feb. 16, 1945

Cather writes about World War II. She thanks Tennant for sending her [Cyril Connolly's] The Unquiet Grave which, she says, is very interesting - and very hopeless and decadent. She can't feel that any writing which has no structure is worth very much. And a book made up of quotations and disgust for everything that touches human life is dreary enough.

The author, she continues, quotes Flaubert uncharacteristically. She tells Tennant to read Flaubert's letters: if ever there was a steady-going, systematic Norman workman, enjoying bodily health, fond of good food, doing the day's work every day, a good fellow and a generous friend, his name was Gustave Flaubert.

Flaubert, she says, speaks in his letters of his work, humorously, rather boisterously, never soulfully. The author of The Unquiet Grave mentions the 'ennui of Flaubert,' she continues, but she has never discovered it. He bores her sometimes in Bovary (when he will not
omit one dull detail of Norman manners) but neither in
his books nor correspondence can she find that he himself
was ever bored.

5. Jan. 6, n.y.
Cather compares Conrad and Turgenev: the latter, she
says, was the one man who could let himself go utterly
and never become sentimental. His emotional aura is
always like the scent of gardens and the out-of-doors.
When Conrad goes his length in the softer feelings the
aroma is, to her, often like the scent of sachet powder.

She writes of having heard the King's abdication
speech on the radio (the third time she has ever turned
it on, for she hates radio) and thinking it very fine.
It must have been very difficult to write, she thinks,
and it could so easy have seemed almost anything one
does not admire.

In the course of asking about Tennant's holiday in Rhodes,
Cather writes about Greek architecture: it is simply
the noblest form of human expression and has a mightiness
about it that nothing else has. She comments that it
is strange that it should vanish from the earth and remarks
that all the new building here [New York] is simply obscene.

She asks for postcards of the buildings on Rhodes:
those old buildings are like the human remains of finer
and stronger races; the shells and carapaces of great warriors or the temples of great minds. She asks if Tennant has seen the temple at Paestum. No one can tell why those things are so beautiful, she says, we have fallen too far below them.

7. n.d.
In a postscript Gather asks Tennant if he really means to tell her he likes Elizabeth Bowen's Look at all Those Roses [1941]. She thinks it is cold, calculating, trick-writing. It isn't a book with human beings in it, but a dissecting room where the 'subjects' have all been kept too long, and the operator has the same attitude toward her material that the medical students have in that frightful Hogarth drawing of a dissecting room.

As an afterthought she adds that Hogarth is always a master craftsman and his 'realism' is in the ugly facts that are always to be found if you look for them. Bowen's realism, she continues, is tricky and she has only a mediocre technique. You can't say that these stories are 'pathological' she adds. Dostoevsky is often, usually, pathological, but he cares so much about the miseries and crimes and vices of these people. They simply break his heart.

How wonderfully the wretched valet in The Brothers Karamazov is done. If people are going to write about decadent and degenerate types, she certainly prefers that the writer should be sorry that folks are so miserable and wicked, and that the world is so wretched.
Cather denies any contempt for critical writing. But she does think that too much dallying with critical essays, adopting epigrams about art, la verité, creative imagination and so on, is injurious to a narrative writer. Self-analysis and self-questioning dilutes and weakens his initial purpose and takes from the writer's vitality.

To speak plainly, she continues, why did he ever begin to write 'Lascar' at all? To write a masterpiece? Very foolish. Nobody ever wrote a masterpiece by resolving to do so. She says that she hopes he began it for fun, to play a game with an emotion or a pattern (they are inseparable - pattern and feeling born together). The fun of the game is what gives delight to the reader. It is exciting fun, which develops skill, often requires effort, even struggle, but it is delightful effort - why else should one stick to it? From vanity? Malvolio!!

If it is not a game, she concludes, but a record of sensations or an indirect philosophy of art, he had better not write at all.
Notes to Introduction

1. Interview by Eleanor Hinman, [Lincoln] Sunday Star, Nov. 6, 1921.

2. Most of the major surveys of modern American literature do not discuss Cather at all; when she does appear, it is invariably under the heading of 'Regionalism,' See W. Thorp, American Writing in the Twentieth Century (Harvard, 1960), p. 55, and H. Straumann, American Literature in the Twentieth Century (London, 1951) pp. 69-72. For a brief discussion of how Cather endowed local material with universal significance see Bernice Slote, 'Willa Cather as a Regional Writer,' Kansas Quarterly, 2 (1970), 7-15.


Notes to Chapter One


2. See E. K. Brown, Willa Cather: A Critical Biography, completed by Leon Edel (New York, 1953); James Woodress, Willa Cather: Her Life and Art (New York, 1970); Phyllis C. Robinson, Willa: The Life of Willa Cather (New York, 1983); Sharon O'Brien, Willa Cather: The Emerging Voice, 1873-1912 (Oxford, 1987); James Woodress, Willa Cather: A Literary Life (Lincoln, Nebraska, 1988); a further biography, by Doris Grumbach, is in progress. The most important memoirs are by Edith Lewis, Willa Cather Living: A Personal Record, Bison ed. (Lincoln, Nebraska, 1976) and

3. Willa Cather to Read Bain, Jan. 14, 1931 (Michigan Historical Collections, Bentley Historical Library, University of Michigan).


6. Writings from Willa Cather's Campus Years (Lincoln, Nebraska, 1950), p. 15.

7. Willa Cather to Dorothy Canfield, [May 8, 1922] (Bailey/Howe Library, University of Vermont).


14. Some feminist critics have also made damaging assumptions. See, for example, Deborah G. Lambert, 'The Defeat of a Hero: Autonomy and Sexuality in My Antonia,' American Literature, 53 (Jan. 1982), 676-690. Lambert states that Cather was a lesbian who could not acknowledge her homosexuality, which she disguised in acceptable heterosexual forms. Both Lambert and Carolyn Heilbrun, in Reinventing Womanhood (London, 1979), assert that after her first novels Cather failed to portray strong, successful women and turned to male heroes.
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20. Willa Cather to Read Bain, Jan. 14, 1931 (Bentley Historical Library, University of Michigan).
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34. Willa Cather to Dorothy Canfield, [1933] (Bailey/Howe Library, University of Vermont).
35. Quoted by Edith Lewis, Willa Cather Living, p. 184.
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(p. 51). However, it seems to me, that Dahl exaggerates the elegiac note in Cather.
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16. See Willa Cather, 'Nebraska: The End of the First Cycle,' Nation, 117 (Sep. 5, 1923), 236-238.
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12. Willa Cather to Zoë Akins, April 19, 1937 (Henry E. Huntington Library).

13. 'Willa Cather Discusses Writing and Short Story Courses.'


15. Willa Cather to Scott Fitzgerald, April 28, 1925 (Princeton University Library).

16. 'The House of Willa Cather,' The Art of Willa Cather, p. 16.
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3. In an interview entitled, 'The Pioneer Mother,' Eleanor Hinman asked Cather for her views on careers for women in business and the Arts: 'It cannot help but be good. It at least keeps the woman interested in something real. As for the choice between a woman's home and her career, is there any reason why she cannot have both?... If the woman's business is art ... her family will be a help rather than a hindrance to her; and if she has a quarter of the vitality of her prototype on the farm she will be able to fulfill the claims of both.' [Lincoln] Sunday Star, Nov. 6, 1921.


6. Lionel Trilling, 'Willa Cather,' Willa Cather and Her Critics, p. 152. See also John Hinz, 'A Lost Lady and The Professor's House,' Virginia Quarterly Review, 29 (Winter 1953), 70-85. Cf. David C. Stineback who sees the novel as being about the danger of retreating into nostalgia when confronted with the increasing acquisitiveness and vulgarity of the twentieth century; a danger which the Professor, in the end, overcomes, 'Willa Cather's Ironic Masterpiece,' Arizona Quarterly, 29 (Winter 1973), 317-330.

7. Leon Edel, 'A Cave of One's Own,' Critical Essays on Willa Cather, pp. 200-217. (Edel gives the date of McClung's marriage as 1917 but according to Sharon O'Brien's recent biography it was 1916, O'Brien, p. 239). Edel is not the only critic to identify Cather with her professor. In a talk entitled 'Willa Cather: A Life Saved Up,' given at the South Bank Centre, London (May 6, 1988), Hermione Lee related both St. Peter and Outland to Cather's own life; the former representing her middle-age and the latter, her youth. Lee's book on Cather, for the Virago 'Pioneers' series, is due to appear in 1989.


10. Willa Cather to Mr. Bain, Jan. 14, 1931 (Bentley Historical Library, University of Michigan).
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14. See also Paul Comeau, 'The Professor's House and Anatole France,' Critical Essays on Willa Cather, pp. 217-227. Comeau's reading of both writers is fundamentally dissimilar to my own. He concentrates on the theme of betrayal, found in both novels, but does not question the masculine bias of France's work.


17. 'Friends of the Princeton University Library,' 1933 (Princeton University Library).

18. Barbara Wild offers an account of the novel in terms of the great friendship between Outland and St. Peter in "The Thing Not Named" in The Professor's House,' Western Literature Association, 12 (Feb. 1978), 263-274.

19. 'On the Professor's House,' Willa Cather on Writing, p. 31. The novel's structure has received considerable attention. E. K. Brown suggests that the house motif provides a symbolic link between 'Tom Outland's Story' and Books I and III, Willa Cather: A Critical Biography, pp. 236-247. Meredith R. Machen compares the tripartite structure of Cather's novel to Thomas Carlyle's Sartor Resartus; he finds a parallel between the autobiographies of Diogenes Teufelsdrockh and Tom Outland and relates this to the reaffirmation of life made by the professors in both works, 'Carlyle's Presence in The Professor's House,'
Western American Literature, 14 (Winter 1980), 273-286.
Cf. Lavon Mattes Jobes, who states that St. Peter falls 'not into Professor Teufelsdröck's Everlasting No, but into the Center of Indifference,' 'Willa Cather's The Professor's House,' The University Review - Kansas City, 34 (1967-68), 160. For a description of Cather's own discovery of the Mesa Verde and its bearing on 'Tom Outland's Story' see Susan J. Rosowski and Bernice Slote, 'Willa Cather's 1916 Mesa Verde Essay: The Genesis of The Professor's House,' Prairie Schooner, 58 (Winter 1984), 81-92.

20. 'St. Peter and the World All Before Him,' Western American Literature, 17 (May 1982), 15.

21. Willa Cather to Dorothy Canfield, Oct. 22, 1925 (Bailey/ Howe Library, University of Vermont); Willa Cather to Elizabeth Moorhead, May 5, 1944 (Pierpont Morgan Library, New York City); Willa Cather to Irene Miner Weisz, Feb. 17, 1925 (Newberry Library, Chicago).

Notes to Chapter Seven


3. The Professor's House is followed by the novella, My Mortal Enemy (1926). Its protagonist, Myra Henshawe, is also a lapsed Catholic who falls out of love. Myra's return to faith shortly before her death marks, perhaps, a transition to Death Comes for the Archbishop.

4. Reprinted as 'On Death Comes for the Archbishop,' Willa Cather on Writing, pp. 5-6.

5. 'On Death Comes for the Archbishop,' Willa Cather on Writing, p. 12. More recently, the narrative structure has received much favourable attention. See particularly Curtis Whittington Jr., 'The Stream and the Broken Pottery: The Form of Willa Cather's Death Comes for the Archbishop,' McNeese Review, 16 (Spring 1965), 16-24. D. H. Stewart finds structural parallels between Death Comes for the Archbishop and Dante's Divine Comedy, in 'Cather's Mortal Comedy,' Queens Quarterly, 73 (1966), 244-259.

6. For the novel as history/biography see, E. A. and L. D. Bloom, 'On the Composition of a Novel,' Willa Cather's Gift of Sympathy, pp. 197-236; as travelogue see David


9. The most mysterious and sinister episode occurs in the chapter entitled 'Stone Lips.' Rebecca West contrasts Cather's treatment with D. H. Lawrence's probable approach: 'Mr. Lawrence ... would have been through the hole after the snake,' 'The Classic Artist,' Willa Cather and Her Critics, p. 68.

10. Mary Austin in her autobiography, Earth Horizon, expressed horror at the building of a French cathedral in a Spanish town: 'it was a calamity to the local culture.... It dropped the local mystery plays almost out of use, and many other far-derived Spanish customs.' Quoted by Sergeant, Willa Cather: A Memoir, p. 235.


12. 'The Classic Artist,' Willa Cather and Her Critics, p. 63.

13. 'On Death Comes for the Archbishop,' Willa Cather on Writing, p. 9.

14. It is interesting to note that Flaubert's 'The Legend of St. Julian Hospitator' was inspired by a pictorial work; the stained-glass window in Rouen Cathedral which shows thirty scenes from the Saint's life.


18. Willa Cather to E. K. Brown, April 9, 1937 (Yale University Library).


21. This phrase is to be found in an article called 'A Philistine in the Gallery,' signed 'Goliath' (The Library, April 22, 1900) which Bernice Slote identifies as being by Cather in her introduction to The Kingdom of Art, p. 78, n. 51.


24. Willa Cather to Carl Van Vechten, n.d. (Boatwright Memorial Library, University of Richmond).

25. Willa Cather to Governor Cross, reprinted as 'On Shadows on the Rock,' Willa Cather on Writing, p. 16.

26. Willa Cather to Elizabeth Moorhead, Aug. 21, 1931 (Pierpont Morgan Library).

27. 'On Shadows on the Rock,' Willa Cather on Writing, p. 16.

28. Ibid., pp. 15-17.

29. 'The Best Stories of Sarah Orne Jewett,' Willa Cather on Writing, p. 54.

30. Willa Cather to Zoë Akins, [1927] (Clifton Waller Barrett Library, University of Virginia).


32. This, and the information in the subsequent paragraph, is from Willa Cather to Dorothy Canfield, n.d., n.d., Jan. 13, [1931] and Aug. 14, [1931] (Bailey/Howe Library, University of Vermont).

33. Cather's other novels are often described as loosely structure. Shadows on the Rock, in contrast, adheres to a very strict arrangement of thematic material. For a full discussion of this see John J. Murphy, 'The Art of Shadows on the Rock,' Prairie Schooner, 50 (Spring
1976), 37-51.
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2. Willa Cather to Violet Roseboro, Nov. 9, 1940 (Clifton Waller Barrett Library, University of Virginia).


4. 'The Last Four Books,' Willa Cather's Imagination, pp. 206-241. Cather's letters to Irene Miner Weisz seem, at first, to support Stouck's view. In one of the last of these she says that she doesn't care about writing any more books; that she knows now that nothing really matters but the people we love (Oct. 22, 1945). But the fact is that she never stopped writing and was, indeed, working on a story set in Avignon when she died. Moreover, although she says that she doesn't care about books, these last letters speak of little else. They are a kind of summing up of her career. In particular, she writes that she is grateful to Irene for her understanding that she is not a smart trick writer and for her feeling that there is something human and affectionate in Cather's books (Jan. 6, 1945). Cather's letters to Irene Miner are at the Newberry Library, Chicago, Illinois.
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11. For a discussion of the patterns of escape in Sapphira and the Slave Girl see Marilyn Arnold, 'Cather's Last Stand,' Research Studies, 43 (Dec. 1975), 245-252. However, it seems to me that Nancy's flight is not escapist; on the contrary, it is a positive act and Cather emphasises how much courage and cooperation it requires.

12. For a different interpretation of The Old beauty and Others see Marilyn Arnold, 'Cather's Last Three Stories,' Great Plains Quarterly, 4 (Fall 1984), 238-244. Arnold maintains that all of the stories emphasise adaptability and survival: the 'collection is not a final sign of Cather's surrender to nostalgia and her wish for death, but rather positive evidence of Cather's continuing battle against those inclinations in herself' (p. 240). The comment on Saphira and the Slave Girl is made by Brown, Willa Cather: A Critical Biography, p. 317.
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