

## On the average number of divisors of quadratic polynomials

BY JAMES MCKEE

*Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics,  
 University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1SB*

(Received 14 January 1994; revised 1 August 1994)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $d(n)$  denote the number of positive divisors of  $n$ , and let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial in  $x$  with integer coefficients, irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Erdős [3] showed that there exist constants  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  (depending on  $f$ ) such that

$$\lambda_1 x \log x \leq \sum_{n \leq x} d(f(n)) \leq \lambda_2 x \log x.$$

For the case where  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$  is a quadratic polynomial, one has in fact

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(f(n)) \sim \lambda x \log x, \tag{1}$$

for some constant  $\lambda$  (depending on  $a, b$  and  $c$ ). Apparently this is due to Bellman and Shapiro (unpublished), and Bellman describes the proof as ‘not elementary, although not difficult’ [1]. The first published proof seems to be that of Scourfield [7]. For the case  $a = 1, b = 0$ , Hooley [5] gives an excellent description of the error. His expression for  $\lambda$  in (1) is

$$\lambda = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(2^\alpha)}{2^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{d^2|c \\ (d,2)=1}} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ (l,2)=1}}^{\infty} \left( \frac{-c/d^2}{l} \right) \frac{1}{l}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\rho$  is defined below and  $(p/q)$  is the Legendre symbol. In this paper, we consider the case  $a = 1, b^2 - 4c = \Delta < 0$ , and give a more compact expression for  $\lambda$ , namely

$$\lambda = \frac{12H^*(\Delta)}{\pi \sqrt{-\Delta}}, \tag{3}$$

where  $H^*(\Delta)$  is the Hurwitz class number, defined below. Using the analytic class number formula, it is not difficult to check that these two expressions for  $\lambda$  agree when  $b = 0, c > 0$ . The proof of (3) is completely elementary. The appearance of a class number is not surprising (the connection with class numbers was noted by Hooley in [4] and [6] (p. 32)), but the precise relationship (3) seems not to have been formulated before.

The proof makes use of binary quadratic forms, so in Section 2 we recall the results which are needed. The proof of (3) is given in Section 3. More precisely, we show

**THEOREM.** *If  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\Delta = b^2 - 4c < 0$ , then*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n^2 + bn + c) = \frac{12H^*(\Delta)}{\pi \sqrt{-\Delta}} x \log x + O(x),$$

where the implied constant in the  $O(x)$  depends on  $\Delta$ .

2.  $\rho$  and representations by quadratic forms

Let  $b, c$  be integers, with  $\Delta = b^2 - 4c < 0$ . For positive integers  $d$ , let  $\rho(d)$  be the number of solutions to the congruence

$$n^2 + bn + c \equiv 0 \pmod{d}, \quad 0 \leq n < d. \tag{4}$$

$\rho$  is multiplicative, but not totally multiplicative. Multiplying (4) by 4, and writing  $m = 2n + b$ , we see that  $\rho(d)$  is the number of solutions to the congruence

$$m^2 \equiv \Delta \pmod{4d}, \quad b \leq m < b + 2d. \tag{5}$$

The proof of (3) will involve binary quadratic forms, so we now recall the essential facts (cf. [2]).

Let  $f(x, y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$  be a positive definite binary quadratic form, so that  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$  with the discriminant  $\Delta = B^2 - 4AC < 0$ , and  $A > 0$ . Given

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

define  $f^\alpha(x, y) = f(px + ry, qx + sy)$ . Then  $f^\alpha$  has the same discriminant as  $f$ . Forms related in this way are called equivalent. The form  $f$  is called *reduced* if  $|B| \leq A \leq C$ , with  $B \geq 0$  if either  $A = |B|$  or  $A = C$ . Each positive definite binary quadratic form is equivalent to precisely one reduced form. The number of reduced forms with discriminant  $\Delta$  is finite, and is denoted  $H(\Delta)$ . The subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  consisting of those  $\alpha$  such that  $f^\alpha = f$  is called the automorphism group of  $f$ .

We shall write  $(A, B, C)$  as a shorthand for  $Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$ . Let  $w(A, B, C)$  denote the size of the automorphism group of  $(A, B, C)$ . Then  $w(A, A, A) = 6$ ,  $w(A, 0, A) = 4$ , and otherwise, if  $(A, B, C)$  is reduced, we have  $w(A, B, C) = 2$ . Define

$$H^*(\Delta) = \sum_{\substack{(A, B, C) \text{ reduced,} \\ B^2 - 4AC = \Delta}} 2/w(A, B, C). \tag{6}$$

Then  $H^*(\Delta)$  usually equals  $H(\Delta)$ , and the two never differ by more than  $\frac{2}{3}$ .

We say that  $(A, B, C)$  properly represents  $d$  if there exist co-prime integers  $p$  and  $q$  with  $Ap^2 + Bpq + Cq^2 = d$ . Given such  $p$  and  $q$ , one can find  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $ps - qr = 1$ .

Setting  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$ , one checks that  $(A, B, C)^\alpha = (d, m, l)$  for some  $m$  and  $l$  depending on  $\alpha$ . Then  $m^2 - 4dl = B^2 - 4AC = \Delta$ , so that

$$m^2 \equiv \Delta \pmod{4d}. \tag{7}$$

Given  $p$  and  $q$ , there is some choice for  $r$  and  $s$ . Indeed if  $ps_0 - qr_0 = 1$ , then the general solution to  $ps - qr = 1$  is  $s = s_0 + \beta q, r = r_0 + \beta p$ , for  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $(r, s) = (r_0, s_0)$  leads to  $m = m_0$  in (7), then  $(r, s) = (r_0 + \beta p, s_0 + \beta q)$  leads to  $m = m_0 + 2\beta d$ . Thus given any integers  $b$  and  $d$ , and any co-prime  $p$  and  $q$  with  $Ap^2 + Bpq + Cq^2 = d$ , we have defined a unique  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$m^2 \equiv \Delta \pmod{4d}, \quad b \leq m < b + 2d. \tag{8}$$

Conversely, a solution  $m^2 - 4dl = \Delta$  satisfying (8) implies  $(d, m, l)$  properly represents  $d(x = 1, y = 0)$ . If  $(A, B, C)$  is the unique reduced form equivalent to  $(d, m, l)$  then  $(A, B, C)$  also properly represents  $d$ , and if  $(A, B, C) = (d, m, l)^\alpha$  then  $\alpha$  determines the representation of  $d$  by  $(A, B, C)$ .  $\alpha$  is unique up to multiplication by an automorphism of  $(A, B, C)$ . Hence this solution to (8) defines  $w(A, B, C)$  distinct ways in which  $(A, B, C)$  properly represents  $d$ . If we count each representation with weight  $1/w(A, B, C)$  then the total number of ways of representing  $d$  by some reduced form of discriminant  $\Delta$  is precisely the number of solutions to (8).

If  $\Delta = b^2 - 4c < 0$ , then comparing (5) and (8), the above discussion implies

$$\rho(d) = \sum_{\substack{(A, B, C) \text{ reduced,} \\ B^2 - 4AC = \Delta}} \frac{1}{w(A, B, C)} \sum_{\substack{Ap^2 + Bpq + Cq^2 = d, \\ \gcd(p, q) = 1}} 1. \tag{9}$$

This expression for  $\rho(d)$  is the main ingredient in the proof of (3).

### 3. Proof of Theorem

Suppose  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\Delta = b^2 - 4c < 0$ . In what follows, the implied constant in all  $O$  estimates may depend on  $\Delta$ .

Positive divisors of  $n^2 + bn + c$  generally pair off, by pairing a factor less than  $\sqrt{n^2 + bn + c}$  with its co-factor (which is greater than  $\sqrt{n^2 + bn + c}$ ). The exception is if  $n^2 + bn + c$  is a square, when its square root is not paired off. Hence

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n^2 + bn + c) = 2 \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{\substack{d | n^2 + bn + c, \\ d \leq \sqrt{n^2 + bn + c}}} 1 + O(x). \tag{10}$$

Now reverse the order of summation in (10):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} d(n^2 + bn + c) &= 2 \sum_{d \leq x + O(1)} \sum_{\substack{d + O(1) \leq n \leq x, \\ d | n^2 + bn + c}} 1 + O(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{d \leq x} \sum_{\substack{d + O(1) \leq n \leq x, \\ d | n^2 + bn + c}} 1 + O(x). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Consider the inner sum in (11). We know that  $\rho(d)$  out of every  $d$  consecutive values of  $n$  satisfy  $d | n^2 + bn + c$ . Hence the inner sum is  $x\rho(d)/d + O(\rho(d))$ . Therefore (11) gives

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n^2 + bn + c) = 2x \sum_{d \leq x} \rho(d)/d + O\left(\sum_{d \leq x} \rho(d)\right) + O(x). \tag{12}$$

To evaluate (12), we shall show that

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \rho(d) = \frac{6H^*(\Delta)}{\pi \sqrt{-\Delta}} x + O(\sqrt{x \log x}). \tag{13}$$

Then summation by parts gives

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \rho(d)/d = \frac{6H^*(\Delta)}{\pi \sqrt{-\Delta}} \log x + O(1). \tag{14}$$

Substituting (13) and (14) into (12) proves the Theorem.

It remains to prove (13). For this we use the expression for  $\rho(d)$  from Section 2, namely (9).

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} \rho(d) &= \sum_{d \leq x} \sum_{\substack{(A, B, C) \text{ reduced,} \\ B^2 - 4AC = \Delta}} \frac{1}{w(A, B, C)} \sum_{\substack{Ap^2 + Bpq + Cq^2 = d, \\ \gcd(p, q) = 1}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(A, B, C)} \frac{1}{w(A, B, C)} \sum_{\substack{Ap^2 + Bpq + Cq^2 \leq x, \\ \gcd(p, q) = 1}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{(A, B, C)} \frac{1}{w(A, B, C)} \sum_{1 \leq Ap^2 + Bpq + Cq^2 \leq x} \sum_{f | \gcd(p, q)} \mu(f), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function. Here  $p$  and  $q$  can be any integers, but  $f$  is always positive.

Now we interchange the inner two sums in (15). Given  $f | \gcd(p, q)$ , write  $p = fs$ ,  $q = ft$ . Then  $As^2 + Bst + Ct^2 \leq x/f^2$ . We have  $f \leq \sqrt{x}$  (actually  $f \leq \sqrt{x/A}$ ), so that

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \rho(d) = \sum_{(A, B, C)} \frac{1}{w(A, B, C)} \sum_{f \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(f) \sum_{1 \leq As^2 + Bst + Ct^2 \leq x/f^2} 1. \tag{16}$$

The inner sum is the number of non-trivial integer points within an ellipse of area  $2\pi x/f^2 \sqrt{-\Delta}$ , circumference  $O(\sqrt{x/f})$ . Thus (16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} \rho(d) &= \sum_{(A, B, C)} \frac{1}{w(A, B, C)} \sum_{f \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(f) \left\{ \frac{2\pi x}{f^2 \sqrt{-\Delta}} + O(\sqrt{x/f}) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{(A, B, C)} \frac{1}{w(A, B, C)} \left\{ \frac{2\pi x}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} (6/\pi^2 + O(1/\sqrt{x})) + O(\sqrt{x \log x}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{6H^*(\Delta)}{\pi \sqrt{-\Delta}} x + O(\sqrt{x \log x}), \end{aligned}$$

from (6). This proves (13), as desired.

REFERENCES

[1] R. BELLMAN. Ramanujan sums and the average value of arithmetic functions. *Duke Math. J.* **17** (1950), 159–168.  
 [2] H. DAVENPORT. *The Higher Arithmetic*, 6th edition (Cambridge University Press, 1992).  
 [3] P. ERDÖS. The sum  $\sum d\{f(k)\}$ . *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **27** (1952), 7–15.  
 [4] C. HOOLEY. On the representation of a number as the sum of a square and a product. *Math. Z.* **69** (1958), 211–227.  
 [5] C. HOOLEY. On the number of divisors of quadratic polynomials. *Acta Mathematica* **110** (1963), 97–114.  
 [6] C. HOOLEY. Applications of sieve methods to the theory of numbers. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 70 (Cambridge University Press, 1976).  
 [7] E. J. SCOURFIELD. The divisors of a quadratic polynomial. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Soc.* **5** (1961), 8–20.