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## ABSTRACT

The first part of the thesis shows how nuclear magnetic resonance can be used to determine the energy difference between the two conformers of mono-substituted cyclohexanes or tetrahydropyrans.

Proton magnetic resonance and ${ }^{13}$ Carbon magnetic resonance were used and the recently introduced Fourier transform ${ }^{13}$ Carbon magnetic resonance was assessed to see if it was suitable for this kind of work.

It was found that the halogeno tetrahydropyran molecules studied have similar free energy differences to those of their cyclohexane analogues.

Force field refinement work was carried out using biphenyl and fluorinated and deuterated derivatives including a new compound, 4-4' difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}$. The restriction that all ring C-C stretch force constants should be the same was lifted and the geometry used in the calculations was improved. The dihedral angle of 4-4' difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}$ in solution was estimated.

Finally variable temperature studies were carried out on the infrared band shape of the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{CH}}$ mode of p -difluorobenzene in cyclohexane and acetonitrile. The band was found to be broader in acetonitrile and to show a much smaller temperature dependence in the polar solvent. This is interpreted as due to vibrational relaxation governing the band width in the polar situation.
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## CHAPTER ONE

## NUCLEAR MAGNETTC RESONANCE - THEORY AND METHODS

### 1.1 The n.m.r. experiment

The existence of nuclear spin was first suggested by Pauli in 1924 in order to explain hyperfine structure in atomic spectra. The proton has a spin quantum number, $I$, of $1 / 2$ as does the neutron. For nuclei other than ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ the spin angular momenta of the individual nucleons couple (together with their orbital-type angular momenta) to give the observed total.

The facts that ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ have zero values for $I$ and that n.m.r. depends on the existence of nuclear spin have meant that the spectra of many complex organic chemicals are easy to interpret being attributable to hydrogen nuclei (protons) alone.

As this thesis contains n.m.r. spectra of only two nuclei ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) both of which have $I=1 / 2$, this is the only value of $I$ which will be considered here.

A nucleus possessing the spin quantum number of $I=1 / 2$ has two spin states which in the absence of a magnetic field are equally populated. However, when a magnetic field of strength $B$ is applied in a direction defined as $z$, there is anenergy difference between the states, and the populations are no longer equal.

Any motion of a charged particle has an associated magnetic field. In the nucleus the possible angular momenta values are quantised, therefore, so are the associated magnetic moments. In the case of $I=1 / 2$, there are two spin states characterised by $m_{I}= \pm 1 / 2$. Because $m_{I}=+1 / 2$ aligns with, and $m_{I}=-1 / 2$ opposes, the applied field the two energy levels are formed, (Fig. 1.1).

## Fic. 1.1 Effect of a magnetic field on the eierfy ievels of a proton.



The tendency of nuclei to align with the field and this drop into the lowest energy level is opposed by thermal motions, which tend to equalise the populations of the two levels. The resultant equilibrium distribution is the usual compromise predicted by the Boltzmann equation

$$
\frac{n+}{n-}=\exp \left(-\frac{\Delta E}{k T}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{-X \hbar B_{0}}{k T}\right)
$$

where $n+$ and $n$ - are the populations of the upper and lower energy levels, $\hbar$ is $h / 2 \pi$, where $h$ is Planck's constant, and $\gamma$, the magnetogyric ratio, which is defined as the ratio of the magnetic moment to the angular momentum. The population excess in the lower energy level is about $10^{-5}$ times the total population in that level at room temperature, This very small difference in population occurs because the energy difference between the levels is of the order of $10^{-26}$ Joules.

It is possible to induce transitions between these levels by the use of appropriate electromagnetic radiation. Such transitions will be governed by the Bohr frequency condition, whereby energy is transferred when $\Delta E$, the difference in energy between the levels is equal to $h \mathcal{V}$, where $\nu$ is the frequency of the radiation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
h \nu & =|\gamma \hbar B| \\
\text { or } \quad \nu & =|(\gamma / 2 \pi) B|
\end{aligned}
$$

The magnetic fields are normally in the range $1-5 \mathrm{~T}$ and the transition frequencies are therefore of the order of tens of MHz and these are in the radio frequency range of the spectrum.


Fig. 1.2 Frecession of mapnetic moment in the marnetic fields $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$.
a) Radiofrequency does not
equal Larmor frequency.

b) Radiofreguency does equal Larmor frequency.

Since the resonance frequency is proportional to the magnetic field, either $\mathcal{V}$ or $B$ may be varied to achieve resonance, but it is more convenient to vary $\nu$. This type of experiment where the frequency range is swept linearly with respect to time is known as continuous wave n.m.r.

### 1.2 Precession

It can easily be shown by classical mechanics that the torque exerted on a magnetic moment $\mu$ by a magnetic field inclined at any angle $\theta$ relative to the moment causes the nuclear magnetic moment to precess about the direction of the field with a frequency given by the well known Larmor equation

$$
\nu=-\gamma \mathrm{B} / 2 \pi
$$

where $\nu$ is the Larmor frequency.
If a smaller magnetic field $B_{1}$ is introduced, which is of constant magnitude and perpendicular to the original field $B_{0}$ but is rotating about that direction, such a field will also exert a torque on $\mu$ tending to change the angle $\theta$ between $\mu$ and $B_{\jmath}$, (Fig. 1.2a). If $B_{1}$ is rotating at some frequency other than the Larmor frequency it will alternately try to increase and decrease $\theta$ as $\mu$ precesses. Since $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ is weak the net effect will be a slight wobbling in the precession of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. If $B_{1}$ is rotating about $B_{0}$ with the same frequency as the precession of $\mu$ its orientation with respect to $\mu$ will always to constant. Suppose this orientation is such that $B_{\dagger}$ is always perpendicular to the plane containing $B_{o}$ and $\mu$. Then the torque exerted on $\mu$ by $B_{1}$ will always be away from $B_{0} \quad$ Consequently a large effect on $\mu$ is possible (Fig. 1.2b) .

Fig. 1.3 The relationship betreen the macroscopic nuclear magnetisation $K$ and individual nuclear moments.
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It is more illuminating however to study an ensemble containing a large number of identical nuclei rather than a single nuclear moment. In the absence of resonance the moments of the nuclei precess at the same, Larmor, frequency and with random phase. The Boltzmann distribution slightly favours the lower energy state, so there are more nuclei aligned in the direction of $B_{0}$ than opposed to it. Thus there is a net macroscopic magnetisation (M) which is orientated along the $z$ axis (Fig. 1.3a).

An imposed rf field Bi will have no appreciable effect unless its frequency is the Larmor frequency. In this case two effects are seen. Firstly some nuclei flip by absorbing energy from $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ so that the populations become more nearly equal thus decreasing $M_{z}$. Secondly the nuclei are forced by the rf field to precess in phase, thus creating a component of magnetisation $M_{\text {ay }}$ in the $x y$ plane (Fig. 1.3b).

After resonance the nuclei gradually get out of phase with respect to each other. The lifetime for this loss of phase coherence depends on $T_{2}$, the spin-spin relaxation time (Fig. 1.3c). The lifetime for the reversion to the Boltzmann distribution between the two energy levels depends on $T_{1}$, the spin lattice relaxation time (Fig. 1.3d, e).

### 1.3 The rotating frame

In order to understand $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ pulses, it is necessary to consider a frame of reference which rotates in the laboratory frame about the $z$ axis with a frequency equal to the Larmor frequency. The new axes are designated $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ and $z^{\prime}$ and this is called the rotating frame (Fig. 1.4a).

Pic. 1.4 The rotating frame.

a) Comparison with leboratory frame.

b) Effect on magnetic field.

As the rate at which the frame is rotating increases the precession rate appears to decrease, so an increase in the frequency of rotation has the same effect as decreasing the strength of the static field (Fig. 1.4b).

It can be seen from the diagram that if the frame rotates at the Larmor frequency the angle between the effective field and the $z$ axis increases with increasing radiofrequency until it is entirely in the $x^{\prime}$ direction at resonance, the static field having been completely cancelled out and the effective field being equal to $\mathrm{B}_{1}$.

In the rotating frame the magnetisation precesses about the effective field and at resonance it precesses in a circle in the $z y^{\prime \prime}$ plane, giving a large signal.

From the Larmor equation the magnetisation precesses about the field at a frequency $\gamma B_{1}$ and the angle through which $M$ precesses in time $t$ is given by

$$
\theta=\gamma_{B}, t \text { radians }
$$

From this expression it is easy to calculate the length of pulse required to induce a precession of the magnetisation by any angle required.

### 1.4 Nuclear spin relaxation

After excitation the macroscopic magnetisation may be split into two components, $M_{z}$ along the $z$ axis and $M_{y}$ along the $y^{\prime}$ axis. These components decay exponentially to their equilibrium values at rates governed by $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ respectively.

The nuclei interact with fluctuating localized magnetic fields caused for instance by the motions of magnetic moments of surrounding nuclei. Only those components of the magnetic fields fluctuating in the xy plane at the Larmor frequency are effective in exchanging energy with the lattice.

The decay of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{L}^{\prime}}$ is associated with those mechanisms which tend to distribute the magnetic moment directions randomly over a cone with symmetry axis $\mathrm{B}_{0}$, but negligibly affect the total magnetic energy. An important mechanism for this spin-spin, as well as spin-lattice, $\because$ relaxation is the dipolar interaction between a nucleus and the surrounding nuclear dipoles. Although the average value is zero in the liguid situation, contributions arise from the non zero value of the mean square amplitude. Another important factor influencing dephasing of magnetisation in the $x y$ plane is inhomogeneity in the magnetic field.

### 1.5 Saturation

In the presence of an rff field the probability of a transition from one energy level to the other is proportional to the population of the original level. Thus there is slightly more chance of a transition from the lower to the upper energy level than for the reverse and so a net absorption of energy takes place. The population of the upper energy level increases but relaxation processes attempt to reestablish the equilibrium situation.

If a large rf power is used it is possible to excite the nuclei faster than they can relax. The intensity of the absorption spectrum decreases owing to the reduction in the difference in population between
the two levels. If saturation is suspected a faster scan speed or lower rf power must be used. Both tend to degrade the spectrum however and a compromise is often required.

### 1.6 The typical spectrum

Although each type of nucleus has a characteristic resonance frequency a typical spectrum will contain a number of lines. These arise for two reasons.

Nuclei are screened from the applied static field by their surrounding electrons. The effective field at the nucleus may be lower or higher than the applied field. The amount by which it is changed depends on the chemical environment of the nucleus and the frequency difference is measured in relation to a standard compound (Tetramethylsilane for proton magnetic resonance) in parts per million and is called the chemical shift. This screening effect may vary with orientation of the molecule but an average value is seen in liquids.

Peaks distinguished by different screening effects may be further split into two or more lines by interactions with adjacent magnetic nuclei. In the absence of second order effects, a nucleus with spin $I$ causes its neighbour to exhibit $2 I+1$ lines each separated by JHz , the coupling constant.
$1.7 \frac{13 \mathrm{C} \text { Nuclear magnetic resonance }}{\text { The }{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { experiment is much less sensitive than proton magnetic }}$ resonance (p.m.r.) for two reasons. Firstly ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ has a natural abundance of $1.1 \%$. This natural abundance is low enough to make lines due to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spin-spin coupling interactions very small in normal spectra of
unenriched compounds yet large enough to be considered practical for n.m.r. Secondly the magnetogyric ratio $\gamma$ of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei is about one quarter that of protons. Since the sensitivity of a nucleus in a magnetic resonance experiment is proportional to the cube of $\gamma$, each excited ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nucleus gives rise to $1 / 64$ the signal produced by a proton. Combined, these factors lower the sensitivity by a factor of about six thousand relative to the p.m.r. experiment.

### 1.8 Fourier transform n.m.r.

The development of Fourier transform n.m.r. has made versatile ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. studies not only practical but also nearly comparable with p.m.r. in terms of experimental ease and quality of results.

The biggest disadvantage of continuous wave (c.w.) n.m.r. is the fact that only one frequency can be observed at one time. For example, a reasonable sweep width for a $20 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrometer is 4000 Hz . Therefore each 1 Hz wide band is only observed for $1 / 4000$ of the scanning time. By simultaneously exciting all ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei we can observe the total response of the sample at one time.

The Fourier transform (FT) experiment starts with a short rf pulse. A continuous rf would obviously produce excitation at only one frequency but because a pulse is used a finite bandwidth of frequencies is excited. If the pulse is short enough (about $50 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ ), then the bandwidth of excitation can be greater than 5000 Hz , sufficient to simultaneously excite all ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei in a sample. In order to strongly excite the sample in this short period of time very high rf power is required.

After the pulse the pattern detected by the receiver is called a free induction decay (FID).

Fif. 1.5 Free induction decay and Fourier transform of 4 - chloro T.H.F.


Suppose a $90^{\circ}$ pulse is applied along the $x^{\prime}$ axis. Following the pulse the magnetisation lies entirely in the $y^{\prime}$ direction. If the rf equals the Larmor frequency, the decay of $\mathrm{M}_{J}$ ' is exponential, and the FID records an exponential decay since the detector is referenced in phase to the rf. This reference is called the carrier frequency. The FT of this FID consists of absorption and dispersion parts, the absorption line shape being Lorentzian.

If we consider the ${ }^{13}$ C nucleus in a different environment the Larmor frequency will now be different. The $M_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ vector will now rotate in the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ plane at a frequency equal to the difference between the frequency of the applied field and the Larmor frequency. Now the exponential decay has a sine function superimposed with periodicity equal to the frequency difference. The FT of this pattern has a Lorentzian absorption part which is offset from the carrier frequency by the appropriate amount.

Thus the detector receives a signal which is a complex wave form consisting of signals generated by each type of nucleus in the system and is a function of time (Fig. 1.5). Fourier transformation of this leads to a function of frequency the absorptive part of which is identical to the c.w. spectrum. In practice, it is usual to choose a carrier frequency to one side of the region where resonances are expected to be.

By multiplying the FID by an exponential of the form $\exp (k t)$ we can reduce noise at the expense of some artificial broadening of the lines ( $k<0$ ) or alternatively can enhance resolution by artificially narrowing the lines but with a reduction of signal to noise ( $k>0$ ) (1).

### 1.9 Proton Decoupling

Each carbon resonance in an organic molecule is spin-coupled not only to directly attached protons ( $J_{\mathrm{CH}}>125 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) but also to protons 2-4 bonds distant $(J<20 \mathrm{~Hz})$. These effects broaden carbon resonances and can result in overlap of multiplets. Wide-band proton decoupling allows simultaneous decoupling of all protons in a sample causing each multiplet to collapse to a singlet (in the absence of other magnetic nuclei). The sensitivity increase caused by the collapse of the multiplets to singlets is also enhanced by the Nuclear Overhauser effect.
1.10 Nuclear Overhauser effect

The Nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) is a direct result of the saturation of the proton nuclei in the decoupling experiment. The carbon nuclei react to the equilisation of proton energy level populations by changing their own energy level populations. This results in an equilibrium excess in the lower ${ }^{13}$ C energy level relative to that required for the Boltzmann distribution. This allows a bigger absorption of rf energy and hence an increase in peak heights. The enhancement may be up to 2.988 times the expected value but the amount of enhancement is rather unpredictable. This is a problem in conformational work where the enhancement can vary from conformation to conformation as well as from nucleus to nucleus.

## CHAPTER TWO

## CONFORNATIONAI ANAIYSIS OF SIX-IENEEFED RINGS

### 2.1 Introduction

The term "Conformation" was introduced by Haworth (2) to denote the different spatial arrangerents of the atoms in a classical organic structure (Configuration). The different arrangerents of the atcms are produced solely by rotation about bonds witrout any bonds being broken.

Conformational analysis (3) is the study of physical and cremical properties of a compound in terms of its preferred conformations.

It is well known that cyclohexane rapidly interconverts between two identical chair conformations. Each chair has six axial and six equatorial hydrogens. If one of these is replaced by a substituent, the conformers are no longer identical and there is a stability difference between the two. Repulsions between the 1, 3, 5 axial atoms cause the conformer with the axial substituent to be unstable with respect to the equatorial conformer to the extent that derivatives with large substituents can only exist in the equatorial form.

Cyclohexane derivatives have been studied extensively over the past fifteen years, partly because these molecules are freely available and partly because they form a basic unit of many natural products.

Surprisingly little work has been done on their tetrahydropyran (T.H.P.) analogues although a vast amount of work has been carried out on carbohydrates containing the T.H.P. ring.

Fig. 2.1 Interconversion between conformers.
(a) Cyclohexane derivatives.

(b) Tetrahydropyran derivatives.

axial
equatorial

Dr. R. Barrett (4) prepared and analysed two mono-halogenated T.H.P. derivatives. It is thought that the presence of an oxygen atom in the ring would have little effect on the energy difference between the two conformers, but this assumption was not endorsed by the results obtained. Part of the curyent work was to prepare the other mono-halogenated derivatives with the intention of explaining the anomalous result obtained for 4 - chloro T.H.P.

A wide veriety of conformational studies have been carried out using n.m.r. In some cases a variety of assumptions have been introduced into the calculations of the results which have eventually proved to have been unjustified. Another aspect of this work was to compare the validity of the methods used to calculate conformational stabilities of equatorial over axial conformers particularly those metrods made available by the introduction of Fourier transform ${ }^{13}$ C n.m.r. During the interconversion between the two chair forms it can be seen from Fig. 2.1 that each proton chances its environment from axial to equatorial or vice versa. At room temperature this interconversion is fast compared with the n.m.r. time scale and an average spectrum is recorded. As the temperature is reduced the spectrum broadens out then eventually sharpens up to give the spectra of both axial and equatorial conformers.

The n.m.r. parameters of the room temperature spectrum are a function of the conformer populations at that temperature and this is an important method of calculating these populations. Information can also be obtained from the line shapes of the spectra obtained at intermediate temperatures.

At present these methods can only be used on simple spectra and could not be used to study the molecules of interest here.

### 2.2 Nethods used to calculate the free energy values.

If $K$ is the constant for the equilibrium between the two conformations,

$$
E=x /(1-x)
$$

where x is the mole fraction of equatorial conformer, then the standard free energy difference, $\Delta G^{\circ}$, between the conformers at absolute temperature $T$ is given by

$$
\Delta G^{\circ}=-R T \operatorname{lnK}
$$

where $R$ is the gas constant.
The standard free energy difference is affected by

1) The size of the substituent (Van der Waal's repulsion).
2) The polarisability of the substituent (Iondon forces of attraction).
3) The length of the bond joining the ring carbon to the first atom of the substituent.
4) The nature of the solvent.

The standard free energy difference is derived from an experimental value for the equilibrium constant. This can be obtained using the following methods.
a) Peak areas

This method employs the use of a low temperature spectrum in which there is no averaging and the peaks are completely resolved. Under these conditions the peak areas are proportional to the population of conformer present (5).

The spectrum was traced on to paper which was cut out and weighed. The experiment was repeated a number of times and the results were found to be sufficiently consistent. The areas were also measured by counting the squares enclosed by the peak on the spectrum. This method is more time consuming but is of comparable accuracy. The use of automatic integrals plotted by the machine are not sufficiently accurate to be considered here.

The use of areas is limited by the following considerations

1) The assignment of each peak considered to its appropriate nucleus must be obvious.
2) The peak must not overlap another.
3) Low temperature measurements are required and the temperature range and the accuracy of the temperature calibration may impose further limitations.
4) The energy of activation for the interconversion must be sufficiently high for the resolved conformers to be measured in the temperature range available. 5) The sample must be liquid at this temperature. This normally requires the use of a solvent. Carbon disulphide was used as this was less likely to affect the equilibrium constant than polar solvents or those which might induce hydrogen bonding.
5) Rf power and sweep rate must be chosen to avoid differential saturation of one conformer. It has been shown (6) that the longer lifetime of the more stable isomer makes it more susceptable to saturation and the
peak areas associated with it may be reduced relative to that of the less stable isomer.
6) If the ${ }^{13}$ C spectra are proton decoupled there is the likelihood of Nuclear Overhauser enhancement affecting the peak areas. It may differentially affect different nuclei in one molecule or the same nucleus in the different conformers. There is evidence for this latter effect (7) in ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ spectra.
b) Chemical shifts.

Firstly the spectrum is analysed as fully as possible at room temperature and at low temperature. Because the environment of axial and equatorial protons are different these protons have different shifts and coupling constants. then the rate of inversion in $s^{-1}$ is appreciably greater than the ckemical shift difference in Hz the observed shift for each proton will be an averace of its shifts in the axial and equatorial environments (8) according to the formula

$$
\delta=x \delta_{e}+(1-x) \delta_{a}
$$

From this the equilibrium constant can be obtained

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{x}}{1-\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\delta-\delta_{\mathrm{a}}}{\delta e^{-\delta}}
$$

This method when applied to proton spectra assumes that the shifts of the conformers are temperature independent otherwise there is no way of knowing what the shifts are at room temperature. However, a method has been devised to derive shifts at room temperature. This requires the preparation of tertiary butyl derivatives which lock the compound into either the axial or equatorial conformation. The derivatives must be homogenpus and the derivative group must have no effect on the shifts.

However there is evidence that this latter assumption cannot be guaranteed.

Initial evidence that the $t$ - butyl group affects the shifts of the $\alpha$ - proton (9) was discounted because the author was unaware that the chemical shift of a proton was not necessarily at the geometric centre of a symmetrical signal (10). However, more recent evidence (11) clearly shows the t- butyl group effect on the shifts.

## c) Vicinal couplines constants.

This method is similar to the previous one in that the room temperature coupling constants are a function of the coupling constants of the individual conformers and the populations of the conformers. Again it is assumed that they are temperature independent, but trere are two additional problems. The difference in coupling constants is much smaller than the difference in shifts and second order effects mean that the coupling constants cannot be read directly from the spectrum so they must be obtained by computer analysis.

Evidence has been published that coupling constants are solvent dependent $(12,13)$ and temperature dependent (14, 15).

## d) Iine widths

For the $X$ portion of an $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} B^{\prime} X$ spin system the distance between the outer lines is independent of $\mathcal{V}_{A}-\mathcal{V}_{B}$ and equals $2 J_{A X}+2 J_{B X}$. If the $H_{X}$ resonance is an unresolved hump then an accurate value for this is not available but a rough value can be obtained from the width of the band at a quarter the maximum height of the band.

Because the axial - axial coupling constant is large compared with the other vicinal coupling constants it is possible by this means to assign the band with the greater width to the axial proton.

### 2.3 A review of n.m.r. studies

The first measurements were carried out on bromocyclohexane (8) by Eliel. He found that he could not reach a sufficientlylow temperature and so could not obtain separate spectra. He resorted to the use of locked conformers and recorded an equilibrium constant of $1.5 \pm 0.2$ equivalent to a standard free energy change of $240 \pm 90 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1000 \pm 380 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ at room temperature. This value was shown to increase in chloroform and $87 \%$ ethanol probably because of preferential hydrogen bonding between the equatorial bromine and the solvent.

Reeves and Stromme achieved spectral separation using carbon disulphide as solvent (16). They derived standard free energy values of $511 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(2138 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ for bromocyclohexane and $406 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(170 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ for chlorocyclohexane by measurement of the $\alpha$ proton areas and an activation energy of about $11000 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (46000 $J \operatorname{mol}{ }^{-1}$ ).
${ }^{19}$ F n.m.r. has been used to derive a value of 242 cal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1013 \mathrm{Jmol}^{-1}\right)$ for fluorocyclohexane. This paper (17) also pointed out the fact that the geometric centre of a peak may not necessarily be taken as the shift position.

A point of some importance overlooked in the paper is that there is an intrinsic temperature dependance in the 19 F chemical shift of the equatorial conformer.

The equilibrium constent calculated by areas around $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is 1.75 and at $-87.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is 1.96 . Their calculation using shifts at $29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is 1.29 . However by extrapolating the lowest values for the individual conformers to room temperature I calculated it to be 1.46. This corresponds. to a $\Delta G^{0}$ value of 229 cal mol ${ }^{-1}\left(958 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ compared with $154 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\left(644 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ for 1.29. By extrapolating the averaged shifts at high temperature down to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a value of 1.80 is obtained which is in good agreement with the value obtained by areas.

Unfortunately the earlier paper (16) on chloroand bromocyclohexane gives very little experimental data. The shifts for the bromocyclohexane conformers appear to be temperature independent. It is more difficult to judge the chlorocyclohexane results as only two low temperatures are quoted but the shifts change with temperature in each case despite their clain to the contrary. However, in this case, the experimental error probably justified the assumption but in the case of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ n.m.r. paper the trend seems to be much more apparent. If this correction is accepted their value of 1.05 e.u. ( $4.39 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ) for $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{0}$ may be reduced to about 0.1 e.u. ( $0.4 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ). Another example of the temperature effect on fluorine shifts is given in reference 7.
$\Delta S^{0}$ values for chlorocyclohexane (18) have been assessed as being between 0.25 and 0.49 e.u. (1.05 and $2.05 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ) depending on solvent and for bromocyclohexane between 0.40 and 0.51 e.u. ( 1.67 and $2.13 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ), but there is no evidence that these results are any more accurate than the previous one. Indeed, since no low temperature spectra were run the fact that the shifts are a function of temperature is unlikely to have been considered.

A fine paper by Jensen and Beck (11) showed by direct comparison that the shifts of the $\alpha$ - proton in the two conformers of some cyclohexane derivatives at low temperature do not correspond to their 4 - tertiary butyl equivalents. They were also able to show between $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ that the efiect of temperature on these shifts were the same and concluded that although it could not be proven that this was the case at higher temperatures, that it was a better method than that previously used whereby the room temperature 4 - tertiary butyl derivative shifts were assumed to be the same as the inaccessible shifts of the unlocked parent compounds.

Even the method of measuring peak areas is known to be fraught with hidden dangers (6). In cases where a definite conformational preference exists then the halflife of a molecule in the less stable conformation is shorter than that of a molecule in the more stable form. By the process of interconversion the molecules of the less stable conformer are replaced by unsaturated molecules faster than those of the more stable isomer;
therefore, the peaks which correspond to the less stable conformer will tend to saturate less readily than those of the more stable form causing the apparent equilibrium constant to decrease as power is increased. Current relaxation studies indicate that the differences in relaxation time between the conformers is small.

The paper also indicates that the $\Delta S^{\circ}$ values should be less than 1 e.u. ( $4 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ) for all the cyclohexyl halides.

The newest development in this field has been the availability of low temperature ${ }^{13}$ C F.T. n.m.r. (19, 20).

One paper (21) quotes $\Delta G^{\circ}$ values obtained from ${ }^{13} C$ spectra for cyclohexyl halides. These are similar to earlier values but are not as close as might be expected. No mention was made about the effect of temperature on the cherical shifts.

Generally, however, taking all the information available, we can deduce an order for the free energy differences between the conformers of the cyclohexyl halides such that
$\mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{I}>\mathrm{F}$
These differences can be rationalised in terms of internuclear distances, covalent radii and polarisabilities of the elkctron clouds. In a series of comparable atoms arranged in order of increasing radii, the contributions to steric interactions due to increased size and increased polarisability should be in opposite directions. These effects are roughly counterbalanced in the chloride, bromide and iodide derivatives.

Table 2.1 Literature determinations os. $\Delta C_{r}^{0}$ values. p.m.r. results unless otherwise stated.

Compour
$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}-$

Er
240
1004 FT locked
8

$$
\} 173^{\circ} \mathrm{K} ; \operatorname{cS}_{2}
$$

| F | 250 | 241 | 101+6 | 1008 | $180^{\circ} \mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{CS}_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | 513 | 478 | 214.6 | 2000 |  |  |
| Er | $1+80$ | 433 | 2008 | 1837 | $\}_{152} \mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{CS}_{2}$ | 24 |
| $I$ | 431 | 407 | 1803 | 1703 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Cl | 777 |  | 3251 |  | 7 |  |
| 3 r | 722 |  | 3021 |  | ${ }^{198^{\circ} \mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{CS}_{2}}$ | 25 |
| I | 692 |  | 2895 |  |  |  |


| F | 214 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F | 249 | 1021 |
| F |  | 1042 |
| F | $229 *$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 1142 |


| Cl | $359-4149$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cl | $371-486$ |
| Er | $393-4.21$ |
| Br | $441-472$ |

\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}1628-1874 \& 309^{\circ} \mathrm{K} <br>
1552-2033 \& 412^{\circ} \mathrm{K} <br>
1644-1761 \& 306^{\circ} \mathrm{K} <br>

1845-1975 \& 412^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\end{array}\right\}\)| various |
| :--- |
| locked. |

Table 2.1 (continued).


* :Ilowing for temserature dependence of shifts. See text.
** Allowing for temp. dependence of shifts of locked conformers.

The deformation of the electron cloud of flucine should occur with the most difficulty, but flurine is a small atom and the internuclear distances appear to be sufficiently great that steric interactions are small.

The replacement of a methylene group in cyclohexane by an - 0 - grouping gives the parent heterocyclic molecule, T.H.P. The shape is expected to be slightly different because the C - 0 bond length ( $1.42 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$ ) is somewhat smaller than the $C-C$ bond length $\left(1.52 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}\right)$. This causes an increase in some of the 1,3 diaxial nonbonded interactions. However it has been shown that the inversion rate of T.H.P. (22) is similar to that of cyclohexane (23) and the general trend for the free energy differences between the conformers of the 4 - halo tetrahydropyrans is expected to be the same. Consequently, the free energy difference values derived by Dr. Barrett (4) cannot be explained by the above considerations and evidence has been produced in the course of this work which indicates that the value for 4 - chloro T.H.P. is much lower.

A survey of the data obtained using n.m.r. is given in table 2.1.

### 2.4 Calculation of n.m.r. spectra

The program used for these calculations was
UEA Nr R BASIC, attributed to C.M. Woodman and R.K. Harris of the University of East Anglia. The program accepts an arbitary set of chemical shifts and coupling constants and generates an ordered table of frequencies and intensities of the lines expected in the n.m.r. spectrun.

Each line is given a line identification number, which is related to the energy level diagram.

The program automatically performs magnetic equivalence factoring, treating an $X_{3}$ group, for example, as occupying either a quartet state with spin $3 / 2$ or a doublet state with spin $1 / 2$, and multiplying the calculated intensities by the appropriate weighting factor.

If different types of nuclei are present, with large differences in resonance frequency, further factorisation is performed for each type of nucleus.

The spectrum of each type of spin state and of each type of nucleus is printed separately.

The progrem may also be used to calculate the spectra of molecules containine nuclei with spin greater than $1 / 2$.

The program can handle any seven spin - 1/2 system and certain larger systems with magnetic equivalence.

PIOTTING. In each case a Lorentzian line shape (cut off at ten half-widths) is assigned to each line, and the intensity at 1000 equally spaced points across the plotted region is calculated. These points are plotted as a continuous curve of frequency against intensity. The width of the grid on which each spectrum is plotted is 50 cm . The heights of the peaks can be increased or decreased at will. If the scaling factor is greater than 1.0 the largest peaks are truncated at 1.0 at the top of the plot.

The following amendments were introduced into the program during the course of this work.

The maximum height could be scaled to less than 1.0 (rather than $\geqslant 1.0$ as it was before). This was found useful for comparing spectra of compounds mixed together in different quantities, in this case axial and equatorial conformers.

The intensities were calculated for 1000 points and so if the plotting region is, for example, 1000 Hz the plotting interval is 1 Hz . Consequently the maximum intensities derived from these values are only accurate to the nearest 1 Hz . A facility was introduced whereby the intensities were recalculated at 0.1 Hz intervals for 1 Hz on either side of these maxima so that the new maxima were accurate to the nearest 0.1 Hz .

In order to save paper it is possible to plot spectra one half the normal size.

INPUT

Card 1 NC, NN, NWANT, NISO, NAIE
FORMAT (4I3,10A6)
NC - arbitary case number.
NN - number of nuclei, or magnetically equivalent groups of nuclei. NN $\leqslant 7$
NNANT - number of ISO values for which calculated spectrum is required.

NISO - number of different ISO values (not greater than 4)

NAME - arbitary title or compound name.

Card 2 FR1, FR2, AMIN FORIMAT(3F 10.0)

FR1 - lower limit of frequency range
FR2 - upper limit of frequency range
ARIN - minimum intensity of lines for which
a print-out is required.

Card 3 ISO(I), $I=1,7, I(I), I=1,7, \operatorname{kHS}(I)=1,7$
FORNAT(21I1)
Each nucleus is given an ISO value. Nuclei
with small chemical shift differences relative to their coupling constants are given the same ISO value.
$I(I)$ is the maximum multiplicity ( $2 I+1$ ) for each group.

PHS(I) is used for nuclei with spin greater than 1/2.

Card $4 W(I), I=1$, NN FORMAT (7F10.0)
$W(I)$ is the chemical shift of the $I^{\text {th }}$ nucleus or group, in Hz .

Card 5 to ( $4+\mathrm{NN}$ )
FORMAT(7F10.0)
These cards contain coupling constants in Hz as follows,

Card $5 \quad J(1,2), J(1,3) \ldots J(1, N N)$
Card $6 J(2,3), J(2,4) \ldots J(2, N N)$ etc.
Card $5+N N 1$ in first column

PIOTTING INPUT

Card $1 \quad 1$ in first column for full size plot or 0 for half size

Card 2 NC, NISO, TITIE
FORTAT (2I3,10A6)
NC - arbitary case number
NISO - Iso value to be plotted
TITIE- arbitary title which apnears on the plot 9 in column 80 recalculates maximum intensities as described in the previous section.

Card 3 W, FR1, FR2, SCUP
FORTAT (4F10.0)
W - full width at half height in Hz
FR1 - lower limit of frequency range to be plotted

FR2 - upper limit of frequency range to be plotted

SCUP - scaling of maximum intensity

Further plots can be obtained by further pairs of cards (Card 2 and Card 3) and two blank cards terminate the program.

### 2.5 Methods of preparation

2-butene - 1-ol
3 - butene - 1 - ol was prepared by selective reduction of vinyl acetic acid (3 - butenoic acid) (26).

Iithium aluminium hydride ( $105 \mathrm{~g}, 2.76 \mathrm{~mole}$ ) was placed in a five litre three - necked flask equipped with reflux condenser, dropping funnel and mechanical stirrer. Calcium chloride tubes were connected to the condenser and dropping funnel to protect the apparatus from atmospheric moisture.

Sodium - dried diethyl ether (1400ml) was added to the flask, which was ice - cooled. A solution of vinyl acetic acid (Koch - Iight) ( $232 \mathrm{~g}, 2.70 \mathrm{~mole}$ ) in ether ( 800 ml ) was added via the dropping funnel at such a rate as to produce a steady reflux. On completion, water was cautiously added to decompose excess hydride. The mixture was neutralised by the addition of 3160 ml of $10 \%$ sulphuric acid with further cooling and the product was extracted with ether. The extracts were dried with anhydrous magnesium sulphate. A crude product was obtained on rotary evaporation which was distilled under vacuum to give 3 - butene - 1 - ol (b.pt. $113^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 760 mm ), yield $60 \%$ )

## Tetrehydropyran - 4-01

T.H.P. - 4 - ol was prepared by a Prin's cyclisation outlined by Hanschke (27).

3 - butene - 1 - ol ( $268 \mathrm{~g}, 3.72 \mathrm{~mole}$ ) and 360 ml of a freshly prepared saturated paraformaldehyde solution (prepared by refluxing paraformaldehyde and distilled water overnight) were added to a five litre three - necked flask equipped with a condenser, dropping funnel and mechanical stirrer. The flask was heated on an oil bath to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Concentrated sulphuric acid (54ml) was added, slowly, to reduce the amount of charring as much as possible. The mixture was heated for a further four hours and then neutralised with $2 N$ sodium hydroxide solution. The product was extracted with ether and the extracts dried over anhydrous magnesium sulphate.

After rotary evaporation the crude product was distilled under vacuum. The first fraction was 3-butene - 1 - ol which could be used again. The second fraction was tetrahydropyran - 4-ol (b.pt. $86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 11 mm ), $n_{D}^{20}$ 1.4606).

## Tosyl derivative of T.H.P. - 4-01

Tetrahydropyran - 4 - ol ( $17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mole}$ ) was dissolved in 150 ml dry pyridine, which had been dried by distilling from sodium hydroxide pellets. The solution was cooled in an ice - salt bath to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Tosyl chloride ( 34 g ) was slowly added, the mixture being swirled until each addition had dissolved. The flask was left overnight in a refriderator, during which time crystals had formed showing that the reaction was complete. A small amount of water ( 1 ml ) was added with shaking and the mixture was poured into 150 ml water. The product forms as crystals which are filtered off and the filtrate is extracted with chloroform, which when rotary evaporated to dryness gives another yield of product. The crystals are recrystallised to constant melting point $\left(54.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in ethanol.

Purification of $p$ - toluenesulphonyl chloride (28)

Tosyl chloride (200g, Koch - Iight) was dissolved in the minimum amount of dry chloroform (about 500 ml ) and the resulting solution diluted with five volumes of petroleum ether $\left(40-60^{\circ}\right.$ ). The impurities were filtered off and the filtrate treated with activated charcoal, concentrated on a steam bath to about 800 ml which was then rotary evaporated to dryness to give pure p - toluenesulphonyl chloride (m.pt. $67.5-68.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Tetra - $n$ - butylammonium fluoride
A $40 \%$ aqueous hydrogen fluoride solution (3-4ml) was placed in a polythene beaker and was titrated with 40\% aqueous tetra - n - butylammonium hydroxide solution to $\mathrm{pH} 6-7$ using pH indicator paper. The mixture was diluted to three times its volume with distilled water and then cooled in ice. Tetra - $n$ - butylammonium flouride crystals were deposited which were filtered on a water fump, washed with a small volume of cold water, air dried, then transferred to a round - bottomed flask. The crystals were melted $\left(40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator. This temperature was maintained until no more water would evaporate from the solution which was then poured into a crystallising dish. This was placed in a vacuum desiccator over phosphorus pentoxide. It was necessary to break up the solid which formed to allow as much water to be removed as possible. After a few days the drying is complete and the product is extrerely hygrosocpic.

Concentration of the mother liquors from the first filtration to about one third of the original volume followed by cooling will yield a further crop of crystals.

## 4 - fluoro tetrahydropyran

Several attempts were made to prepare this previously unreported compound but it was not possible to isolate it.

The tosylate of T.H.P. - 4-ol ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04$ mole) was dissolved in 100 ml pure dry acetonitrile. A slight excess of tetra - $n$ - butylammonium fluoride ( $15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06$ mole), weighed under dry conditions, was quickly added to the mixture, which was refluxed for three hours.

After leaving overnight the solution was distilled under vacuum leaving a treacle coloured compound as residue. G.I.C. and M.S. analysis indicated three components having molecular weights of 104,84 , and 41 , corresponding to 4 - fluoro tetrahydropyran, 2, 3 - dihydro - $\alpha$ - pyran, and acetonitrile, there being less than $5 \%$ of the fluoride.

Various attempts at fractional distillation were carried out but the compound only distilled over in the presence of solvent and was clearly losing hydrogen fluoride to form 2, 3 - dihydro - $\alpha$ - pyran. Dimethylformamide and propionitrile were also used as solvent, the mixture being heated to about $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in each case. Again it was not possible to separate the compound.

## 4 - chloro tetrahydropyran

3 - butene - 1 - ol ( $50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.71$ mole) was placed in a 500 ml three - necked flask equipped with a condenser, mechanical stirrer and bleed together with 100 ml saturated formaldehyde solution in the presence of excess paraformaldehyde powder (4). Hydrogen chloride from a small lecture bottle was bubbled at a steady rate through the contents of the flask, cooled in an ice - bath, until the solution was saturated (i.e. all the paraformaldehyde had dissolved), care being taken to avoid sudden suckback. The flask was then surrounded by ice, the solution neutralised with aqueous sodium hydroxide, then extracted with diethyl ether. The extracts wer dried with anhydrous magnesium sulphate.

The ether was removed by rotary evaporation to give a crude product which was distilled to give 4 - chloro tetrahydropyran (b.pt. $42^{\circ}$ at 12 mm of Hg ).

## 4-iodo tetrehydropyran

Tetrahydropyran - 4 - ol ( $6.56 \mathrm{~g}, 0.064$ mole) was placed in a 100 ml flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, condenser and thermometer, together with $88 \%$ orthophosphoric acid $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ and potassium iodide $(36 \mathrm{~g})(29,30)$. The mixture was stirred and heated on an oil bath at $110-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $4-5$ hours. The stirred mixture was allowed to cool and 16 ml of water and 30 ml of ether were added. The ether layer was separated, shaken with 50 ml of $10 \%$ sodium thiosulphate solution, washed with 22 ml of cold saturated sodium chloride solution and dried with 6 g anhydrous sodium sulphate. The ether was removed by rotary evaporation, and the product, purified by vacuum distillation, was stored by refrifgeration.

This compound was previously unreported but gave mass spectrometric and n.m.r. results consistant with 4 - iodo tetrahydropyran.

## Cyclohexyliodide

This compound was prepared using the same method as for 4 - iodo tetrahydropyran. The preparation was carried out on a larger scale, however, as the starting material, cyclohexanol, was freely available.

### 2.6 Results obtained by n.m.r.

a) Proton mapnetic resonance

Calculations were originally carried out on 4 - iodo
T.H.P. and the standard free energy difference value obtained was similar to that of cyclohexyl iodide. As it had already been shown that the values for cyclohexyl bromide and 4 - bromo T.H.P. were similar further data were collected to check the unexpected value obtained for 4 - chloro T.H.P. This new calculation yielded a much lover value wrich was more in keeping with the value for cycloheyyl chloride.

An attempt was mace to derive all the coupling constants and cremical shifts for each conformer and for the average spectrum. Expected values for these parameters were fed into the computer program previously described and the calculated spectrum obtained was compared with the experimental spectrum. Initial assignnents were rade on the basis of expected chemical shifts, expected coupling constants and integrated intensities.

In order to carry out the n.m.r. calculations it was necessary to introduce one approximation. The program used was only capable of handing seven types of nucleus. Because there are nine protons in each of the molecules considered it was necessary to divide the molecule about its plane of symmetry and to consider the protons in only one half. These are labelled 1-5 in Fig. 2.1. This is a good approxiration as long rance couplings are unlikely to be apparent in the spectrum. However it does introduce two artifacts into the computed spectrum of the proton attached to the substituted carbon.

Firstly its intensity is double what it should be and secondly the splittings caused by the protons on the adjacent carbon in the half not considered are not computed.

A long series of calculations were carried out, gradually changing the parameters to get the best fit. Naturally it was found most difficult to compute spectra when chemical shifts differences were small because of the lack of information obtainable from these parts of the experimental spectra, and likewise in the low temperature spectra where there were overlapping resonances.

Generally, however, it would be fair to say that the calculated stifts are sufficiently accurate for calculating standard free energy differences except when there are two shifts very close together to the extent that second order effects are dominant.

Further problems arise when estimating coupling constants. Tre coupling constants are small and are thus more susceptible to recording errors. In the absence of second order effects the separation between the outside lines of a resonance equals the sum of the coupling constants. This separation can be reasonably accurately measured but there can be slight differences in the individual couplincs which still give the same sum. For example, the sum of $2.8,3.4$ and 7.8 or $3.0,3.1$, and 7.9 is 14.0 in both cases. This error is reduced if confirmation of these coupling constants appear elsewhere in the spectrum.

Table 2.fa 4-iodo T.H.P. Date for calculated spectra in $H z$. AYERAGE

|  |  | Vucleus | 1 |  | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | MHz | $\operatorname{shift}$ | 953.8 |  | 462.0 | 454 |  | 815.1 | 746.9 |
| 100 | K11 z | $\operatorname{shift}$ | 433.4 |  | 210.0 | 206 |  | 370.1 | 339.6 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{12}$ |  | $J_{13}$ | 6.4 | $J_{14}$ | 0.0 | $J_{15}$ | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }^{2} 3$ | 0 | $J_{24}$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $J_{25}$ | 5.9 |  |  |
|  |  | $J_{34}$ |  | $J_{35}$ | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{45}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Qquatorial

|  |  | Sucl | us |  |  | 2 |  | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | Mr | shift | 912.2 |  |  | 490.0 | 475.0 |  | 814. |  | 719.0 |
| 100 | $\because \mathrm{Bz}$ | shift | 413.8 |  |  | 224.5 | 217.2 |  | 370. |  | 326.3 |
|  |  | $J_{12}$ | 3. |  | $J_{13}$ | 7.3 | $J_{14}$ | 0.0 | $J_{15} \quad 0.0$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{23}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{24}$ | 3.4 | $\mathrm{J}_{25} \quad 8.6$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{34}$ | 3. |  | $\mathrm{J}_{35}$ | 4.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{45}$ | -12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

> AXIAL

|  |  | こucl | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | Br z | shift | 1044.8 | 403.5 | 411.5 | 810.0 | 802.0 |
| 100 | Y:z | shift | 473.6 | 185.5 | 190.0 | 368.8 | 366.8 |

$$
\begin{array}{lcllllll}
\mathrm{J}_{12} & 3.0 & \mathrm{~J}_{13} & 3.0 & \mathrm{~J}_{14} & 0.0 & \mathrm{~J}_{15} & 0.0 \\
\mathrm{~J}_{23}-12.0 & \mathrm{~J}_{24} & 3.0 & \mathrm{~J}_{25} & 3.0 & & \\
\mathrm{~J}_{34} & 7.1 & \mathrm{~J}_{35} & 3.0 & & & & \\
\mathrm{~J}_{45}-11.8 & & & & & &
\end{array}
$$

Table 2.2c 4-chloro T. $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}$. Data for calculated spectra.

AV URAGE

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Rucleus } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5
\end{array}
$$

| 220 MEz shift | 897.9 | 396.7 | 446.8 | 849.5 | 749.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 Maz shift | 406.7 | 180.3 | 203.1 | 386.1 | 340.7 |

$$
\begin{array}{lcllllll}
\mathrm{J}_{12} & 3.6 & \mathrm{~J}_{13} & 4.2 & \mathrm{~J}_{14} & 0.0 & \mathrm{~J}_{15} & 0.0 \\
\mathrm{~J}_{23}-12.7 & \mathrm{~J}_{24} & 4.5 & \mathrm{~J}_{25} & 8.4 & & \\
\mathrm{~J}_{34} & 4.5 & \mathrm{~J}_{35} & 3.0 & & & & \\
\mathrm{~J}_{45}-11.8 & & & & & &
\end{array}
$$

Table 2.2d 4-chloro T.H.P. Data for calculated spectra.

## EQUATORIAL

:ucleus l
2
3
4
5

| 220 Miz shift | 854.7 | 397.6 | 441.9 | 851.0 | 718.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 MHz shift | 339.4 | 180.7 | 200.9 | 387.4 | 324.3 |

$$
\begin{array}{lcllllll}
J_{12} & 11.6 & J_{13} & 4.9 & J_{14} & 0.0 & J_{15} & 0.0 \\
J_{23} & -12.6 & J_{24} & 4.3 & J_{25} & 11.8 & & \\
J_{34} & 1.5 & J_{35} & 3.0 & & & & \\
J_{45}-11.6 & & & & & &
\end{array}
$$

AXIAL

|  |  | Lucl |  | ] |  | 2 |  |  | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | 3112 | shift |  | 088.6 |  | 383.5 | 46 |  | 808.0 | 798.0 |
| 100 | Maz | shift |  | 450.1 |  | 174.3 | 21 |  | 357.0 | 361.5 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{12}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{13}$ | 2.8 | $\mathrm{J}_{14}$ | 0.0 | $\mathrm{J}_{15}$ | 0.0 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{23}$ | -12 | . 8 | $\mathrm{J}_{24}$ | 4.9 | $\mathrm{J}_{25}$ | 2.2 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{34}$ | 7. |  | $\mathrm{J}_{35}$ | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{J}_{45}$ | -12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The fact that the resonance of proton 1 is not calculated is not a serious disadvantage because the shifts are generally well clear of the remainder of the spectrum and the splittings are due to only two types of coupling constant. This is the region of the spectrum which is normally used for this type of calculation.

The values of coupling constants where good agreement was obtained were accurate to within $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$. A larger error in the chemical shifts is acceptable because of the larger differences involved but these were considered accurate within $\pm 1 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

Tarle 2.2 shows the data used to produce the best fit between calculated and experimental spectra. Although 220 NHz and 100 MHz spectra were calculated the coupling constants were found to be the same for both and are consequently only detailed once.

Corparison between individual calculated spectra and treir experimental equivalents.

The numbers 1-5 in this section refer to the proton labels already discussed.

```
4-10do T.H.P. 220 MHz (Fig. 2.2)
```

Averace (Fig. 2.3)

The shifts and coupling constants for protons 1, 4 and 5 give good agreement, but overlap of the resonances of protons 2 and 3 means that little information can be obtained from this region.

Fig. 2.2 . 220 LH z spectrum of 4 -iodo tetrahydropyran in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$.

Fif. 2.3.

Fig. 2.4 .



Fig. 2.6. 100 :ez spectrun of 4 - iodo T.H.F. at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-00^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Fig. 2.7.

Fig. 2.8.



Eruatorial (Fif. 2.4)
The shifts for 1, 4 and 5 are good. The coupling constants for 1 are good and those for 4 and 5 are close but not exact. feain it was not possible to analyse in the recion of 2 and 3.

## Arial (Fir. 2.5)

Although the resonances of 4 and 5 are hidden the use of integration indicates they are in the region of the fourth equatorial proton. The disproportionate areas of this resonance corpared with the computed spectrum indicates that the two axial resonances lie under the upfield fortion of the resonance. Although resonances 2 and 3, and 4 and 5 are close the shifts are reasonably sccurcite end toth erift and coupling constant values for 1 are accurate.

For this set of spectra the values which are accurately known for all three, axial, equatorial and avera-e, are tre shifts for 1,4 and 5 and the coupling constants for 1.

## 4-10तु T.H.P. 100 MHz (Fir. 2.6)

Averere (Fir. 2.7)
A very good fit was obtained with this spectrum even in the region of protons 2 and 3. All shifts and coupling constants, with the possible exception of $J_{23}$, are accurate. Because of the srall shifts difference between 2 and 3, $\mathrm{J}_{23}$ is not manifest anywhere in the spectrum, but the remainder were established elsewhere.

Equatorial (Fir. 2.8)
As in thic case of the 220 NHz spectra the shifts of 1, 4 and 5 and the coupling constants of 1 are good and the couplire constants for 4 and 5 are close but not exact. Axial (Fif. 2.9)

Again we can accurately measure the coupling constants and shift of 1 and the shifts of 4 and 5 are sufficiently well known to te used in the calcuations.

A-chloro T.H.F. 220 IFz spectra (Fie. 2.10)
These spectra were recorded and compared with the 100 lHz sfectra produced by Dr. Darrett in order to yield the results cbtained here.
furrae (Fte. 2.11)
In thise case 2 and 3 are separated and it is possible to obtain reasorable values for all the constants. However, under hich resolution, it is possible to detect a swall edeitional splitting of about 1 Ez . This could te caused ty long rance coupling trrough four bonds in one of the conformers. Iong ranee coupling of this type is most likely to occur when the protons are both equatorially disposed and therefore in the axially substituted conformer. Unfortunately it was not possible to analyse the axial spectrum sufficiently accurately in tris region to say whether this was true or not.

Fig. ?.10. 220 2Hz spectrum of $4-$ chloro tetrahydropyran in $\operatorname{CS}_{2}$.
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Fig. 2.14.
Fig. 2.15.


Fig. 2.16.


## Equatorial (Fig. 2.12)

Proton 1 is partially obscured by proton 4 but the splittings which are visible together with the total width of the resonance allows a reasonably accurate estimate to be made. All the shifts are accurate and the coupling constants give a moderately good fit. The results are consistent with the spectrum obtained at 100 MHz . Axial (Fif. 2.13)

The resonances of 2 and 3 are both partially obscured by the equatorial conformer and the resonances of 4 and 5 interact with each other. The shift and coupling constants concerning proton 1 are well established and the remaining shifts are reasonably well established. The above values were used in the calculation of conformational populations.

Figures 2.14-2.16 show the computed 100 MHz spectra.

## Standard free energy difference values derived from chemical shifts and coupling constant values.

4 - chloro T.H.P.
If an average of all the calculations using shifts and coupling constants shown in table 2.3 is taken the value for the mole fraction of the equatorial conformer is found to be 0.769 giving a standard free energy difference value of $721.4 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(3018 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.

A more realistic value may be obtained by averaging the values obtained from the chemical shifts and coupling constants for proton 1.


The mole fraction of the equatorial conformer is found to be 0.680 which is equivalent to a free energy difference value of $451.2 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1888 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$. This result is similar to the values obtained by the use of areas which are described later.

## 4-iodoT.H.P.

With the exception of the value derived from the coupling constants $J_{13}$ the values are fairly consistent. Their average is 0.670 which gives a standard free energy difference value of $424.3 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1760 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.

Clearly a certain amount of inaccuracy must arise in the methods just described. No account is taken of the possible dependence of the shifts and coupling constants on temperature simply because it is not possible to determine this. The proton evidence examined here gives no indication of such a dependence nor does it rule one out. Another approach was to use ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ proton decoupled spectra which are much more straightforward to interpret.

It was also possible to integrate the areas of the proton peaks. Although it is usual to measure the areas of the pears attributed to the methine proton in the two conformers this was not found possible in the cases considered here. However the problem was solved by integrating the axial peak, which was always isolated and comparing it with the combined area attributed to the equatorial proton and to protons 4 and 5 in both conformers or by drawing in a background where slight overlap occurs.

Standard free energy difference values derived using peak areas.

The spectra were recorded at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
4-iodo T.H.P.
220 MHz spectrum. The areas of the peaks corresponding to proton 1 in the two conformers were measured and compared to give a standard free energy difference value of 303.1 cal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1268 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.

100 MHz spectrum. Although proton 1 in the equatorial conformer was not completely isolated it was sufficiently clear for a background to be estimated. The good accuracy of the method was indicated by the fact that the left ralf of the band was a good approximation to half of the total band. An average of these gave a value of $\Delta G^{\circ}=$ $395.0 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1653 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.

4 - chloro T.H.F.
220 MHz sfectrum. The area of proton 1 in the axial conformer was compared with the combined areas of protons 4,5 and equatorial proton 1 to give the value $\Delta G^{0}=$ $355.4 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1487 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.

100 Hz spectrum. The same method was used to give a value of $\Delta G^{0}=413.0 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1728 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.
b) 13 Carbon nuclear masnetic resonance.

Initial ${ }^{13}$ C variable temperature studies on 4 - chloro T.H.P. showed clearly a temperature dependence of the chemical sfifts to the extent that the room temperature shift of the single carbon was outside the region in which the individual peals were found at low temperature.

Fig. 2.17. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of 4 - chloro T.H.P. at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Table 2.4. Effect of temperature on chemical shift in the ${ }^{13}$ C spectrum of $4-c h l o r o ~ T . H . P . ~$ Shifts in Hz relative to T. $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{S}$.


|  | eq | ax | ax | eq | eq | $a x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -70 |  | 1230.0 | 1113.9 |  | 747.3 | 674.7 |
| -80 | 1337.8 | 1230.6 | 1126.2 | 1114.6 | 746.7 | 673.6 |
| -90 | 1337.9 | 1230.6 | 1127.7 | 1115.0 | 746.4 | 673.1 |

This required only a small temperature dependence because of the relatively small shift differences of this carbon in the two conformers but the rest of the spectrum showed similar effects.

Fig. 2.17 shows typical spectra at both ends of the temperature scale, the out of phase peak around 900 Hz beinc attributed to carbon disulphide. Table 2.4 lists chemical shift values, broadenine occuring in the regions where no values are quoted.

Table 2. 5. Effect of temperature on chemical shift in the ${ }^{13}$ C spectrum of tetrahydropyran.

| Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Carbons $4 \& 5$ | Carbons 2\& 3 |  | Carbon 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1368.2 |  | 543.7 | 483.0 |
| -50 | 1364.0 | 540.7 | 481.0 |  |
| -70 | 1362.1 | 539.7 | 480.1 |  |

The evidence of this effect means that an attempt must be made to compensate for this effect. There are two alternatives; extrapolation of the shifts of the conformers from low to room temperature or extrapolating the averaged shift down to low temperature. Both methods present problems. Firstly a reasonable temperature range must be available in which the spectrum exhibits shifts of the individual isomers and secondly we must consider the possibility that the temperature dependence of shift may not necessarily be linear. This latter problem arises in the case of T.H.P. (Table 2.5).


Fig. 2.19. Labelling of carbon atoms.
(a) Cyclohexyl derivatives

(b) Tetrahydropyran derivatives

axial

equatorial

Table 2.6. Calculations of $\Delta G^{\circ}$ values for $4-c h l o r o$ T. H. P.
a) Carbons 4 and 5

| Method | Temp. | $\delta_{\text {eq }}$ | $\delta_{\text {av }}$ | $\delta_{a x}$ | $\Delta G_{\text {cal mol }}{ }^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 313 | 1337.9 | 1308.4 | 1230.6 | 607.2 |
| 2 | 313 | 1336.6 | 1308.4 | 1228.0 | 655.8 |
| 3 | 183 | 1337.9 | 1303.2 | 1230.6 | 271.6 |
| 4 | 183 | 1337.9 | 1305.2 | 1230.6 | 278.2 |

b) Carbons 2 and 3

| Method | Temp. | $\delta_{\text {eq }}$ | $\delta_{a v}$ | $\delta_{a x}$ | $\Delta G^{0}$ cal mol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 313 | 746.4 | 733.7 | 673.1 | 978.0 |
| 2 | 313 | 754.8 | 733.7 | 683.5 | 542.8 |
| 3 | 183 | 746.4 | 720.0 | 673.1 | 210.3 |
| 4 | 183 | 746.4 | 720.6 | 673.1 | 223.2 |

Rethods.
1 - $N o$ allowance for effect of temperature on shifts.
2 - Extrapolation of low temperature shifts.
3 - Extrapolation of averaged shift.
4 - As 3 but allowing for effect of change in populations.

In the case of the other molecules a lower temperature would be a great help, especially in the case of cyclohexyl chloride where even at the lowest temperature some lines are still broad.

When extrapolating the averaged shift it is necessary to remember its dependence on conformer population. Fig. 2.18 indicates this effect in the absence of intrinsic shifts for a standard free energy difference value of $500 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(2092 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$. Clearly an idea of the size of the standard free energy difference must be assumed before this correction can be aprlied. The schere of numbering the carbon atoms for this section is given in Fig. 2.19.

Despite the fact that evidence is limited it is possible to draw certain conclusions from the results obtained. In the case of 4 - chloro T.H.P. (Table 2.6) it is clear that allowance must be made for the effect of temperature on chemical shift but the effect of population on the extrapolation of the averaged spectrum is small when the free energy difference is about 5 CO cal mol ${ }^{-1}\left(2092 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}\right)$ or less. The wide difference in results obtained by the different methods of extrapolation are caused by the inaccuracy in the shift data caused particularly by the effect of noise and also by the narrow range of temperature from which extrapolation must be made.

In the case of cyclohexyl chloride particularly there are two factors working in opposition.

Table 2.7. Standard free energy difference values derived by areas for 4 - chloro T.H.P. at 193 K.

Overhauser not suppressed

| Carbon | $\Delta G^{0}$ cal mol |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,5 | 332.6 | $\Delta G^{\circ}{ }_{\text {Jmol }}{ }^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 319.7 | 1392 |
| 2,3 | 387.9 | 1338 |
| 4 | 1623 |  |

Overhauser suppressed

| Carbon | $\Delta G^{0}$ cal mol |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,5 | 353.1 | $\Delta G^{0} \mathrm{Jmol}$ |
| 1 | 362.6 | 1477 |
| 2,3 | 393.8 | 1517 |

EiE. 2.20 Spin - lattice relavation of 4 - chioro tetrahydropjran.




If the shift difference is small, we have a wide range of temperature over which the averaged shift can be measured but the small difference in shift at low temperature enhances the inaccuracy of measurement. If on the other hand the shift difference is large there is only a small temperature range over which the averaged shift can be reasured, the upper temperature being limited by the vapour pressure of carbon disulphide.

As has already been mentioned the Nuclear Overhauser effect caused by proton decoupling may be a function of the position of the carbon in the molecule or of the conformer or of both. The measurements on 4 - chloro T.H.P. indicated that areas at room temperature suffered differential enhancement but at low temperature this appeared to be independent of conformer and consistent standard free enerey values were obtained for each pair (Table 2.7). An attempt was made to assess the degree of enhancement by suppressing the Overhauser effect. This made little difference and the consistency of the standard free energy differences was not greatly affected. This differential effect could have been due to different rates of relaxation for the different carbons. A set of relaxation studies were carried out at low temperature (Fig. 2.20) which lead to the conclusion that the relaxation rates of all the carbons in both isomers had rouchly the same relaxation times.

In the case of cyclohexyl chloride, the standard free energy difference between the conformers has been determined a number of times and this is a good compound to use in order to study the accuracy of the technique.
$\stackrel{N}{2}$
-8
-8
Fig. 2.21. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of T. F.F. in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ at 20 C .
$-\mathscr{E}^{\circ}$
1200

Table 2.8. Effect of temperature on chemical shift in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of cyclonexyl chloride.


Eracketed valuesinoicate uncertainty due to broadening. Chemical shifts in Hz.

Fig 2.23. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ srectrum of chlom cyclohexane in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ at -95 C .


Table 2.9 Calcuation of $\Delta G^{0}$ values for cyclohexyl chloride.

Standard free energy value

| Carbon(s) | Cal mol |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | Jmol $^{-1}$ |
| 2 | 842.5 | - |
| 3 | 834.2 | 3525 |
| 4 | 1330.2 | 3490 |
|  |  | 5566 |

Unfortunately it was not possible to reach a low enoligh temperature for the bands to be no longer broad. However the shift values at the lowest temperature obtainable were used to calculate free energy difference values. The cherical stifts are given in Table 2.8 the standard free energy differences are given in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{ble} 2.9$ and spectra of cyclohexyl chloride as shown in Figures 2.22 and 2.23. No attempt was made to assess the intrinsic effect of temperature on the shifts and the inaccuracy of the results suggests that a temperature dependence does exist. Further evidence is given by the fact that the averaged shift of Carbon 1 is outside the range of the low temperature shifts.

Despite the incompleteness of the data certain conclusions can still be drawn.

The tetrahydropyran derivatives so far studied have standard free energy difference values similar to those of their cyclohexyl analogues.

In the cases studied the ${ }^{13}$ C chemical shifts studied are all temperature dependent. In the case of tetrahydropyran, this dependence is not linear with respect to temperature. Although the dependence is relatively small it significantly affects the calculation cf standard free energy differences. Further data are required at lower temperatures using a greater number of transients to improve the signal to noise before accurate assessment of the temperature dependence can be made.

In the absence of overlap or second order effects it is possible to calculate the proton shifts and coupling constants to good accuracy. The standard free energy difference values calculated using these were less accurate but the possibility of this being caused by temperature dependence could not be confirmed or denied.

### 2.7 Conformational anaylsis by vibrational spectroscopy

It is possible to perform conformational anaylsis using variable temperature vibrational analysis. In this case the spectra of both conformers are observed throughout the temperature range but the intensities vary with concentration.

Firstly, it is necessary to compute expected frequencies usine a reasonably accurate force field. It is usual to study the $C-X$ stretch and some earlier work has used an empirical approach to assign these bands. Because of this there are papers in the literature with conflicting assignrents. For example Larnaudie (31) and Chiurdoglu and Reisse (32) both assign the equatorial C-I stretch in cyclohexyl iodide as the band at $654 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ and the axial C-I stretch at $638 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. However, a comprehensive solvent and temperature study (33) has shown that the band at $652 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is in fact the overlap of a band from each isomer, the bends showing a variable intensity relationship at different temperatures and in different solvents. A more recent paper (34) claims that the 639 and $655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ bands can "safely" be assigned to the C-I stretching vibrations because the band at $639 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ persists in the solid but makes no reference to the previous paper.

The equatorial C-Y stretch, where $Y$ is any substituent is generally at a higher frequency than the corresponding axial stretch. It has been surgested (35) that the reason for this consistent difference is that when the C-Y bond is stretched there is a small restoring force acting on the carbon when $Y$ is axial and the vibration is essentially
perpendicular to the plane of the ring but when $Y$ is equatorial the motion of the carbon forces a ring expansion, the restoring force is greater and the frequency therefore higher.

Once the assignments are considered to be correct the optical densities of the bands are recorded at various temperatures. Because of the small energy difference between the conformers, the optical densities must be measured accurately over a wide temperature range, preferably allowing for the fact that the cell itself radiates as a function of temperature.

The optical density equals the path length of the cell multiplied by the concentration of the isomer and the extinction coefficient.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \left(I_{o} / I\right)=0 . D_{\cdot}=E \times C \times I \\
& \frac{O . D \cdot e}{O . D \cdot a}=\frac{E_{e}}{E_{a}} \times \frac{C_{e}}{C_{a}}=\alpha \mathrm{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to find the standard free energy difference it is necessary to know the extinction coefficients of the bands in each isomer. This can be achieved by measuring the coefficients of locked conformer derivatives and assuming they are the same as in the original compounds.

However it is possible to obtain a $\triangle E$ value by observing the chance of $\alpha K$ with temperature (36).

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \ln \propto \mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{dT}} \quad=\quad \frac{\Delta \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{RT}^{2}}
$$

Stretch constants in $: \mathrm{m}^{-1}$.
Stretch - bend interaction constants in $N \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ rad ${ }^{-1}$ Bending constants in $N m^{-1}$ rad ${ }^{-2}$

Force corstant $\quad$ Group | Atoms comion |
| :---: |
| to interacting |
| co-ordinates |$\quad$ Value

STRETCT

| $f(\mathrm{CH})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ | - | 462.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{CH})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ | - | 455.4 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{CO})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-0$ | - | 509.0 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{CO})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | - | 426.1 |

## STPGTAB-STPETCU

| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{CO})$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Si} \mathrm{S}^{-0}$ | C | -4.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{C}!1 / 0 i \mathrm{i})$ | $\mathrm{C-CH} 2^{-\mathrm{C}}$ | c | 0.6 |
| $f(c 0 /=0)$ | C-O-C | 0 | 28.8 |
| $f(c) / C 0)$ | C-0-0 | c | 10.1 |
| $f(c o / c o)$ | c-c-c | C | 10.1 |

E2MD

| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCH})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ | - | 47.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCC})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ | - | 75.2 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCO})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ | - | 90.1 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCH})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ | - | 55.0 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCO})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ | - | 65.6 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{COC})^{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ | - | 131.3 |


| Force constant | Group | Atoms common <br> to interacting <br> co-ordinates | Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(C C O)^{2}$ | O-C-0 | - | 118.2 |
| $f(C C C)^{2}$ | $C-C-C$ | - | 107.1 |

## STRRTCH - BEND

| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{HCO})$ | $\mathrm{C-CH2} 20$ | C-0 | 38.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{CC/HCC})$ | $\mathrm{C-CH} 2-0$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 47.8 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{HCC})$ | $\mathrm{C-CH} 2-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 32.8 |
| $f(c c / n c c)$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ | C | 7.9 |
| $f(00 / 000)$ | C-0-C | C-0 | 48.3 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{co/c-0})$ | C-C-0 | $\mathrm{C}-0$ | 61.8 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{CC/CCO})$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-0$ | C-0 | 40.3 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{coc})$ | $\mathrm{C-O}-\mathrm{C}$ | C-C | 41.7 |

## BEND - PEMD



* If the plane formed by atoms $H_{a} C C^{l}$ bisects the angle formed by atoms $H_{b} C^{l} C^{2}$ then $f$ is designated as gauche; otherwise it is trars.

| Force constant | Group | Atoms common to interacting co-ordinates | Vaiues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{IICO} / \mathrm{CCO})$ | $\mathrm{C-CH} 2^{-0}$ | c-0 | -3.1 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCC} / \mathrm{CCO})$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ | C-C | -3.1 |
| $f(\mathrm{HCC} / \mathrm{CCO})$ | $\mathrm{C-CH} 2-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C-C}$ | -3.1 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCO} / \mathrm{COC})$ | - $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ (G) | 0.4 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCO} / \mathrm{COC})$ | CH-O-0 | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{C}$ ( T ) | -11.2 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCC} / \mathrm{CCO})$ | $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ (G) | -11.3 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCC} / \mathrm{CCO})$ | CH-C-O | H-C-C-O (T) | 2.8 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{HCC} / \mathrm{ccc})$ | ( O or C ) - $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | H-C-C-E (G) | -5.2 |
| $f(\mathrm{HCC} / \mathrm{CCO})$ | ( O or C ) $-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CoC-H}$ ( T ) | 4.9 |
| $f(\operatorname{coc} / 000)$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | C-0-C-C (G) | 1.1 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{ccc} / \mathrm{cco})$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ | C-C-C-O (G) | 1.1 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{ccc} / \operatorname{coc})$ | $\mathrm{C-C-C-C}$ | C-C-C-C (G) | 1.1 |
| $f(\operatorname{coc} / 000)$ | c-0-0-c | C-0-0-C (T) | -1.1 |
| $\mathrm{f}(\operatorname{ccc} / \mathrm{cos})$ | c-v-c-0 | C-C-C-O (T) | -1.1 |
| $f(00 c / c o c)$ | $\mathrm{C-C-C-C}$ | S-C-C-C (T) | -1.1 |

[^0]Constants relevant for ${ }_{C}^{C}>C E X$ group.

| Force constant | $X=F$ | $X=I$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $f(C X)^{2}$ | 579.0 | 208.1 |
| $f(C H)^{2}$ | 500.0 | 458.8 |
| $f(\mathrm{YCX})^{2}$ | 75.4 | 66.3 |
| $f(X C C)^{2}$ | 105.9 | 94.8 |
| $f(C C H)^{2}$ | 65.6 | 65.6 |

Table 2.11 Calculated frequencies for 4 - fluoro T.H.F.
$A^{\prime} \quad A^{\prime \prime}$

| axial | equitorial | axial | equitorial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3031 | 3032 | 2967 | 2967 |
| 2968 | 2968 | 2927 | 2927 |
| 2929 | 2929 | 2861 | 2861 |
| 2852 | 2862 | 2855 | 2855 |
| 2855 | 2856 | 1465 | 1464 |
| $11+80$ | 14,88 | 1454 | 1454 |
| 14.63 | 1:,60 | 1385 | 1390 |
| 1449 | 1453 | 1324 | 1336 |
| 1387 | 1373 | 1271 | 1250 |
| 1272 | 1270 | 1241 | 1239 |
| 1243 | 1242 | 1211 | 1183 |
| 1214 | 1223 | 1132 | 1154 |
| 1145 | 1129 | 1096 | 1099 |
| 1068 | 1095 | 1005 | 957 |
| 1007 | 104 | 879 | 934 |
| 970 | 979 | 826 | 818 |
| 879 | 876 | 492 | 4.53 |
| 808 | $\varepsilon 28$ | 366 | 354 |
| 651 | 594 | 163 | 180 |
| 520 | 419 |  |  |
| 350 | 392 |  |  |
| 281 | 299 |  |  |
| 113 | 130 |  |  |

130

Table 2.12 Calculated frequencies for 4 - iodo T.H.F.

|  | $A^{\circ}$ | $A^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| axial | equitorial | axial | equitorial |
| 2968 | 2968 | 2967 | 2,67 |
| 2929 | 2930 | 2926 | 2927 |
| 2902 | 2902 | 2861 | 2861 |
| 2862 | 2862 | 2855 | 2855 |
| 2855 | 2856 | 1464 | 1464 |
| 1463 | 1463 | 1454 | 1454 |
| 1459 | 1459 | 1385 | 1389 |
| 1395 | 1395 | 1312 | 1328 |
| 1320 | 1327 | 1267 | 1249 |
| 1267 | 1264 | 1239 | 1237 |
| 1236 | 1232 | 1211 | 1174 |
| 1202 | 1218 | 1122 | 1146 |
| 1094 | 1092 | 1096 | 1097 |
| 1045 | 1045 | 1003 | 956 |
| 991 | 934 | 876 | 920 |
| 896 | 891 | 826 | 818 |
| 807 | 824 | 465 | 454 |
| 711 | 745 | 268 | 209 |
| 546 | 552 | 134 | 173 |
| 471 | 384 |  |  |
| 308 | 291 |  |  |
| 213 | 205 |  |  |
| 65 | 96 |  |  |

Table 2.13 Experimental frequencies for 4 - iodo T.H.F.

| infrared | raman | infrared | raman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2960 s | 2964 | 1107 w |  |
| 2925 w | 2926 | 1083 mm sh | 1083 |
| 2903 w | 2898 | 1064 w |  |
| 2855 sh | 2853 | 1020 m | 1023 |
| 284 2 s |  | 1014 m |  |
| 1461 m | 1470 | 995 m | 999 |
| 1451 w | 1462 | 978 w |  |
| 1440 m | 1436 | 870 w | 881 |
| 1427 w |  | 825 m | 828 |
| 1412 w |  | 821 w 5 h |  |
| 1379 s |  | 683 m | 689 |
| 134.5 w |  | 672 w |  |
| 1335 w | 1333 | $6+9 \mathrm{w}$ | 657 |
| 1324 w |  | 532 m | 537 |
| 1293 w sh | 1298 | 515 viv | 520 |
| 1291 s |  | 452 \% | 455 |
| 1281 w sh |  | 431 W | 436 |
| 1257 m | 1265 | 378 vw | 384 |
| 1242 m | 1250 | 344 W |  |
| 1230 m |  | 249 w | 254 |
| 1215 m |  | 222 \% | 229 |
| 1196 w sh |  |  | 200 |
| 1175 s | 1180 |  | 144 |
| 1167 m sh |  |  | 116 |
| 1135 m | 1140 |  |  |

Because it is necessary to measure the optical densities accurately, allowance should be made for the variation in emission from the cell caused by varying its temperature.

### 2.8 Calculation of vibrational frequencies

Most of the force constants used in these calculations were taken from a force field derived for ten simple alphatic ethers (including tetrahydropyran) by Snyder and Zerbi (37), the force constants for the halogeno part being taken from a paper on methyl halides by Aldous and Mills (38). The force constants are listed in Table 2.10. Both molecules in both conformations belong to the $C_{s}$ point group having only a plane of symmetry. The 42 normal modes symmetrise into two blocks as $23 \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ and 19 A .

All bond angles were assumed to be tetrahedral and the C-O bond length was assumed to be the same as the $C-C$ bond length of $1.54 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$. Other bond lengths were taken as follows C-H 1.093, C-F 1.381, and C-I 2.16 all $\times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$.

The calculated frequencies are listed in tables 2.11 and 2.12 and the experimental results in table 2.13. It is not possible to assign the bands with any certainty with the information available but the C-I stretch has been assigned to the $673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ band for equatorial and $649 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ for axial. However, no variable temperature work was carried out on this molecule.

### 2.9 A review of vibrational studies.

The initial work on the sterochemistry of hal geno cyclohexanes was carried out by Hassel (39) but the first conformational analysis on the individual conformers was performed using vibrational spectroscopy. The assignment of bands to each conformer was aided by the fact that by slowly crystallizing these compounds (40) it is possible to convert all the molecules to their more stable state (equatorial). In the case of cyclohexyl fluoride this procedure does not result in any bands vanishing. Nuclear magnetic resonance clearly indicates the presence of two conformers in the liquid and we can assume that the small size of the fluorine atom allows both conformers to align readily in the crystal.

The integrated intensities of the C-Cl stretching modes were measured for chlorocyclohexane (41) in the gas state over a temperature range of 83 K and a $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ value of about $340 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1423 \mathrm{Jmol}^{-1}\right)$ was obtained. A similar paper (36) confirms this value in solution and gives a value of 200 cal mol ${ }^{-1}\left(837 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ for bromocyclohexane.

Neither of these papers measured the ratio between the extinction coefficients of the two bands measured. The value of 1.85 measured for bromocyclohexane (42) clearly shows that this factor cannot be ignored. However this paper calculated a very high free energy difference of 610 cal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(2552 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}\right)$. The value for the extinction coefficient ratio was determined using locked conformers and therefore could be inaccurate. There is one paper which appears to support this value (34) but on examination the two measurements were made at temperatures differing by 25 K and can hardly be considered accurate.

A value for iodocyclohexane is also quoted as 730 cal mol ${ }^{-1}$ (3054 $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ ). This is based on measurements of the 639 and $655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ bands and ignores the more likely assignment proposed in reference 33. A further paper (43) has recently been published using this assignment which quotes $\Delta E=400 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1674 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ and extinction coefficients for axial of 70 and for equatorial of 108 I $\operatorname{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The activation energy of chlorocyclohexane has been determined (44) as $\Delta G_{e_{j} \rightarrow a x}^{+}=10.6 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ $\left(4.44 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ and $\Delta G_{a x \rightarrow e q}^{+} \quad=10.2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ $\left(4.27 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ rol ${ }^{-1}$ ) by studying its kinetics in solution at temperatures below its meltine point.

The relative intensities of rotational transitions of the axial and equatorial conformers of cyclohexyl fluoride rave been reasured (45) to give a free energy difference of $298 \pm 28 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(1247 \pm 117 \mathrm{Jmol}^{-1}\right)$ at 187 K .

The only previous vibrational study on halogeno tetrahydropyrans was carried out by Dr . Barrett on 4 chloro T.H.P. (4). He was unable to preferentially crystallize the more stable isomer and integrated areas at low temperature were within experimental error the same at room temperature.

A more comprehensive review of various sizes of ring system studied by vibrational spectroscopy is available (46) as well as reviews on cyclohexyl systems $(47,48,49)$ and a general review of confornational analysis in the last twenty five years (50).

## Chapter three

## COMFORMATIOMAL ATMALYSTS OF RTPHENYL ARD SONE OF ITS DERIVATIVES

### 3.1 Introduction

Biphenyl has been showm to be planar in the solid state ${ }^{50}$ but non-planar in solution or the vapour phase. 51

Althouch there $1 s$ no doubt about the structure of biphenyl in the solid state the various methods used to determine the dihedral argle in solution have given a variety of results between $0^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$.
U.V. spectroscopy has given a value of $18^{\circ}-23^{\circ},{ }^{52-55}$ e.s.r. Eave an ancle of $39^{\circ},{ }^{56}$ dipole moments gave $30^{\circ}-40^{\circ} 57$ and the depolarisation of Rayleigh scattered light gave $24^{\circ}-31^{\circ} .5^{3}, 59$ A number of technicues have susgested a planar structure despite the fact that this is theoretically unlikely. Neasurement of molar Kerr constants, ${ }^{60}$ light scattering and magnetic anisotropy investigations ${ }^{61}$ as well as other U.V. and e.s.r. ${ }^{62}$ investigations gave this result and there is no satisfactory way of correlating the divergence of results.

Theoretical studies using molecular mechanics gave a value of $35^{\circ} .63$

A n.m.r. investigation of 3, $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}, 5,5^{\prime}$-tetrachlorobipheryl in a liquid crystal solution ${ }^{64}$ gave a dihedral angle of $34^{\circ}$ and the authors suggested that biphenyl was likely to have a similar value in a normal solution.

A value of 3 ? $\pm 2^{\circ}$ has been obtained using vibrational spectroscopy 65 which is in good agreement. However, in the calculations certain invalid assumptions were made. Two of these assumptions were removed in the course of the current work, and the improved model was used to

Fig. 3.l. The possible symmetries of the bipheryl molecule.
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compute the force field and dihedral angle of 4,4' difluoro biphenyl $d^{9}$, a previously unreported compound.

This is another method whereby spectroscopy can be used as a method of corformational analysis.

### 3.2 Theorftical Considerations

Biphenyl consists of two six-membered aromatic rings joined by a carbon-carbon bond. The angle between the planes of the rings is called the dihedral ancle. This angle determines the symmetry of the molecule ard hence the symmetry of the vibrational frequencies.

Eiphenyl can belong to one of three symmetry groups depending on whether the dihetral angle is $0^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$ or something in betweer. The symmetry of the frequencies may best be described in a diagram (Fig. 3.1).

It can be seen that if the molecule changes from planar to nonplanar the symetry is lowered and each in plane group combines with an out of plane group to form four new symmetry groups. Force field changes caused by a change in dihedral angle may arise in two ways.
a) Storic irteractions

It is expected that there will be repulsions between the ortho hydrogens in planar biphenyl. As in the $a_{g}$ motions both pairs of ortho hydrogens are bouncing against one another, those fundamentals involving strong $\beta$ deformations will be most affected on change of dihedral angle. However the observed frequency shifts are small suggested that steric interactions are small unless other effects are being observed.

It has been suggested that steric hindrance is reduced by distortion of the $C-C-H$ angle, and this can be seen to be true (Fig. 3.2)

Fig. 3.2. Geometry used for biphenyl calculations.
Bond lengths in $10^{-1} \mathrm{~m}$ and angles in degrees.

b) Resonance interaction between the two rings

Biphenyl may be regarded as a resonance hybrid of several canonical structures ${ }^{66}$ one of which involves the inter-ring double bond. This structure is thought to have little contribution because the inter-ring bond length in the solid ( $1.51 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$ ) is almost as large as that of a single $C-C$ bond $\left(1.54 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}\right)$. The double bond structure will contribute even less on twisting and this would be reflected in a forceconstant change. There is evidence ${ }^{65}$ that there is a slight weakening of the inter-ring bond but it was not possible to estimate the magnitude of the change.

If biphenyl is considered as two mono-substituted benzene molecules ( $C_{2 v}$ symmetry), each of the $C_{2 v}$ motions of biphenyl gives rise to a splitting of the original degenerate levels, $A_{1}$ modes $\left(C_{2 v}\right)$ split into $a_{g}$ (in-phase) and $b_{3 u}$ (out-of-phase) parallel modes and $B_{2}$ modes split into $b_{1 g}$ (in phase) and $b_{2 u}$ (out-of-phase) perpendicular modes.

The amount of splitting and the eventual frequency shifts will depend on the strength of the inter-ring C-C bond, on possible steric interactions and on the extent of kinetic coupling between the two rings.

Because steric interactions and inter-ring $\pi$-bonding are small, a change in geometry will have little effect on the force field. The most important change in frequencies is caused by the merging of the symmetry groups.

Combining the groups causes interactions which force the frequencies apart. From first order perturbation theory the interaction is inversely proportional to the differences in the energy levels which
are being mixed. Because out-of-plane modes only occur below $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ it follows that this is the region where the most important interactions are likely to occur. By symmetry considerations it can be shown that the vibrational wavefunctions of the $a_{g}$ and $a_{u}$ and also of the $b_{3 g}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ modes remain orthogonal on going from the planar $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ structure to the non-planar $D_{2}$ structure. For example, since $a_{u}$ vibrations are antisymmetric to all planes, the inter-ring bond atoms cannot move. It follows that the in-plane and out-of-plane distortions remain orthogonal on twisting the ring. Thus only $B_{1}$ or $B_{2}$ modes below $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are able to show any interaction through effects not related to force constant changes.

Frequency shifts caused by symmetry groups merging
If it is assumed that the molecular force field is
independent of the dihedral angle, then the frequency shifts are due to changes in the $G$ (inverse kinetic energy) matrix. 67 Thus if we take a realistic force field and calculate the vibrational frequencies for a number of different dihedral angles it becomes apparent that certain of the $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ modes are a function of the angle. By measuring the change in frequency of these modes on going from the solid to the liquid state we can get an estimate for the dihedral angle.

Coupling can only occur through those modes which span the ring. Since interaction cannot occur in the A species, this precludes any interactions through the C-C stretch. Other internal deformations which span the ring and may lead to coupling are $\gamma_{c}, \beta_{c}$ and $\phi$. The natural frequency of the $\gamma_{\mathrm{CH}}$ deformation lies well above that of the only in-plane deformation coordinate to span the ring, $\beta_{c}$, being
about $900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ compared to $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, thus the interaction is expected to be small. The primary perturbations arise from $\phi, \beta_{c}$ interactions.

In order to accurately calculate the dihedral angle it is necessary to calculate the frequencies to a high level of accuracy. Clearly the frequency shift with dihedral angle will depend on the original separation of the interacting species. In an attempt to further improve the force field two of the assumptions previously used were removed.

In order to fully appreciate the improvements made it is necessary first to consider the theory behind the calculations.

It is well know that the vibrations of non-linear molecules can be resolved into $3 \mathrm{~N}-6$ normal modes where N is the number of nuclei in the molecule.

Under ideal conditions it is possible for the molecule to absorb energy. If subjected to infrared radiation of a frequency equal to the frequency of one of the normal modes the molecule may absorb some of this energy. If subjected to a high frequency monochromatic source the molecule may scatter radiation such that the component frequencies of the scattered radiation are lower than the source frequency by the frequency of one of the normal modes (Raman effect).

Whether infrared absorbtions or Raman emissions take place will depend on the symmetry of the vibration, and on the transition probability. It is unlikely that all the frequencies can be deduced from the infrared and Raman spectra. Thus $3 N-6$ is the maximum number of independent observable frequencies (combination or overtone bands may be present).

The frequencies of the normal modes are related to the strength of the bonds in the molecule and the way in which they deform. These are measured in terms of force constants. It is possible to calculate the vibrational frequencies if the force constants are known but a number of approximations and assumptions are necessary.

The way in which the biphenyl molecule vibrates can be described in terms of five types of internal coordinates. These are,

1) Bond stretching (R)
2) Angle bending ( $\alpha$ )
3) In-plane wag ( $\beta$ )
4) Out-of-plane wag ( $($ )
5) Special torsion ( $\varnothing$ )

Not only are there force constants for the 71 internal coordinates used to describe biphenyl but there are interaction constants between each internal coordinate and every other internal coordinate. Thus we can form a $71 \times 71$ matrix to show all these force constants (the $F$ matrix).

Even though many of the $F$ elements are not independent on symmetry considerations, there are clearly far more unknowns than pieces of information and various devices must be used to reduce the number of unknowns.

It is well known that there will be no interaction between a large number of pairs of internal coordinates and these can be set to zero. For example, there will be no interaction between the in-plane internal coordinates and the out-of-plane internal coordinates when the molecule is planar.

The remaining force constants are then grouped together by type and are given the same force constant value. There are 36 of these groups in the case of biphenyl and they are listed in table 3.4.

The internal coordinates are given the following symbols

```
    r CH stretch.
    r(c) inter-ring CC' stretch.
    R intra-ring CC stretch.
    a ring angle bend.
    \beta anti-clockwise movement of CH bond with
        respect to the bisector of the adjacent ring
            angle.
        \beta(c) as }\beta\mathrm{ , but of extra-ring CC' bond.
        \gamma out-of-plane angular movement of }\textrm{CH}\mathrm{ bond.
    \gamma(c) out-of-plane angular movement of CC' bond.
    \varnothing Bell type twisting coordinate (special torsion).
```

If we attempt to calculate the 60 frequencies by selecting random values for the 36 force constants it is highly unlikely that the calculated frequencies would bear much resemblance to the experimental ones. Even if they did there is no guarantee that the force constants would have realistic values.

There are two principal problems. The first is that the experimental data may be insensitive to the force constant parameters. Vibrational frequencies can be very insensitive to force constant coupling distortions which naturally have very different frequencies. This follows from a consideration of the well known relation

$$
\lambda_{i}=\sum_{j, k} 1_{j i} l_{k i} f_{j k}=4 \pi^{2} \nu_{i}^{2}
$$

The $l_{j i}$ are the elements of the transformation matrix $L$ between internal valence and normal coordinates

$$
R=L Q
$$

If the frequencies which would be associated with the isolated $j^{\text {th }}$ and $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ internal coordinates are far apart then there will be little mixing of their motions. This implies that if $l_{j i}$ is large, then $l_{k i}$ is small and vice versa. The $\lambda_{i}$ are therefore insensitive to the $f_{j k}$. For this reason it is usually very difficult to establish interaction force constants coupling $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching motions and other types of deformations. Other types of data, such as Coriolis constants, where they are known, may be much more sensitive to these interaction constants.

The second problem arises from inter-relationships amongst the internal coordinates. Thus the ring C-C stretching coordinates are not independent of the ring angles. A number of so called redundancy relations exist amongst them. For example, for a regular hexagonal ring we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_{i}=0
$$

Using these relations the potential energy expression can be reduced to a smaller number of variables, implying that not all the quadratic force constants are derivable.

There is another fact which helps us to choose values for the force constants. It is well established that values of force constants in different molecules but in similar environments bear a close resemblance to each other. Thus in the case of biphenyl the original force field was based on the force field of benzene.

The values of the force constants are chosen using information from related molecules and they are used to calculate the frequencies.

Selected force constants can then be changed in small steps until the best fit between the observed and calculated frequencies is achieved. The number of observables can be increased without increasing the number of variables by using isotopic substitution. In the previous paper the frequencies were recorded for three molecules, biphenyl, 4,4 $4^{\prime}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ biphenyl and $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)$ biphenyl. It may be assumed that the differences in frequencies between the three molecules is due solely to the isotope effect and that there are no differences in geometry or force field between the three molecules. This method therefore increases the number of observables by about three times without increasing the number of variables.

In fact the number of independent observables may be rather less than the total number of observables as a result of frequency product 68, 69 rules as first shown by Redlich and Teller (see section 3.7).

$$
\frac{\prod_{i} \nu_{i}^{a}}{\prod_{i} \nu_{i}^{b}}=\frac{\prod_{a}\left(\mu_{A}^{a}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\prod_{A}\left(\mu_{a}^{b}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left(\frac{M_{a}}{M_{b}}\right)^{T / 2} \prod_{q=x, y, z}\left(\frac{I_{q}^{a}}{I_{q}^{b}}\right)^{\delta_{q} / 2}
$$

$T$ is the product operator, $M_{a}$ and $M_{b}$ refer to the masses of the two molecular species, $T$ is the number of translations in the symmetry species over which the product is taken, $\delta_{q}=1$ or 0 depending on whether a rotation about the $q$ axis belongs to the species, and $I_{q}$ is the moment of inertia about the $q$ axis. The $\mu_{A}$ are the reciprocal masses.

When the best fit is obtained between the observed and calculated frequencies the force field is then used to calculate the frequencies for different dihedral angles.

### 3.3 The computer programs

Two computer programs are used for these calculations, GZEVAL and MLTPRB and full details of these are already available. ${ }^{70}$ They were used with only minor modifications.

GZEVAL
This program is used firstly to help assign the experimental frequencies using the initial force constants. It is used to produce the punch cards needed for the MLTPRB program. Finally it is used to calculate the frequencies for different dihedral angles using the refined force constants.

The input data is as follows,
TEXT (8A10) Name of program.
NP, NOAT, NR, NIST (4I3) Number of problems, Number of atoms in molecule, Number of internal coordinates, Number of isotopes.

X(3,NOAT) (9F 8.5) Cartesian coordinates.
NINT, NDEF, NA1.....6(8I3) Number of internal coordinate, Type of deformation, Atoms involved.

AM ( 8 F 10.6) Mass of atoms.
NF, NZ(2I3) Number of force constants, Number of nonzero $Z$ matrix elements.

FF ( 8 F 10.6) Values of force constants.
NRA, NCA, NFA, ZA (3I3, F 5.0 (I2, 2I3, F5.0))
Row and column of $Z$ matrix, Number of force constant, Scaling factor.

NSYMB, NS (2I3)

ID, NC (2I3)
Number of symmetry coordinates, Number of symmetry blocks.

Number of rows of block, Number of cards of imput for block.

IX, JX, UX 8(2I3, F 4.0) Row, Column, Value of $U$ matrix element.

It may be noted that no F matrix is read in; the Z matrix is used instead. The $Z$ matrix is the same as the $F$ matrix with the exception that instead of numerical values for the force constants being read in a number between 1 and 36 and a scaling factor is read in. The numerical values for the force constants are read in as the FF matrix. This technique allows the values of all the elements corresponding to one type of force constant to be changed at once, the value is simply changed in the FF column matrix. The value of the corresponding $F$ matrix element is the force constant value multiplied by the scaling factor.

The program is also able to produce punch cards for the MLTPRB program. These are,

TEXT
NSYMB, NS
ID
$F(I, J)$
NZS
NRSA, NCSA, NFSA, ZSA
$F(I, J)$ is the $G$ matrix, NRSA etc. describes the symmetrised. $Z$ matrix and the remaining terms have already been defined.

MLTPRB
The program is used to refine the force constants. A set of force constants is used to calculate the frequencies which are compared with the experimental frequencies. The force constants are then changed in four stages to improve the fit.

The input data is as follows,
CARRIE (8A10) Title of whole calculation.
NMOLS, NPERT, NF, MFR (2I3/2I3)
Number of molecules, Number of perturbations, Number of force constants, Number of perturbed constants.

FF(N) (8F 10.6) Values of force constants.
$\operatorname{PP}(\mathrm{N})$ (40I2) $\quad \mathrm{PP}=1$ if constant perturbed.
IFAC, FAC, NV (I3/F4.0/I4) Weighting percentage or absolute, Scaling factor, Number of variables.

* CARRIEA $=$ TEXT
* N SYMB, NS
$X(I), W(I) \quad$ Experimental frequency, Scaling factor.
* $\mathrm{JD}=\mathrm{ID}$
* $G(I, J)=F(I, J)$
* $\mathrm{JZ}=\mathrm{NZS}$
* NROW, NCOL, NOFC, $Z=$ NRSA, NCSA NFSA ZSA.

NT
Number of cycles

* This input obtained from GZEVAL program.

Percentage weighting was used for all perturbations allowing for the fact that higher frequencies are liable to have larger errors than lower frequencies.

Table 3.1. Calculation of ring $C-C$ stretch force constants.

| Position | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bond lepgeth } \\ (10-10 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Force constant } \\ \left(N \mathrm{~m}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New F.C. } \\ & \text { Old } \mathrm{F} . \mathrm{C} . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ortho | 1.372 | 765.6 | 1.11 |
| Feta | 1.416 | 651.9 | 0.94 |
| Para | 1.376 | 754.4 | 1.09 |

### 3.4 The improvement of the model

The previous work on biphenyl and its derivatives has used the assumption that all the intra-ring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths and force constants are the same and that all the angles between the bonds are $120^{\circ}$. This has been shown to be untrue. ${ }^{71}$ This improved geometry (Fig. 3.2) was used to compute a new cartesian coordinate matrix and the vibrational frequencies were calculated using this (Table 3.2). When calculating the frequencies for the fluorinated biphenyls a value of $1.327 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$ was used for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ bond length.

In the case of the intra-ring C-C bonds, it is illogical to use the same force constant for C-C bonds which have different bond lengths. The force constants were related to the bond lengths by the relationship,

$$
f=A e^{-x r}
$$

where $A$ and $x$ are parameters. ${ }^{72}$
The value used in the paper for x was 3.65 when the bond lengths were in $10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$ and this value was used in this work. Substituting the bond length and force constant used in the previous biphenyl work a value of $1.144 \times 10^{5}$ was obtained for A. The new bond lengths were then used to calculate the new force constants. These were introduced into the $Z$ matrix as a ratio of the old force constant and so the ratio between the three constants remained the same but their actual values could vary during perturbation. The values are given in Table 3.1.

Table 3.2 compares the experimental frequencies of biphenyl with four sets of calculated frequencies.

1 - calculated using the original hexagonal geometry.
2 - calculated using the new geometry but using the same force constant for all the intra-ring C-C stretches.
Table 3.2 Comparison between experimental and calculated frequencies of planar biphenyl.

|  | Experimental frequencies | Initial calculation |  | New geometry |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N.G. and } \\ & \text { C-C scaling } \end{aligned}$ |  | After <br> perturbation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{g}$ | - | 3073 |  | 3074 |  | 3074 |  | 3073 |  |
|  | - | 3072 |  | 3072 |  | 3073 |  | 3071 |  |
|  | - | 3069 |  | 3069 |  | 3069 |  | 3069 |  |
|  | 1610 | 1593 | -17 | 1600 | -10 | 1606 | -4 | 1583 | -27 |
|  | 1513 | 1505 | -8 | 1496 | -17 | 1521 | +8 | 1513 | 0 |
|  | 1276 | 1285 | +9 | 1290 | +14 | 1293 | +17 | 1286 | +10 |
|  | 1208 | 1196 | -12 | 1201 | -7 | 1203 | -5 | 1200 | -8 |
|  | 1036 | 1027 | -9 | 1032 | -4 | 1056 | +20 | 1049 | +13 |
|  | 1002 | 987 | -15 | 988 | -14 | 992 | -10 | 984 | -18 |
|  | 739 | 736 | -3 | 731 | -8 | 738 | -1 | 736 | -3 |
|  | 331 | 322 | -9 | 324 | -7 | 325 | -6 | 323 | -8 |
| $A_{u}$ | - | 959 |  | 956 |  | 956 |  | 947 |  |
|  | - | 847 |  | 857 |  | 857 |  | 851 |  |
|  | - | 428 |  | 434 |  | 434 |  | 425 |  |

Table 3.2 (continued)

|  | Experimental frequencies | Initial calculation |  | New geometry |  | N.G. and C-C scaling |  | After perturbation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{1 g}$ | - | 3070 |  | 3070 |  | 3071 |  | 3070 |  |
|  | - | 3069 |  | 3069 |  | 3069 |  | 3067 |  |
|  | 1592 | 1626 | +34 | 1620 | $+28$ | 1691 | $+99$ | 1624 | $+32$ |
|  | 1462 | 1466 | +4 | 1471 | $+9$ | 1490 | $+28$ | 1451 | -11 |
|  | 1333 | 1355 | +22 | 1353 | +20 | 1363 | $+30$ | 1329 | -4 |
|  | 1263 | 1285 | +22 | 1285 | +22 | 1300 | $+37$ | 1289 | +26 |
|  | 1165 | 1160 | -5 | 1161 | -4 | 1168 | +3 | 1161 | -4 |
|  | 1097 | 1082 | -15 | 1074 | -23 | 1069 | -28 | 1069 | -28 |
|  | 610 | 611 | +1 | 612 | +2 | 613 | +3 | 607 | -3 |
|  | - | 407 |  | 410 |  | 412 |  | 426 |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{14}$ | 968 | 982 | +14 | 983 | +15 | 983 | +15 | 980 | $+12$ |
|  | 903 | 899 | -4 | 900 | -3 | 900 | -3 | 896 | -7 |
|  | 729 | 726 | -3 | 722 | -7 | 722 | $-7$ | 725 | -4 |
|  | 695 | 705 | $+10$ | 709 | +14 | 709 | +14 | 698 | +3 |
|  | 458 | 456 | -2 | 449 | -9 | 449 | -9 | 442 | -16 |
|  | 73 | 78 | +5 | 77 | +4. | 77 | +4 | 79 | +6 |

Table 3.2 (continued)

|  | Experimental <br> frequencies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Initial } \\ & \text { calculation } \end{aligned}$ |  | New geometry |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N.G. and } \\ & \text { C-C scaling } \end{aligned}$ |  | After perturbation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{u}$ | - | 3069 |  | 3070 |  | 3071 |  | 3070 |  |
|  | - | 3069 |  | 3068 |  | 3069 |  | 3067 |  |
|  | 1568 | 1599 | +31 | 1590 | +22 | 1661 | +93 | 1629 | +61 |
|  | 1428 | 1434 | +6 | 1436 | $+8$ | 1449 | +? 1 | 1435 | +7 |
|  | 1344 | 1325 | -19 | 1326 | $-19$ | 1354 | +10 | 1339 | -5 |
|  | 1268 | 1293 | +25 | 1294 | +26 | 1299 | +31 | 1290 | +22 |
|  | - | 1165 |  | 1167 |  | 1172 |  | 1168 |  |
|  | - | 1055 |  | 1045 |  | 1044 |  | 1036 |  |
|  | 62.6 | 629 | +3 | 631 | +5 | 631 | +5 | 630 | +4 |
|  | 118 | 120 | +2 | 123 | +5 | 123 | +5 | 120 | +2 |
| ${ }^{\text {2g }}$ g | 978 | 985 | +7 | 987 | +9 | 987 | +9 | 985 | +7 |
|  | - | 903 |  | 905 |  | 905 |  | 916 |  |
|  | - | 732 |  | 735 |  | 735 |  | 785 |  |
|  | - | 708 |  | 710 |  | 710 |  | 700 |  |
|  | 546 | 532 | -14 | 529 | -17 | 529 | -17 | 554 | +8 |
|  | 251 | 233 | -18 | 226 | -25 | 226 | -25 | 230 | -21 |

Table 3.2 (continued)

|  | Experimental <br> frequencies | Initial calculation |  | New geometry |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N.G. and } \\ & \text { C-C scaling } \end{aligned}$ |  | After perturbation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ | - | 3073 |  | 3073 |  | 3074 |  | 3073 |  |
|  | - | 3072 |  | 3072 |  | 3073 |  | 3071 |  |
|  | - | 3069 |  | 3069 |  | 3069 |  | 3068 |  |
|  | 1597 | 1592 | -5 | 1599 | +2 | 1597 | 0 | 1575 | -22 |
|  | 1480 | 1478 | -2 | 1470 | -10 | 1492 | +10 | 1487 | +7 |
|  | 1181 | 1198 | +17 | 1200 | +19 | 1201 | +20 | 1198 | +17 |
|  | 1041 | 1032 | -9 | 1036 | -5 | 1067 | +26 | 1060 | +19 |
|  | 1006 | 1016 | +10 | 1022 | +16 | 1028 | +22 | 1027 | +21 |
|  | 985 | 974 | -11 | 974 | -11 | 992 | +6 | 966 | -19 |
|  | 610 | 615 | +5 | 614 | +4 | 614 | +4 | 609 | -1 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}$ | - | 959 |  | 956 |  | 956 |  | 947 |  |
|  | 846 | 847 | +1 | 857 | +11 | 857 | +11 | 851 | +5 |
|  | 409 | 428 | +17 | 434 | +25 | 434 | +25 | 425 | +16 |

3 - calculated using the new geometry and scaling the C-C stretch force constants to the C-C bond lengths.

4 - calculated from the force constants after perturbation.

Only 59 normal modes were computed. The missing fundamental is attributed to the lowest $a_{u}$ mode, and is known as the "butterfly" torsion. This deformation was omitted because the nature of the torsion in solution is uncertain. Because the frequency of this mode is low, the approximation is justified as there is little mixing with other normal coordinates.

It is helpful to consider the calculated frequencies both before and after perturbation. After perturbation analysis has been carried out the frequency fit is expected to be the best possible one under the circumstances. Therefore, if adjustments are made to the model after a perturbation the frequency fit is expected to worsen. This is found to be true in this case.

The effect of the new geometry can be seen in both the in-plane and out-of-plane modes. However the effect is very slight, the largest change in frequency being $9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ but for the most groups there is a slight worsening in the frequency fit.

The effect of scaling the C-C force constants to the bond lengths is only seen in the in-plane modes. A worsening in the fit is noticeable particularly for the highest ring mode in the $B_{1 g}$ and $B_{2 g}$ groups. Both calculated frequencies go up by about $70 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Apart from these two the overall worsening is not great and is similar to that caused by the introduction of the new geometry. It is interesting to note that the changes caused by the scaling of the force constants,

Table 3.3 Comparison between experimental and calculated frequencies of planar biphenyl $d^{10}$ as calculated after perturbation of the force constants.

Experimental frequencies Initial calculated Final calculated frequencies frequencies

| ${ }^{\text {A }} \mathrm{g}$ | 2287 | 2288 | +1 | 2291 | +4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2285 | 2285 | 0 | 2284 | -1 |
|  | 2280 | 2280 | 0 | 2278 | -2 |
|  | 1563 | 1576 | +13 | 1566 | +3 |
|  | 1411 | 1387 | -24 | 1405 | -6 |
|  | 1196 | 1191 | +5 | 1189 | +3 |
|  | 965 | 947 | -18 | 949 | -16 |
|  | 830 | 864 | -16 | 868 | -12 |
|  | 846 | 838 | -8 | 847 | +1 |
|  | 690 | 692 | +2 | 692 | +2 |
|  | 312 | 309 | -3 | 309 | -3 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ u | - | 777 |  | 761 |  |
|  | - | 659 |  | 663 |  |
|  | - | 374 |  | 375 |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 2281 | 2283 | +2 | 2287 | +6 |
|  | 2279 | 2279 | 0 | 2274 | -5 |
|  | 1583 | 1601 | +18 | 1592 | +9 |
|  | 1347 | 1333 | -14 | 1325 | -22 |
|  | 1280 | 1265 | -15 | 1246 | -34 |
|  | - | 1054 |  | 1039 |  |
|  | 850 | 844 | -6 | 840 | -10 |
|  | 831 | 827 | -4 | 825 | -6 |
|  | 583 | 586 | +3 | 584 | +1 |
|  | - | 378 |  | 398 |  |

Table 3.3 (continued)

Experimental frequencies Initial calculated Final calculated frequencies frequencies

| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ | 818 | 815 | -3 | 808 | -10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 742 | 732 | -10 | 730 | -12 |
|  | 620 | 631 | +11 | 616 | -4 |
|  | 538 | 527 | -11 | 537 | -1 |
|  | 410 | 407 | -3 | 393 | -17 |
|  | 83 | 72 | -11 | 74 | -9 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 u}$ | 2281 | 2281 | 0 | 2287 | +6 |
|  | 2278 | 2278 | 0 | 2274 | -4 |
|  | 1522 | 1573 | +51 | 1598 | +76 |
|  | 1317 | 1284 | -33 | 1329 | +12 |
|  | 1260 | 1262 | +2 | 1231 | -29 |
|  | 1024 | 1023 | -1 | 1014 | -10 |
|  | 844 | 845 | +1 | 843 | -1 |
|  | 813 | 825 | +7 | 819 | +1 |
|  | 594 | 603 | +9 | 604 | +10 |
|  | 112 | 110 | -2 | 111 | -1 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 816 | 813 | -3 | 824 | +8 |
|  | - | 759 |  | 807 |  |
|  | 651 | 640 | -11 | 647 | -4 |
|  | 539 | 541 | +2 | 546 | +7 |
|  | 459 | 459 | 0 | 467 | +8 |
|  | 225 | 217 | -8 | 214 | -11 |

Table 3.3 (continued)
Experimental frequencies
Initial calculated
frequencies
Final calculated
frequencies

| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ | 2287 | 2286 | -1 | 2288 | +1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2285 | 2284 | -1 | 2284 | -1 |
|  | 2280 | 2279 | -1 | 2277 | -3 |
|  | 1566 | 1571 | +5 | 1557 | -9 |
|  | 1343 | 1301 | -42 | 1326 | -17 |
|  | 981 | 991 | +10 | 988 | +7 |
|  | 950 | 933 | -17 | 929 | -21 |
|  | 854 | 859 | +5 | 858 | +4 |
|  | 813 | 818 | +5 | 839 | +26 |
|  | 588 | 594 | +6 | 587 | -1 |
|  |  | 777 |  | 761 |  |
|  | 655 | 659 | +4 | 663 | +8 |
|  | 354 | 374 | +20 | 375 | +21 |

with only two exceptions, result in an increase in the calculated frequency. This is because the average of the ortho meta and para C-C bond lengths is less than the $1.40 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$ used in the previous calculation. Thus in the present calculation the average of the force constants is larger than the one previously used.

A perturbation was carried out on the force constants in a number of stages. The frequency fit is not very different from that reported in the previous work but of course the force constants are different.

The highest ring modes in the $B_{1 g}$ and $B_{2 g}$ groups have improved but in the case of the $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ mode it is no better than after the previous work and in the case of the $B_{2 g}$ mode it is considerably worse. These improvements are at the expense of the fit of the highest ring modes in the other two in-plane groups.

The effects caused directly by perturbing the force constants were a general improvement in the fit for groups $B_{1 g}, B_{2 u}, B_{2 g}$ and $B_{3 g}$, although no better than after the previous perturbation and the fit for the remaining groups was similar.

The fit of those frequencies previously used to calculate the dihedral angle was no better and consequently the angle was not recalculated.

The frequencies of biphenyl $d^{10}$ were also calculated using the same force constants. The fit for the $A_{g}$ frequencies was noticeably improved whereas the fit for the $B_{1 u}$ and $B_{3 u}$ frequencies was similar. The fit for the $B_{2 g}$ frequencies was worse and that for the $B_{1 g}$ and $B_{2 u}$ frequencies was much worse. The large error in the third highest $B_{2 u}$ frequency of $76 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is an increase from the previous error of $51 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ suggests that the assignment is wrong. The frequencies are listed in Table 3.3.

Table 3.4 Force constants used for biphenyl calculation, before and and after perturbation.

|  |  |  | Before A | After |  |  |  | Before | A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R |  | 691.36 | 657.4 | 21 | $\alpha_{i} r_{i}$ | - | 1.0 | - | 1.0* |
| 2 | $r(c)$ |  | 639.26 | 653.9 | 22 | $\alpha_{i} \beta_{i+1}$ |  | 4.2 |  | 4.2* |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ |  | 52.8 | 48.1 | 23 | $\alpha_{i} \beta(c){ }_{i+1}$ |  | 3.1 |  | 3.8 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{i} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | 30.1 | 34.0 | 24 | $\gamma$ |  | 26.6 |  | 25.9 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}{ }_{i+1}$ |  | 25.6 | 26.3 | 25 | $\gamma$ (c) |  | 12.7 |  | 14.8 |
| 6 | $r(c){ }_{i} \propto_{i}$ | - | 88.7 - | -90.0 | 26 | $\gamma_{i} \gamma_{i+1}$ |  | 0.9 |  | 0.8 |
| 7 | r |  | 512.55 | 512.3 | 27 | $\gamma_{i} \gamma_{i+2}$ | - | 1.4 | - | 0.8 |
| 8 | $\alpha$ |  | 57.1 | 57.2 | 28 | $\gamma_{i} \gamma_{i+3}$ | - | 1.7 | - | 1.4 |
| 9 | $\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}$ | - | $5.0-$ | 5.0* | 29 | $\gamma_{i}(c) \gamma_{i+1}$ |  | 1.5 |  | 0.9 |
| 10 | $\beta$ |  | 89.1 | 89.0 | 30 | $\gamma_{i}(c) \gamma_{i+2}$ | - | 1.8 | - | 2.2 |
| 11 | $\beta(\mathrm{c})$ |  | 73.3 | 73.6 | 31 | $\gamma_{i}(c) \gamma_{i+3}$ |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |
| 12 | $\beta_{i \underline{i}} \beta_{i+1}$ |  | 2.4 | 2.5 | 32 | $\gamma(\mathrm{c}) \gamma \cdot(\mathrm{c})$ | - | 1.0 | - | 3.1 |
| 13 | $\beta_{i} \beta_{i+2}$ | - | 1.9 - | 1.9* | 33 | $\varnothing$ |  | 4.0 |  | 4.1 |
| 14 | $\beta_{i} \beta_{i+3}$ | - | 2.7 - | 2.7* | 34 | $\varnothing_{i} \varnothing_{i+1}$ |  | 0.6 | - | 0.4 |
| 15 | $\beta(\mathrm{c}){ }_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{i+1}$ |  | 1.8 - | - 3.1 | 35 | $\gamma_{i} \phi_{i}$ |  | 1.5 |  | 1.4 |
| 16 | $\beta(\mathrm{c}){ }_{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{i+2}$ | - | 1.4 - | - 1.4* | 36 | $\gamma_{i}(\mathrm{c}) \phi_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | 1.9 |  | 1.6 |
| 17 | $\beta(c){ }_{i} \beta_{i+3}$ | - | 2.0 - | 2.0* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $\alpha_{i} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | 31.6 | 23.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $\beta_{i} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | 33.6 | 33.6* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $\beta_{i}(c) R_{i}$ |  | 24.6 | 48.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*     - Force constants not perturbed.
$R_{i} R_{i+1}=-R_{i} R_{i+2}=R_{i} R_{i+3}$
C-C stretch values after perturbation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ortho } & 729.7 \\
\text { meta } & 618.0 \\
\text { para } & 716.6
\end{array}
$$

average ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{Nm}^{688.1} \mathrm{Nm}^{-1} \mathrm{rad}^{-1}$ or $\mathrm{Nm}^{-1} \mathrm{rad}^{-2}$ as appropriate.

The force constants before and after perturbation are given in Table 3.4.

The value for the intra-ring C-C stretch is lowered as expected because of the adjustment in the bond length made during the calculation. However the average of new values is close to the old value. The inter-ring force constant increased even higher than before and is greater than would be expected from the bond length. It is thought that this discrepancy is due to the steric repulsions between the ortho hydrogens.

The interaction constant $\gamma(c) \gamma(c)$ became increasingly negative. As will be explained later this constant is only valid for the planar molecule.

The remaining constants show no untoward changes.
There are two further improvements which could be made to the force field. The restriction,

$$
R_{i} R_{i+1}=-R_{i} R_{i+2}=R_{i} R_{i+3}
$$

could be lifted, and some allowance could be made for the steric interaction between the ortho hydrogens in the planar molecule. It would be useful if this interaction could be expressed in terms of the force constants already used to describe the molecule.

Earlier work on substituted benzenes ${ }^{73}$ suggested that

$$
R_{i} R_{i+1}>\left|R_{i} R_{i+2}\right| \sim R_{i} R_{i+3}
$$

but the results were unstable.

### 3.5 Preparation of 4-4 difluorobiphenyl $d^{8}$

The preparation was carried out starting with biphenyl $d^{10}$.

## Dinitro binhenyl

A mixture of fuming nitric acid ( 37 ml ) and concentrated nitric acid ( 183 ml ) was heated to about $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and biphenyl $\mathrm{d}^{10}(10 \mathrm{~g})$ was added in six portions at 15 minute intervals. The mixture was slowly cooled to about $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered and the solid washed with concentrated nitric acid, water and finally with methanol. The product was dried to yield 3.65 g 4-4' dinitro biphenyl $d^{8}$.

4-4, diamino biphenyl $d^{8}$
4-4' dinitro biphenyl $d^{8}(3.65 \mathrm{~g})$, tin (4g), hydrochloric acid $(25 \mathrm{ml})$ and ethanol ( 200 ml ) were refluxed for three hours during which time the colour changes from pale yellow to orange yellow to yellow. The mixture was cooled in an ice-bath and the solution of product decanted off the excess tin.

4-4' diflunro binhenyl
Benzidine $d^{8}(3.3 \mathrm{~g})$, concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 10 ml )
and water ( 10 ml ) was cooled in a freezing mixture. Sodium nitrite $(2.1 \mathrm{~g})$ in water ( 4 ml ) was added dropwise. Sodium borofluoride ( 5 g ) in water ( 10 ml ) was then added and a cream precipitate of bisdiazonium borofluoride was formed. This was dried and heated to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to convert the product to $4-4^{\prime}$ difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}$. This was recrystallised from ethanol and further purified by sublimation. The yield for the fluorination was between 80 and 90 per cent.
3.6 Frequency assignment of $4-4$ difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}$.

The infrared and Raman spectra were run on the 4-4' difluorobiphenyl prepared as above. A Raman spectrum was run of the solid in a capillary tube and of solutions in carbon disulphide, carbon
tetrachloride and in benzene. Infrared spectra were run as a CsI disc, a solidified melt and as solutions in carbon disulphide and cyclohexane. The range $3000-200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were scanned for all spectra.

In addition to the information obtained on the frequency shifts on going from solid to solution information is obtained which helps in the assignment of the bands.

## A group

The $A_{g}$ group appears in the Raman spectrum and both $A_{g}$ and $A_{u}$ appear in the Raman in solution and the bands are polarised. There is little frequency shift on changing from solid to solution.

## $B_{1}$ group

The $B_{1 g}$ group appears in the Raman and the $B_{1 u}$ appears in the infrared. Both appear in the Raman and infrared solution spectra. Frequency shifts are expected on changing from solid to solution for those bands whose frequencies lie below $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\mathrm{B}_{2}$ group
In solution the selection rules are relaxed so that both $B_{2 u}$ and $B_{2 g}$ modes become infrared and Raman active. Frequency shifts are expected as above.
${ }^{B_{3} \text { group }}$
As with the $B_{2}$ group the selection rules are relaxed in solution. Both groups appear in the Raman and infrared spectra. Little frequency shift is expected here. The solid infrared spectra is interesting because the relative intensity of the $B_{3 g}$ group bands depend on whether a disc or solidified melt is run. The $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ modes can be identified by their reduction in intensity on going from the

Fig. 3.3. Fartial infrared spectrum of difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}$.

a) Solid (CsI disc).
$1000 \quad 800 \quad 600$, 1

b) Solidified melt.

c) Solution in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$.

Fig. 3.4. Demonstration of the motions associated with certain interaction force constants.


$$
r(c)_{i} R_{i}
$$

$$
R_{i} R_{i+1}^{\prime}
$$



$$
r(c)_{i} \propto_{i}
$$

$\gamma_{i}(c) \gamma_{i}^{\prime}(c)$
disc to the solidified melt. This is because in the disc the orientation of the biphenyl molecules is random whereas in the solidified melt the molecules crystallise with the long axis perpendicular to the plane of major crystal growth.

Fig. 3.3 shows a partial infrared spectrum of 4-4' difluoro biphenyl run as a CsI disc, solidified melt and as a solution in carbon disulphide.

In order to help assign the bands an initial set of frequencies were calculated for various dihedral angles using the refined set of force constants for biphenyl where appropriate. The force constants peculiar to the fluorine substitution were taken from previous work on fluorobenzenes. 70,73

As expected the frequencies of groups $A$ and $B_{3}$ were independent of the dihedral angle but the majority of those of groups $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ were dependent. It is expected that when the dihedral angle is $90^{\circ}$ and the symmetry is $D_{2 d}$ the frequencies for groups $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are degenerate. This was not found to be so and the error arises from one of the interaction force constants introduced in the previous work on biphenyl. 65 Fig. 3.4 illustrates the four interaction coordinates introduced in the previous work. These are valid in the planar case but when the dihedral angle is non-zero the interaction constant $\left(\gamma_{i}(c) \gamma_{i}(c)\right)$ is not valid. It was therefore necessary to set this constant to zero in the subsequent work. This means the calculations in the previous biphenyl work is inaccurate and consequently so is the present biphenyl work. However, in the work on the fluorinated molecules, the results do not include this constant.

Table 3.5 Assignment of 4-4' difluoro biphenyl frequencies between
between $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

Raman

| Raman |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solid | Liquid |
| 1585 (w) | 1585 (vs) |

Infrared Assignment
Solid Liquid

1576 (vs) - | $A_{g} / B_{1 g}$ |
| :--- |
| $B_{3 u}$ |

1555 (m) - $\quad \mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$
1453 (m) 1458 (s)
1397 (vs) 1398 (vs) $\quad \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}} \\ & \mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}\end{aligned}$
1330 (w) - $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$
1284 (w) 1288 (w)
1255 (s) 1253 ( s ) \} 1241 (m) 1237 (m)
$B_{1 g}$
$A_{g}$
1192 (vs) 1196 (m) $\quad B_{2 u}$
1156 (vs) 1159 (m) $\quad B_{3 u}$
1140 (m) 1139 (w)
$\begin{array}{lll}884(\mathrm{~m}) & 880(\mathrm{~s}) & \\ & & A_{g} \\ & 862(\mathrm{~m}) & - \\ B_{3 u}\end{array}$
1011 (m) - $\quad \mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$
980 (w) $\quad 983$ (w) $\quad B_{3 u}$

| $850(\mathrm{~m})$ | $843(\mathrm{~m})$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $835(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ |

810 (s) 794 (vs)
827 (m) 823 (m) $\quad B_{3 u}$

798 (m) $\quad 797$ (vw) $\quad \mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$
779 (w)
757 (vs) $\quad \mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$

705 (vs) 708 (s) $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$

Table 3.5 (continued)

Raman
Solid Liquid Solid Liquid Assignment 638 (m) $638(\mathrm{~m})$

626 (m) 621 (m)
611 (w)
609 (w) $\quad 605$ (vw) $\quad \begin{aligned} & A_{u} \\ & B_{2 u}\end{aligned}$
509 (s) 507 (s) $\quad B_{3 u}$
457
$-\quad 402(\mathrm{~m})$
436 (s) 447 (s) $\quad \mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$

392 (m)
349 (w) $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$
275 (s) 261 (s)

Infrared
$B_{2 g}$
$A_{u}$
$\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$

272 (m) $\quad 246$ (w) $\quad \begin{gathered}A_{g} \\ B_{1 u}\end{gathered}$

After the first perturbation it was noted that a few of the calculated frequencies were in poor agreement with the experimental frequencies. The spectra were re-examined and the assignments were adjusted in the light of the new information. The perturbation was repeated using the new assigned frequencies.
$A_{g}$ modes are recognised by their appearance in the Raman solid and liquid spectra, the bands being polarised in the latter. It was necessary to assign the bands at 1255 and $1241 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ as a fermi resonance pair. The fit for this pair was still poor, the calculated band being at $1288 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Otherwise the calculated bands are in good agreement, the worst showing a difference of $14 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The intensity of these bands are moderate or strong.
$A_{u}$ modes are only observable in solution in the Raman spectrum where they are polarised. The fit is good and the intensities are fairly weak.

The $B_{1 g}$ modes are difficult to assign because they are not easily identified in the way that $A$ and $B_{3 u}$ modes are. Only four frequencies were assigned one of which did not appear in either Raman spectra but appeared in the infrared liquid spectrum. The band at $1585 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was $46 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ higher than the calculated frequency, but there is no other band in the Raman spectrum in this region. The band is doubly assigned to the $A_{g}$ group and this latter assignement is almost certainly correct. However the band has a doublet structure which may be caused by the $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ mode. The corresponding mode in the undeuterated molecule shows a much better fit and so the assignment is in considerable doubt.
$\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ modes appear in the infrared spectra. Only two bands were not assigned one of which was below $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which was not measured.

Table 3.6 Comparison between observed and calculated frequencies of planar 4-4' difluoro biphenyl

\left.| Ag | observed | calculated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 3105 |  |$\right)$

$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Table 3.6 } & \text { (continued) } \\ \text { observed }\end{array}\right)$


The observed frequencies were given a weighting of 1.0. The remainder were given a weighting of 0.0 , which means that the frequency takes no part in the calculation and can be given any value. The final column shows the differences between the observed and calculated frequencies.

Table 3.7 Comparison between the experimental and calculated frequencies of planar 4-4' difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}$.

Experimental frequencies Calculated frequencies

| $A_{g}$ | - | 0.0 | 2302 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 0.0 | 2288 |
|  | 1585 | 1.0 | 1593 |

Table 3.7 (continued)

| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{u}}$ | Experimental frequencies |  | Calculated frequencies |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | 0.0 | 780 |  |
|  | 705 | 1.0 | 705 | 0 |
|  | - | 0.0 | 590 |  |
|  | 436 | 1.0 | 431 | -5 |
|  | 272 | 1.0 | 270 | -2 |
|  | - | 0.0 | 69 |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ | 2298 | 1.0 | 2291 | -7 |
|  | 2272 | 1.0 | 2279 | +7 |
|  | 1555 | 1.0 | 1578 | +23 |
|  | 1330 | 1.0 | 1333 | +3 |
|  | 1194 | 0.2 | 1186 | -8 |
|  | 1011 | 1.0 | 1016 | +5 |
|  | 798 | 1.0 | 810 | +12 |
|  | 609 | 1.0 | 618 | +9 |
|  | 392 | 1.0 | 395 | +3 |
|  | - | 0.0 | 81 |  |
| ${ }^{B} 2 \mathrm{~g}$ | - | 0.0 | 775 |  |
|  | - | 0.0 | 716 |  |
|  | - | 0.0 | 638 |  |
|  | 457 | 0.3 | 453 | -4 |
|  | - | 0.0 | 381 |  |
|  | - | 0.0 | 173 |  |


|  | Experimental | frequencies | Calculated | frequencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{3 u}$ | - | 0.0 | 2299 |  |
|  | - | 0.0 | 2285 |  |
|  | 1576 | 1.0 | 1589 | +13 |
|  | 1397 | 1.0 | 1390 | -7 |
|  | 1156 | 1.0 | 1149 | -7 |
|  | 980 | 0.2 | 971 | -9 |
|  | 862 | 1.0 | 864 | +2 |
|  | 827 | 1.0 | 829 | +2 |
|  | 757 | 1.0 | 751 | -6 |
|  | 509 | 1.0 | 517 | +8 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}$ | - | 0.0 | 777 |  |
|  | - | 0.0 | 612 |  |
|  | - | 0.0 | 395 |  |

The second column shows the weighing factors used for the observed frequencies.

The highest of these frequencies is in poor agreement and may be wrong. The $B_{2 u}$ modes in the region measured were all assigned from the solid infrared although not all these appeared in the infrared liquid spectra. Apart from a disagreement of $23 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ the frequencies are in good agreement with the calculated ones.

Only one $B_{2 g}$ mode was assigned.
The intensity of $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ modes decreases on going from disc spectra to solidified melt spectra and the bands were assigned on this basis. None of the $B_{3 g}$ frequencies were assigned.

The number of spectra which could be run and the number of times the sample could be purified was limited by the small quantity of material available, due to the high cost of preparation.

The frequencies of 4-4' difluoro biphenyl have already been assigned ${ }^{4}$ and the experimental frequencies are compared with the calculated frequencies in Table 3.6.

The frequencies compare quite well and only three modes show a difference of more than $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These are the $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ mode at $1286 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $\left(22 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, the $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ mode at $938 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(25 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and the $B_{3 \mathrm{u}}$ mode at $1235 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(31 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. Generally the fit has considerably improved during perturbation.

The experimental data is listed in Table 3.5 the experimental and calculated frequencies are listed in Table 3.7 and the force constants before and after perturbation are listed in Table 3.9.

The increase in the inter-ring C-C stretch force constant and of the interaction constant involving the inter-ring stretch and an adjacent $C-C$ stretch $\left(r(c){ }_{i} R_{i}\right)$ may indicate that the structure


Table 3.8 Comparison between computed and experimental data for 4-4' difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}$

A species ( $A_{g}$ and $A_{u}$ when $\theta=0^{\circ}$ )
Frequencies
calculated

for all $\theta$$\quad$ Solid $\quad$ Raman | Experimental frequencies |
| :---: |
| Liquid $(\rho)$ | Solid $\quad$ Liquid

| $A_{\text {g }} \quad 2302$ | - | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2288 | - | - |  |
| 1593 | 1585 (w) | 1585 (0.41) | (vs) |
| 1439 | 1453 (m) | 1458 (0.43) | (s) |
| 1288 | $\begin{aligned} & 1255(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 1241 \text { (m) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1253\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.05) \\ 1237 \\ (0.00) \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | (s) |
| 1135 | 1140 (m) | 1139 - | (w) |
| 895 | 884 (m) | 880 (0.00) | (s) |
| 839 | 850 (m) | 848 (0.20) | (m) |
| 799 | 810 (s) | 794 (0.00) |  |
| 625 | 638 (m) | 638 (0.46) |  |
| 268 | 275 (s) | 261 (0.17) |  |


| $A_{u}$ | 777 | - | $779(0.00)(w)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 612 | - | $611(0.60)(w)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $402(0.67)(m)$ |

Table 3.8 (continued)

$$
B_{1} \text { species }\left(B_{1 g} \text { and } B_{1 \mathrm{u}} \text { when } \theta=0^{\circ}\right)
$$

| Frequencies calculated for dihedral angles of |  |  |  |  |  | Experimental frequencies <br> Raman Infrared |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $30^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | Solid | Liquid | Solid | Liquid |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 2291 | 2291 | 2291 | 2291 | 2291 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 2278 | 2278 | 2278 | 2278 | 2278 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 1539 | 1541 | 1543 | 1546 | 1554 | 1585 (w) | 1586(s) |  |  |
|  | 1276 | 1279 | 1283 | 1288 | 1302 | 1284(w) | 1288(w) |  |  |
|  | 1198 | 1197 | 1196 | 1194 | 1191 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 1010 | 1010 | 1010 | 1010 | 1010 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 818 | 818 | 818 | 818 | 816 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 618 | 618 | 618 | 619 | 624 | 626 (m) | 621 (m) |  |  |
|  | 430 | 417 | 413 | 409 | 404 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 335 | 347 | 355 | 362 | 372 | - | - |  | 349 (w) |
| ${ }^{14}$ | 780 | 779 | 778 | 777 | 775 |  |  | 835 (s) | - |
|  | 705 | 706 | 707 | 709 | 713 |  |  | 705 (vs) | 708 (s) |
|  | 590 | 593 | 597 | 603 | 613 |  |  | - | - |
|  | 431 | 450 | 460 | 468 | 473 |  |  | 436(s) | 447(s) |
|  | 270 | 253 | 239 | 225 | 202 |  |  | 272(m) | 246(w) |
|  | 69 | 70 | 70 | 71 | 73 |  |  | - | - |

Table 3.8 (continued)

$$
B_{2} \text { species }\left(B_{2 u} \text { and } B_{2 g} \text { when } \theta=0^{\circ}\right)
$$

Frequencies calculated for $0_{0}^{\circ} \quad 30^{\circ} \quad 45^{\circ} \quad 60^{\circ}$

| $B_{2 u}$ | 2291 | 2291 | 2291 | 2291 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 2291

$\begin{array}{lllll}2279 & 2279 & 2279 & 2279 & 2278\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}1578 & 1574 & 1570 & 1565 & 1554\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}1333 & 1329 & 1324 & 1318 & 1302\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}1186 & 1186 & 1187 & 1188 & 1191\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}1016 & 1015 & 1014 & 1013 & 1010\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}810 & 811 & 812 & 814 & 816\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}618 & 617 & 617 & 616 & 613\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}395 & 397 & 397 & 400 & 404\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}81 & 80 & 78 & 76 & 73\end{array}$

Experimental frequencies
Raman Infrared
Solid Liquid Solid Liquid
2298(w) -
2272(w) -
1555(m) -
1330(w) -
1194(vs) 1196(m)
1011 (m)
798(m) 797(vw)
609(w) . 605(vw)
392(m) -

| $B_{2 g}$ | 775 | 774 | 774 | 774 | 775 | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}716 & 716 & 716 & 715 & 713 & - & -\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}638 & 636 & 635 & 632 & 624 & - & -\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}453 & 457 & 462 & 467 & 473 & 457 & -\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{llllllll}173 & 176 & 180 & 186 & 202 & - & -\end{array}$

Table 3.8 (continued)

| Frequencies calculated for all $\theta$ |  | $B_{3}$ species ( $B_{3 u}$ and $B_{3 g}$ when $\theta=0^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Raman Experin |  | frequenciesInfrared |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Solid | Liquid | Solid | Liquid |
| ${ }^{\text {B }} 3$ | 2299 |  |  | - | - |
|  | 2285 |  |  | - | - |
|  | 1589 |  |  | 1576(vs) | - |
|  | 1390 |  |  | 1397(vs) | 1398(vs) |
|  | 1149 |  |  | 1156(vs) | 1159 (m) |
|  | 971 |  |  | 980 (w) | 983(w) |
|  | 864 |  |  | 862 (m) | - |
|  | 829 |  |  | 827 (m) | 823(m) |
|  | 751 |  |  | 757(vs) | 758(s) |
|  | 517 |  |  | 509 (s) | 507(s) |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 777 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 612 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 395 | - | - |  |  |

Table 3.9 Force constants used for 4-4' difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}$ calculation before and after perturbation.

|  |  | Before | After |  | Before | After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R | 657.4 | 661.3 | $21 \alpha_{i} r_{i}$ | - 1.0 | - 15.8 |
| 2 | $r(c)$ | 653.3 | 716.7 | $22 \alpha_{i} \beta_{i+1}$ | 4.2 | - 2.2 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ | 48.1 | 49.9 | $23 \alpha_{i} \beta(\mathrm{c})_{i+1}$ | 3.8 | 6.5 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{i} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 34.0 | 70.8 | $24 \gamma$ | 25.9 | 25.9 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}^{1}{ }_{i+1}$ | 26.2 | 43.5 | $25 \gamma$ (c) | 14.8 | 11.2 |
| 6 | $r(c){ }_{i} \alpha_{i}-$ | 90.1 | 81.3 | $26 \gamma_{i} \gamma_{i+1}$ | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| 7 | r | 512.3 | 526.0 | $27 \gamma_{i} \gamma_{i+2}$ | - 0.8 | 2.8 |
| 8 | $\alpha$ | 57.2 | 69.7 | $28 \gamma_{i} \gamma_{i+3}$ | - 1.4 | 0.8 |
| 9 | $\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}-$ | 5.0 | 4.5 | $29 \gamma_{i}(\mathrm{c}) \gamma_{i+1}$ | 0.9 | 3.8 |
| 10 | $\beta$ | 89.0 | 89.4 | $30 \gamma_{i}(\mathrm{c}) \gamma_{i+2}$ | - 2.2 | 0.8 |
| 11 | $\beta(c)$ | 73.6 | 62.6 | $31 \gamma_{i}(\mathrm{c}) \gamma_{i+3}$ | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| 12 | $\beta_{i} \beta_{i+1}$ | 2.5 | 6.7 | $32 \gamma$ (c) $\gamma \cdot(\mathrm{c})$ | - 3.1 | 0.0 |
| 13 | $\beta_{i} \beta_{i+2}$ | 1.9 | - 2.0 | $33 \varnothing$ | 4.1 | 1.7 |
| 14 | $\beta_{i} \beta_{i+3}$ | 2.7 | 2.6 | $34 \phi_{i} \phi_{i+1}$ | - 0.4 | 2.4 |
| 15 | $\beta(c){ }_{i} \beta_{i+2}-$ | 3.1 | - 9.2 | $35 \gamma_{i} \phi_{i}$ | 1.4 | 2.7 |
| 16 | $\beta(c){ }_{i} \beta_{i+2}$ | 1.4 | 0.4 | $36 \gamma_{i}(\mathrm{c}) \phi_{i}$ | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 17 | $\beta(\mathrm{c})_{i} \beta_{i+3}-$ | 2.0 | - 8.9 | $37 \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{f})$ | 585.0 | 509.7 |
| 18 | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 23.5 | 41.5 | $38 \beta(f)$ | 110.7 | 104.2 |
| 19 | $\beta_{i} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 33.6 | 26.8 | $39 \propto(f)$ | 68.1 | 98.4 |
| 20 | $\beta_{i}(c) R_{i}$ | 48.6 | 61.9 | $40 \gamma(f)$ | 24.8 | 25.1 |
|  |  |  |  | $41 \phi(\mathrm{f})$ | 3.6 | 10.7 |
| C-C stretch values after perturbation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ave | ortho 73 <br> meta 62 <br> para 720 <br> rage 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.6 \\ & .8 \\ & .1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Units as in Table 3.4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

is more important in the fluorinated molecules. The C-F stretch force constant decreased, however, which is not consistent with the above, but it must be remembered that the force constant has been transferred from a different environment and firm conclusions cannot be drawn from the change. The increase in the inter-ring bond order may explain the increase in constants 4 and 5.

The large negative value of interaction constant $r(c){ }_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}$ may be explained as follows. The change from $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ to $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ to sp hybridisation results in an opening of the bond angles at the carbon atom. Thus opening the bond angle results in more $p$ character being available in the bond along the angle bisector. An increase in the $p$ character of a bond is accompanied by an increase in bond length. It follows that it is energetically favourable to simultaneously increase both distortions. Therefore the potential energy element $f_{i j} \Delta \alpha_{i} \Delta R_{i}$ is negative and hence $f_{i j}$ is negative.

Although there are other force constant differences between the fluorinated and non-fluorinated molecules it is not possible to draw any conclusions from these without further investigation.
3.7 Some relationships ${ }^{\circ}$ between the frequencies of related molecules

Because it is often difficult to assign the frequencies of complex molecules the following relationships may be used if data is available from related molecules. The relationships between the molecules depend on whether they are isotopically related or not. For example, in the current work, biphenyl is isotopically related to biphenyl $d^{10}$ but not to $4-4$ difluoro biphenyl.
a) Redlich-Teller Product Rule

This rule relates the product of the frequencies of a given species of a molecule to the product of the corresponding frequencies of some isotopically related molecule. The ratio is a function of the molecular geometry and the nuclear masses. The relationship derived assumes that the force field for the isotopically related molecules is identical but by modifying the expression a relationship between non-isotopically related molecules can be derived. This modification involves the ratio between the $R-X$ and $R-Y$ stretch force constants where $X$ and $Y$ are the non-isotopically related atoms. Agreement between experimental and calculated ratios to within 4 per cent is considered acceptable.
b) The Sum Rule

This relationship involves the sum of the squares of the frequencies of three or more isotopically related molecules. The rule states that if several isotopic systems can be geometrically superimposed with appropriate signs in such a way that the atoms vanish in all positions then the corresponding linear combination of the sums of the squares of the frequencies will vanish in the hermonic approximation. The sums may be taken independently over the frequencies of the symmetry classes of the sub-group arising from the symmetry elements common to all superimpositions of the molecules.

Extending to molecules which are not isotopically related, the rule appears to hold quite well as long as the rates of change of force constants with perturbing influence are uniform throughout the series under consideration.

Table 3.10 List of ratios required to check assignments using the Redlich-Teller Product Rule


Table 3.11 List of ratios obtained by dividing the calculated by observed values in the previous table.

|  | $\frac{\mathrm{F}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{0}}{\mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{~d}^{0}}$ | $\frac{F^{2} d^{0}}{F^{2} d^{8}}$ | $\frac{F^{0} d^{0}}{F^{2} d^{0}}$ | $\frac{F^{0} d^{10}}{F^{2} d^{8}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {A }} \mathrm{g}$ | 0.985 | 1.012 | 0.982 | 1.008 |
| $A_{u}$ | 1.000 | 1.019 | 1.000 | 1.018 |
| ${ }^{3} 18$ | 1.051 | 1.107 | 0.984 | 1.036 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{14}$ | 1.272 | 0.952 | 1.122 | 0.839 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{u}}$ | 1.047 | 0.941 | 1.092 | 0.981 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 0.909 | 0.953 | 0.946 | 0.992 |
| ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 1.024 | 1.008 | 1.015 | 0.999 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{~g}}$ | 0.975 | 1.017 | 1.029 | 1.072 |

c) Rayleigh's Rule and the Ineguality Rule

Rayleigh's rule states that increasing any mass in a periodically vibrating system without changing the force field must decrease all frequencies. Thus the $j^{\text {th }}$ highest frequency of any symmetry species of a deuterated molecule must lie below the $j^{\text {th }}$ hichest frequency of the non-deuterated molecule.

The Inequality rule is an extension of this. Consider two molecules $R X$ and RY, the mass of $X$ being greater than that of $Y$. Then in any given symmetry class of $R X$ containing "a" modes associated with the $R X$ bond, the $j^{\text {th }}$ highest frequency lies between the $j^{\text {th }}$ and $(j+a)^{\text {th }}$ highest frequencies of the equivalent symmetry class of $R Y$. In the case of non-isotopically related molecules, experience has show that the rule holds apart from minor infringements.

The Isotope rule was used when assigning the frequencies of 4-4: difluoro biphenyl $d^{10}$ and the Product rule was used to check the frequencies of the four molecules considered during this work. The ratios required to use the Product rule were not calculated from first principles but were obtained from the calculated frequencies produced by the computer. The experimental ratios were derived from the experimental frequencies where available otherwise the equivalent calculated frequencies were used. The ratios derived from the observed and calculated frequencies are listed in Table 3.10, and the ratios between the observed and calculated values are listed in Table 3.11. The underlined values may be considered to be in poor agreement.

These arise from discrepancies between the products of the calculated and observed frequencies. These do not indicate a generally bad assignment. For biphenyl the $B_{2 u}$ and $B_{2 g}$ groups show a discrepancy

Fig. 3.5. Plot of frequency shift against dihedral angle

${ }^{B} 1 u$ bands calculated at 431 and $270 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
of greater than 5 per cent. The $B_{2 u}$ frequency observed at $1568 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is calculated $61 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ away at $1529 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. If the calculated frequency is used instead to calculate the observed product the discrepancy is less than 5 per cent. The error in the $B_{2 g}$ products arises from a difference of $21 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ between observed and calculated for the lowest frequency in the group. Large errors are exaggerated in low frequency modes using this method and this is the reason for the discrepancy in a number of groups.

The other groups with worse than 5 per cent fit are biphenyl $d^{10}$ $\left(B_{1 g}, B_{1 u}, B_{3 g}\right)$ 4-4' difluoro biphenyl $\left(B_{1 u}, B_{2 g}\right)$ and 4-4' difluoro biphenyl $d^{8}\left(B_{1 g}, B_{2 u}\right)$.

### 3.8 Calculation of the diherral angle

It was only possible to obtain both solid and liquid frequencies for two of the bands where there is a considerable dihedral angle dependence. The frequencies calculated for different dihedral angles were used to prepare Fig. 3.5 from which the dihedral angles were measured. The two values are not consistent and for a more accurate result data from 4-4' difluoro biphenyl and possibly other deuterated derivatives would be necessary. However the average is similar to that derived for biphenyl and shows that the fluorine atoms have no profound effect on the conformation of the molecule.

| Dihedral angle (1) | $17.3^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dihedral angle (2) | $39.4^{\circ}$ |
| Average angle | $28.4^{\circ}$ |

## CHAPTER FOUR

band shape analysis of the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\text {CH }}$ MODE OF p-DIFLUOROBENZENE

### 4.1 Introduction

Vibrational band shapes are known to be a valuable source of information on molecular dynamics. We study the relaxation of the vibrational-transition moment using the Fourier transform relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\mu(0), \mu(t)\rangle=\frac{9 c h}{8 \pi^{3}} \int_{\text {thad }} \Gamma(\omega) \exp (2 \pi i c \bar{\omega} t) d \bar{\omega} \\
& \mu-\quad \text { transition operator } \\
& \omega-\quad \text { frequency shifts from the pure vibrational-transition } \\
& \quad \text { frequency }(\bar{\omega})
\end{aligned}
$$

By normalising the band intensity to unity, attention is focussed on the time decay of the correlation functions. Such normalised correlation functions, $C(t)$, are directly comparable between different molecular species and different ervironmental situations of the molecules. For a symmetrical band the above equation may be rewritten

$$
C^{\prime}(t)=\int_{\text {fand }} \Gamma^{\prime}(\bar{\omega}) \cos (2 \pi \bar{\omega} c t) d \bar{\omega}
$$

where the primes denote normalised quantities.
The application of correlation functions to vibrational transitions is mainly due to R.G. Gordon. ${ }^{74-7}$ Gordon analysed the vibrational rotation band of carbon monoxide as measured in a variety of solvents. For a low pressure gas the correlation function drops sharply from $t=0$ passing to negative values followed in some instances by a return to small positive values (rapidly damped oscillation) and then dropping to zero. The physical explanation of the negative correlation function at short times is that the molecule is freely rotating and at a time of the order of half the rotation period the molecules are predominantly
orientated in the opposite direction to their initial state. As the pressure of gas increases intermolecular collisions lead to a steadily decreasing probability of such coherence of the molecular motions. In solution the rotational mechanism of relaxation becomes largely superseded by collisional reorientation.

The finite breadth of an absorption band can arise from radiation damping, doppler broadening and collisional broadening. The first and third of these lead to a Lorentzian band shape. This band shape leads to an exponential relationship between correlation coefficients and time. However two difficulties arise. Firstly, in the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ all bands may be shown to have the same limiting behaviour

$$
\frac{d C(t)}{d t} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow 0
$$

Secondly, the time scale of infrared spectroscopy is so small that relaxation by free rotational motion is significant. Such relaxation leads to a different form of relationship between $C(t)$ and $t$ from collisional relaxation and is incompatible with a Lorentzian band shape.

Hence the vibrational band shape and the shape of the correlation function depend on molecular reorientations and molecular interactions. If we neglect cross terms we can write the corresponding relaxation function for the rotational and vibrational mechanisms.

$$
\Phi(t)=\Phi_{R}(t) \oiint_{v}(t)
$$

If the log of the correlation function is plotted against time the curve may be examined in two parts. Between time zero and about 0.5 ps the graph is curved and relates particularly to rotational relaxation and derives its greatest contribution from the wings of the
band. After about 0.5 ps the graph is essentially straight but with oscillations increasing with time. These oscillations arise from practical limitations due to sampling intervals, the limited range from the band centre which can be studied as well as experimental errors. However, between 0.5 and 3.5 ps the graphs arising from the current work were sufficiently straight for a gradient to be determined and the gradients derived were compared to see the effect of the different conditions applied.

When the spectrum of benzene is recorded in certain solvents a broadening of the band arising from the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{CH}}$ mode is noted and of those combination bands associated with it. The band is broader if acetonitrile is used rather than cyclohexane as solvent. The fact that we do not see broadening of any other bands suggests that there is something more specific than a simple polar interaction responsible for the relaxation. If the acetonitrile interacts with the $\mathbb{T}$ cloud then we may get a structure as shown in Figure 4.5 (b) which involves rehybridisation of the carbon atoms towards $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$.

This can lead to a specific interaction with the $\gamma_{\mathrm{CH}}$ mode which will be clearly modified by this interaction since it has been shown from intensity studies that there is probably a significant rehybridisation moment associated with the $\gamma_{\mathrm{CH}}$ mode of the order of $0.3 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{rad}^{-1} .78,79$

It has been shown ${ }^{80}$ that broadening in benzene is generally proportional to the dipole moment of the solute and that the frequency shift is also proportional for furans, thiophens etc. but not for acetylenes where hydrogen bonding is believed to occur. 81

The purpose of the current work was to examine the effect of solvent and temperature on the $\gamma_{\mathrm{CH}}$ mode of p-difluorobenzene, using two solvents, cyclohexane and acetonitrile. As is discussed later, it was not possible to use differing proportions of these solvents because of solubility problems.

### 4.2 Exnerimental wnrk

The infrared $\gamma$ mode of $p$-difluorobenzene was recorded in two solvents, cyclohexane and acetonitrile, at various temperatures. The instrument slit width was approximately $0.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The single absorption was recorded on a Perkin Elmer PE 325 using a RIIC variable temperature cell. The low temperature spectra were recorded using crushed ice as a refrigerant and the temperatures were accurate to within $\pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The spectra were recorded at the lowest speeds consistent with the cell not leaking. On each chart two spectra were recorded. For the spectrum of p-difluorobenzene in the solvent, a variable path length cell was placed in the reference beam containing the solvent only and the cells were balanced to cancel out the absorptions due to the solvent using a suitable solvent band which did not exhibit total absorption at this path length. This spectrum was used to record the values of $I$. The background ( $I_{0}$ values) was recorded bir filling the sample cell with solvent only and balancing the absorption due to cell and contents with a variable path length cell containing solvent.

Because it was difficult to replace the sample cell in the variable temperature unit exactly as it was before, the two spectra often became parallel in the wings but did not meet. It was therefore necessary to adjust the background such that the value of $I_{o}$ approached the value of

Fig. 4.1. Effect of background scaling factor. $p$ difluoro benzene in acetonitrile at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


I in the wings. This was done by taking the wing with the closest agreement between $I$ and $I_{0}$ values and extrapolating the values of $I$ by eye. Where the values of I levelled off to a steady value then the value of $I_{o} / I$ at this point is used to correct all the other $I_{0}$ values.

The wings are most affected by inaccuracy in this adjustment and although the wings have relatively little effect on the gradient of the Fourier transform of the band the effect of the error in the background was assessed as follows.

The value of $I_{0} / I$ was estimated for the band in acetonitrile at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as 1.085. The Fourier transform was then calculated. Values of 1.080 and 1.106 were also used and the wings extrapolated to zero absorption. If the value of $I$ in the wings was 80.0 per cent the values of $I_{0}$ would be 86.4 per cent and 88.5 per cent respectively, the original value having been 86.8 per cent. The log of the Fourier transforms against time are compared in Fig. 4.1. As can be seen changing the ratio from 1.080 to 1.106 has little effect on the gradient between 0.5 and 3.5 ps . This is equivalent to changing the background by 2.1 per cent when $I$ is 80 per cent and as the accuracy of $I_{o}$ will be accurate to within $\pm 1$ per cent.it can be seen that the effect of adjusting the background on the gradient is small.

For all the calculations the values of $I$ and $I_{0}$ were measured from the chart by hand at $0.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ intervals. The wings were difficult to measure accurately because of the noise on the spectrum so it was necessary to estimate the extreme parts of these using a relationship derived by I.R. Hill. He has suggested that the parts of the wings furthest from the band centre are exponential. The approximate values for $I_{0}$ and $I$ were measured and a graph of $\ln \left(\ln \left(I_{0} / I\right)\right)$ against
wavenumber was plotted. The straight part of the graph was extrapolated until $\ln \left(\ln \left(I_{0} / I\right)\right)$ was sufficiently large that $I_{0} / I$ was less than 1.002. Values of $I_{0} / I$ were calculated using the straight part of the graph, at $0.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ intervals and were used instead of the inaccurate experimental values.

Thus the data was subject to the following inaccuracies, in addition to any inaccuracy in measurement caused by noise in the spectrum. Firstly the background was adjusted to match the band in the wings and secondly the wings were adjusted to give an exponential function with respect to wavenumber. The first inaccuracy affects the whole spectrum but particularly the wings and the second only affects the wings. Because the gradient of the log of the Fourier transform between 0.5 and 3.5 ps is mainly affected by the shape of the band near the band centre, these inaccuracies have only a small effect.

Because the intensity of the absorption is high, a short path length was used ( $2.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}$ ). It was also necessary to use low concentrations of p-difluorobenzene at which acetonitrile and cyclohexane are immiscible. It was therefore not possible to record the band shape in a mixture of the solvents.

The concentration of p-difluorobenzene was $1.96 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ in cyclohexane and $2.75 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ in acetonitrile.

In cyclohexane the band centre is at $833.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ but in acetonitrile the band is broader and the band centre is at $842.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at $842.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The band in acetonitrile is also unsymmetrical with a small absorption to low wavenumber overlapping with the main band. It is well known that the amount of asymmetry in a band

Fig. 4.2 . $p$ difluoro benzene in acetonitrile at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

determines the strength of oscillations in the Fourier transform.
In these calculations the complex transform

$$
\int \Gamma(\omega) \exp \left(i \Delta \omega_{t}\right) d \omega
$$

was used rather than

$$
\int \Gamma(\omega) \cos (\Delta \omega t) d \omega
$$

which reduces the effect of asymmetry on the two sided transform. For instance, suppose we have an error in the band centre of $\Delta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \Gamma(\nu) \exp \left(-i 2 \pi\left(\nu-\nu_{0}+\Delta\right) t\right) d \nu \\
\equiv & \int \Gamma(\nu) \exp \left(-i 2 \pi\left(\nu-\nu_{0}\right) t \cdot \exp (-i 2 \pi \Delta t) d \nu\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Delta$ is small then $\exp (-i 2 \pi \Delta t)$, which is independent of $\nu$, approaches unity and we have the true transform left.

In an attempt to determine the effect of this asymmetry on the Fourier transform the Fourier transform of the band in acetonitrile at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was calculated in three ways. Firstly the whole band was measured up and all the data was used. Secondly the right hand side (lower wavenumber) of the band was reflected about the band centre and this data was used. Thirdly the small band was estimated and was eliminated from the spectrum. The amended right hand side was then reflected about the band centre. Fig. 4.2 shows the effect of these different methods on the log plot of the Fourier transform. As can be seen the improvement achieved by reflection is minimal and the accuracy of measuring the gradient is not improved. There is very little difference between the transform where the small band was eliminated and where it was not. It was therefore decided to use the data from the whole band for the remainder of the calculations.

Table 4.l. Gradient of log of Fourier transform
against time in ps

| Temp. ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{C}$ | in cyclohexane | in acetonitrile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | -0.405 | -1.08 |
| 20 | - | -1.01 |
| 30 | -0.438 | -1.02 |
| 40 | - | -1.10 |
| 50 | -0.504 | -1.11 |

Fig.4.3. P - difluoro benzenc in cyclohexane. Loe plot of Fourier tranaform v. time.

$$
\sec \times 10^{+10}
$$



Fig. 4.4. p-difluoro benzene in acetonitrile with gradients of p-difluoro benzene in cyclohexane for comparison.


The position of total absorption was marked on the chart by blocking the beam between the source and the sample. This was to compensate for the differences in sample radiation at different temperatures.

The calculation of the Fourier transform was achieved using a computer program VANSA supplied by E.B. Gill. The program incorporates a correction of the band contour which is distorted by the finite slit width of the spectrometer. ${ }^{82}$

### 4.3 Results

The log of the Fourier transform was plotted against time for each solvent at each temperature and the gradient of the graph between 0.5 and 3.5 ps was recorded. The results are given in Table 4.1. The log of the Fourier transforms against time of the cyclohexane solutions at the three temperatures recorded are shown in Fig. 4.3 but only three of the five temperatures of the acetonitrile solutions are shown in Fig. 4.4. The gradients of the cyclohexane solutions at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are also shown on the same scale for easier comparison.

The gradients of the solutions in cyclohexane have a marked temperature dependence, the gradient increasing with an increase in temperature. The gradients of the solutions in acetonitrile are more than double those of the solutions in cyclohexane and show no temperature effect. The plots show more oscillation than those for the cyclohexane solutions. This oscillation makes the gradients more difficult to measure and so a slight temperature dependence cannot be ruled out. It would, however, be much smaller than that of the cyclohexane solutions.

Fig. 4.5. Possible associations between p-difluoro benzene and the solvent.
a) cyclohexane

b) acetonitrile


A possible explanation of the effects seen is as follows. The energy barrier to rotational relaxation is less in acetonitrile as indicated by the small effect of temperature on relaxation rate and the larger slope of the gradient. This is hardly surprising as Fig. 4.5 clearly shows that p-difluoro benzene will rotate more easily amongst the smaller acetonitrile molecules.

The gradient of the log of the Fourier transform against time is equal to the sum of the gradients due to the vibrational and rotational relaxation mechanisms, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{G}(C)=G_{R(C)}+G_{V(C)} \\
& G_{(A)}=G_{R(A)}+G_{V(A)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the solutions in cyclohexane and acetonitrile respectively. We have seen that

$$
G_{R(C)}>G_{R(A)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\dot{G}_{R(A)}-G_{R(C)}<G_{V(A)}
$$

if we neglect $G_{V(C)}$, i.e. the vibrational relaxation due to interactions between cyclohexane and p-difluoro benzene. This is probably justified because the primary mechanisms of vibrational relaxation involve polarpolar interactions (i.e. dipole-dipole, dipole-quadrupole or to a certain extent transition dipole-dipole). 83,84 In acetonitrile we have a large intensity i.e. large transition dipole interaction with a large dipole. It is well established that vibrational relaxation frequently shows a small temperature dependence compared with rotational relaxation and indeed this forms the basis of the oldest method of separating the
contributions of rotational and vibrational relaxation processes to the band width. 85 Even though the Rakov method appears to be of rather doubtful validity (see for example 86), the general conclusion that the temperature dependence of vibrational relaxation is small is compatible with our interpretation of our observations.

In acetonitrile the relaxation is mainly due to a random complexing effect and any temperature dependence is probably due to rotational relaxation effects. The presence of a small side band in acetonitrile solution may be due to the presence of a small amount of a more stable complex formation between p-difluoro benzene and acetonitrile.

In conclusion we can say that the main mechanism for relaxation in cyclohexane solution is due to molecular reorientation and the main mechanism in acetonitrile solution is due to molecular interactions.
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[^0]:    $G$ - gauchc $T$ - trans

